In plants with treated primary leaves grown at a photon flux density of $160~\mu\text{Em}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), ABA application enhanced plant growth (total dry matter, total plant nitrogen, nodule acetylene reduction rates (AR)) and increased nitrogen and dry matter partitioning to roots and leaves. In contrast, BA application reduced plant growth. At a higher light level (350 $\mu\text{Em}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$, PAR) differences in parameters between treatments and controls were not as pronounced; however, the trends at both light levels were similar for treated plants.

ABA effects were more pronounced with treatment of the first trifoliolate leaf. Diurnal AR was comparatively higher in ABA-treated plants and relative efficiency showed least change in ABA plants. Leaf and nodule metabolite levels varied with time of day and were influenced by the treatments. ABA plants assimilated the greatest amounts of 100 and showed greater distributions of 140-labelled assimilates to roots and upper leaves. In controls, upper stems accumulated 46% of total plant radioactivity.

These results demonstrate that plant growth substances can alter nodule function and subsequent partitioning of assimilates. This information should aid our understanding of factors which influence assimilate partitioning in nodulated systems.

BEAN PLANTING SYSTEMS IN BRAZIL

Itamar Pereira de Oliveira

National Research Center for Rice and Beans - CNPAF 74000 Goiânia, Goiãs, C.P. 179 - Brazil

There is general interest in expanding Brazilian bean production to additional agricultural areas. However, the major constraint to expansion is low yields due to low soil fertility. In addition to using fertilizer and good quality seed, a possibility for improving yield is to improve the system of planting.

An experiment was conducted over 5 years in a randomized complete block design with 4 replicates in a split-plot layout during dry season (February, March, April). All plots were planted to the cultivar Carioca and received 10 kg N/ha and 30 kg $\rm K_20/ha$ in the row at planting. Main plot factors consisted 20 kg N/ha sidedressed 30 days after emergence in each planting system:

I. Double rows, alternating 0.30m and 0.70m spacing between rows, with 80 kg P_2O_5 /ha (ground apatite rock) broadcasted in the 0.30m interval;

II. Double rows, as above, with 80 kg P_20_5/ha (simple superphosphate) applied in the row;

III. Double rows, as above, with 80 kg $P_2 \, O_5 / ha$ (apatite) broadcast in 0.30m interval and 80 kg $P_2 \, O_5 / ha$ (simple superphosphate) applied in the row; and

IV. The traditional system of single rows spaced 0.50m spart, with $80\ \text{kg}\ P_2O_5/\text{ha}$ (simple superphosphate) applied in the row.

The subplot factor was plant population: 160,000, 200,000, and 240,000 plants/ha.

Across years, grain yield was significantly higher in the traditional system than in the double row systems tested and at a population of 200,000 plants/ha (Table 1). Side-dressing N increased yield almost 300 kg/ha (Table 1). The largest differences in yield were due to year of planting (Table 1).

Table 1. Bean grain yield (kg/ha) over 5 years in 4 planting systems and 3 plant populations, in the presence or absence of side dressed N. Values are means of 4 replicates.

Treatment	Year					Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	
Planting System	703 b	577ab	1153 b	963	841 b	
 	703 b 706 b 803ab 1049a	577ab 528ab 504 b 786a	1153 b 1130 b 1153 b 1176a	963 c 1003 bc 1152ab 1226a	841 b 889 b 861a 1288a	847 b 851 b 894 b 1165a
Plant/ha					$\{x_{i}, x_{i} \in \mathcal{X}_{i}^{(i)} \mid x_{i} \in \mathcal{X}_{i}^{(i)}\}$	٠
160,000 200,000 240,000	763 860 823	630 607 560	1186 b 1427а 1071 с	1021 1152 1084	915a 951 b 1046a	903 b 1000a 916 b
Side-dressed N						
+ -	893 738	709 488	1 364 1 092	1253 918	1213 726	1086a 792 b
Mean C.V.(%)	8152B 12,86	599C 22,89	1288A 12,05	1086A 20,79	970AB 9,31	939 15,81

 $^{^{1}}$ Value in a column followed by the small letter do not differ significantly (P 0.05) by Tukey's HSD.

 $^{^2}$ Values in a row followed by the same capital letter do not differ significantly (P 0.05) by Tukey's HSD.