SURFACE COMPLEXATION MODELING IN VARIABLE CHARGE SOILS: CHARGE CHARACTERIZATION BY POTENTIOMETRIC TITRATION

Giuliano Marchi^{(1)*}, Cesar Crispim Vilar⁽²⁾, George O'Connor⁽³⁾ and Marx Leandro Naves Silva⁽⁴⁾

⁽¹⁾ Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, Embrapa Cerrados, Brasília, Distrito Federal, Brasil.

⁽²⁾ Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso, Campus Nova Xavantina, Nova Xavantina, Mato Grosso, Brasil.

⁽³⁾ University of Florida, Soil and Water Sciences Department, Gainesville, Florida, United States.

⁽⁴⁾ Universidade Federal de Lavras, Departamento de Ciência do Solo, Lavras, Minas Gerais, Brasil.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: giuliano.marchi@embrapa.br

ABSTRACT

Intrinsic equilibrium constants of 17 representative Brazilian Oxisols were estimated from potentiometric titration measuring the adsorption of H^+ and OH^- on amphoteric surfaces in suspensions of varying ionic strength. Equilibrium constants were fitted to two surface complexation models: diffuse layer and constant capacitance. The former was fitted by calculating total site concentration from curve fitting estimates and pH-extrapolation of the intrinsic equilibrium constants to the PZNPC (hand calculation), considering one and two reactive sites, and by the FITEQL software. The latter was fitted only by FITEQL, with one reactive site. Soil chemical and physical properties were correlated to the intrinsic equilibrium constants. Both surface complexation models satisfactorily fit our experimental data, but for results at low ionic strength, optimization did not converge in FITEQL. Data were incorporated in Visual MINTEQ and they provide a modeling system that can predict protonation-dissociation reactions in the soil surface under changing environmental conditions.

Keywords: intrinsic equilibrium constants, Oxisols, FITEQL, Visual MINTEQ, chemical equilibrium software.

Received for publication on August 21, 2014 and approved on April 28, 2015. DOI: 10.1590/01000683rbcs20140528

RESUMO: MODELAGEM POR COMPLEXAÇÃO DE SUPERFÍCIE EM SOLOS DE CARGA VARIÁVEL: CARACTERIZAÇÃO DE CARGAS POR TITULAÇÃO POTENCIOMÉTRICA

Constantes de equilíbrio intrínseco de 17 Latossolos brasileiros representativos foram estimadas a partir de titulações potenciométricas, medindo-se a adsorção de H^+ e OH nas superfícies anfotéricas de suspensões com força iônica variada. As constantes de equilíbrio foram ajustadas a dois modelos de complexação: camada difusa e capacitância constante. O primeiro modelo foi ajustado pelo cálculo da concentração total de sítios a partir de estimativas de ajustes e extrapolação do valor de pH das constantes de equilíbrio intrínsecas ao PCLPZ (cálculo manual), considerando um ou dois sítios reativos, e também pelo programa FITEQL. O segundo modelo foi ajustado somente pelo FITEQL com um sítio reativo. Os atributos químicos e físicos do solo foram correlacionados às constantes de equilíbrio intrínsecas. Os dois modelos de complexação de superfícies se ajustaram satisfatoriamente aos dados experimentais, mas para resultados em baixa força iônica a otimização não convergiu no FITEQL. Os dados foram incorporados no Visual MINTEQ e fornecem um sistema de modelagem que pode predizer reações de protonação-dissociação na superfície do solo sob diversas condições ambientais.

Palavras-chave: constantes de equilíbrio intrínsecas, Latossolos, FITEQL, Visual MINTEQ, programa de equilíbrio químico.

INTRODUCTION

The destination of metals and organic and inorganic substances in the environment is strongly dependent on soil pH (Jonsson, 2007; Davis, 2008). Simulations of H⁺ and OH⁻ adsorption in soil particles through intrinsic equilibrium constants ($log K_a^{int}$) in geochemical speciation models are an important step toward defining movement of substances in the soil profile.

Surface interactions involving simple minerals, as well as synthesized single metal oxide and hydroxide minerals, were described using surface complexation models (SCMs). These models are similar in their descriptions of surface reactions, each treating the surface as if it were composed of amphoteric hydroxide functional groups capable of reacting with sorbing cationic or anionic species to form surface complexes. The models differ in complexity, their descriptions of the electrical diffuse layer, and how changes in the background electrolyte concentration are incorporated in model computations (Kriaa et al., 2009). Among SCMs, the double layer model (DLM) and the constant capacitance model (CCM) were applied to model the experimental results of oxide surfaces (Stumm et al., 1980; Dzombak and Morel, 1990). Application of SCMs to soils is less common than to pure minerals because of the complex chemical composition of soils (Kriaa et al., 2009). Intrinsic surface protonation-dissociation parameters for surface complexation modeling in soils are often adopted from calculations on compilations of reference hydrous oxide minerals (Charlet and Sposito, 1987). However, models that are based on oxide systems often give unsatisfactory results when applied to the measurement of surface charge chemistry of soils (Duquette and Hendershot, 1993).

Although the surface charge behavior of Oxisols is dominated by inorganic hydroxyl groups lying at the particle surface that are similar to the surfaces of pure oxide systems (Duquette and Hendershot, 1993), $\log K_a^{int}$ values of protonation-dissociation constants for two Oxisols were found to be 2 to 4 log units smaller than typical values for Al and Fe hydrous oxides by Charlet and Sposito (1987). Smaller $\log K_a^{int}$ values for Oxisols reflect the effect of organic materials coating mineral surfaces that interferes in charge-dependent soil reactions (Marchi et al., 2006; Dobbss et al., 2008; Alleoni et al., 2009).

Surface complexation models (SCMs) are directly linked to the surface area of the materials under study, and $\log K_a^{int}$ values of Oxisols are strongly influenced by the effect of organic substances. In a study of more than 400 Oxisol profiles, Tognon et al. (1998) showed that increases in clay content increased soil organic matter content. Therefore, surface area in Oxisols is a covariant of soil organic matter.

 $Log K_a^{int}$ values estimated from potentiometric titration data of soils may be used to define model parameters for use in DLM and CCM. Use of these parameters within the Visual MINTEQ may provide a modelling system that can predict protonation-dissociation reactions in the soil surface under changing environmental conditions.

The aim of this study was to estimate $\log K_a^{int}$ values from potentiometric titration data of 17 Oxisols from Brazil at three ionic strengths to define model parameters such as average site concentration

for use in DLM (considering one or two surface reactive sites) and in CCM.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Original titration data of soils from Silva et al. (1996) were used to estimate $\log K_a^{int}$ for 17 Oxisols (Tables 1 and 2). The authors used samples from the 0.00-0.20 m layer of Oxisols collected from several Brazilian regions, which were sieved through a 2 mm mesh. Further details and location of origin of each of these soils were published elsewhere (Silva et al., 1996; Pierangeli et al., 2001). This data was selected because these soils are representative Brazilian Oxisols; and as the soil is well characterized, including surface area data, it is among the few Brazilian published works that allow the present study to be performed. Soil titration was performed in triplicate with the use of 5 g of soil in 25 mL NaCl solutions of 1.0, 0.1, and $0.001 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$. The pHs of the suspensions were adjusted with 1 mL of 0.02 mol L⁻¹ HCl or NaOH and allowed to come to equilibrium for 72 h for each step in titration. The operation was repeated until the pH's of the suspensions were near 3 with the addition of acid, or near 8 with the addition of base. A control sample of solution without soil was simulated using the chemical speciation software Visual MINTEQ (Gustafsson, 2014). The SIT equation (Sukhno and Buzko, 2004) was used for corrections in ion ic activity.

Two surface complexation models were considered: the diffuse layer model (DLM) (Dzombak and Morel, 1990), and the constant capacitance model (CCM) (Stumm et al., 1980). Parameters for the DLM were estimated by the following methodology.

The adsorption density of potential determining ions (H^+ and OH^-) in the soil was calculated from the experimental data as follows (Equation 1):

$$\Gamma_{\rm H} - \Gamma_{\rm OH} = \left(\frac{1}{AS}\right) \times \left(Ca - Cb - \left[H^+\right] + \left[OH^-\right]\right)$$
 Eq. 1

where $\Gamma_{\rm H}$ and $\Gamma_{\rm OH}$ are the net surface H⁺ and OH⁻ adsorption densities (mol m⁻²), respectively; *A* is the specific surface area (m² g⁻¹); *S* is the solid to solution ratio (g L⁻¹); Cb and Ca are the concentrations of base or acid, respectively, added per liter of solution (mol L⁻¹); and [] indicates concentration (mol L⁻¹);

The net proton surface charge density, σ_H (C m⁻²), was calculated (Equation 2):

where F is the Faraday constant (96485 C mol⁻¹).

 Table 1. Soil characterization (chemical and mineralogical properties) from the 0.00-0.20 m layer of 17

 Brazilian Oxisols

 Location
 Mineralogical
 Sulphuric dissolution
 Fe₂O₃

	Location	mineralogical				Sulphulic dissolution		16203				_			
Soil	Municipality, State	Vm-OH ⁽²⁾	Kt	Gb	Gt	Hm	Mh	SiO_2	Al_2O_3	Fe_2O_3	CBD	Ox	Ki	Kr	Т
							g kg	1							$\mathrm{mmol}_{\mathrm{c}}\mathrm{kg}^{\text{-}1}$
1	Passo Fundo, RS	26	254	95	6	89	0	149	143	63	38	2.8	1.8	1.2	181
2	Ponta Grossa, PR	14	344	172	42	58	0	172	220	178	87	2.5	1.3	0.7	79
3	Londrina, PR	75	420	225	11	122	8	253	270	268	119	6	1.6	0.8	104
4	Paranavaí, PR	13	103	11	2	9	0	42	66	27	11	0.7	1.1	0.8	41
5	Campinas, SP	13	312	180	34	61	0	161	208	178	78	3	1.3	0.7	86
6	Jaboticabal, SP	9	171	141	3	15	0	114	192	100	51	2.1	1.0	0.7	66
7	Lavras, MG	11	190	484	16	19	0	159	270	125	46	2.2	1.0	0.7	60
8	Lavras, MG	19	305	374	22	0	0	141	330	110	60	0.3	0.7	0.5	64
9	Sete Lagoas, MG	18	491	254	14	73	0	343	319	120	73	3.4	1.2	0.9	41
10	Goiânia, GO	2	19	448	26	45	0	95	241	108	59	2.2	0.7	0.5	74
11	Planaltina, DF	40	360	75	17	29	0	150	187	88	52	3.1	1.4	0.9	103
12	Areia, PB	17	420	0	3	0	0	135	149	39	15	1.8	1.5	1.2	110
13	Ubajara, CE	2	69	69	10	0	0	46	75	15	9	0.9	1.0	0.9	88
14	Tomé-Açú, PA	27	327	0	6	0	0	124	135	33	10	0.7	1.6	1.2	88
15	Ijuí, RS	66	421	58	10	107	8	199	182	210	80	3.7	1.9	0.9	148
16	Chapecó, SC	121	526	61	4	71	7	246	217	139	62	4.7	1.9	1.2	158
17	Dourados, MS	36	402	112	11	79	10	203	213	301	76	3.2	1.6	0.7	117

⁽¹⁾ Data from Silva et al. (1996) and Pierangeli et al. (2001); ⁽²⁾ Vm-OH: hydroxy-interlayered vermiculite; Kt: kaolinite; Gb: gibbsite; Gt: goethite; Hm: hematite; Mh: maghemite; SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and Fe₂O₃ (Vettori, 1969; Embrapa, 1979); ki: molecular relationship SiO₂/(Al₂O₃; kr: molecular relationship SiO₂/(Al₂O₃ + Fe₂O₃); CBD: Fe₂O₃ extracted by the citrate-bicarbonate-dithionite method (Mehra and Jackson, 1960); Ox: Fe₂O₃ extracted by ammonium oxalate (Schwertmann, 1964); T: cation exchange capacity at pH 7.0.

Soil ⁽¹⁾	Clay	Sand	A	ОМ				
	g l	xg ⁻¹	$m^2 g^{-1}$	${ m g~kg^{-1}}$				
1	470	470	139.7	31				
2	630	290	166.1	22				
3	860	60	182.3	14				
4	139	860	90.4	9				
5	600	300	166.6	26				
6	339	660	147.0	29				
7	720	180	156.1	33				
8	720	170	182.6	48				
9	850	120	171.6	38				
10	540	360	145.3	30				
11	520	370	159.8	41				
12	440	550	162.9	43				
13	150	800	91.0	26				
14	360	600	103.1	16				
15	670	170	187.6	26				
16	790	60	196.6	45				
17	279	240	169.9	13				

Table 2. Soil granulometry, surface area (A), and organic matter content (OM) from the 0.00-0.20 m layer of 17 Brazilian Oxisols

⁽¹⁾ Data from Silva et al. (1996) and Pierangeli et al. (2001); A: soil specific surface area (Carter et al., 1965); OM: soil organic matter (Embrapa, 1979).

Similarly, surface charge, Q (mol kg⁻¹), can be written as (Equation 3):

$$Q = \frac{(\sigma_H \times A)}{F}$$
 Eq. 3

The mass balance on the total number of adsorption sites is assumed as imposed via equation 4:

$$SOH^0 = SOH - SO^- - SOH_2^+$$
 Eq. 4

where S denotes a structural metal ion of the oxide surface; SOH_2^+ , SOH^0 , and SO^- are the protonated, neutral, and deprotonated surface species, respectively; and surface plane protons are depicted by H⁺.

A curve fitting hydrogen ion sorption was adapted from Duquette and Hendershot (1993), where the maximum charge developed from one site ($Q_m = SO^{-} + SOH$) can be integrated in an equation, such as the Multi-Langmuir. Whereas these authors used the approach for back titration, the approach can be used to estimate the maximum charge for an acid-base titration as $Q_m = B_{max} = SOH_2^{+} + SOH$, by plotting Q + 1 (mol kg⁻¹) vs [H⁺] (mol L⁻¹) (Equation 5):

$$(Q+1) = \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_{\max 1} \times [H^+]}{Kd_1 + [H^+]}\right) + \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_{\max 2} \times [H^+]}{Kd_2 + [H^+]}\right) \qquad \text{Eq. 5}$$

where B_{max1} and B_{max2} are related to the maximum charges of two adsorption sites in soils. Total

site concentration (Nt; mol kg⁻¹) was estimated by Nt = $[(B_{max1} + B_{max2}) - 1/1000]$. Total site concentrations (mmol kg⁻¹) for two sites in soils were estimated by Nt₁ = $[(Bmax_1 \times Nt)/(Bmax_1 + Bmax_2)]$, and Nt₂ = $[(Bmax_2 \times Nt)/(Bmax_1 + Bmax_2)]$. Maximum charge parameters were obtained adjusting data to equation 5 by the least sum of squares from residuals, using the Sigma Plot 12.0 software.

The acid/base properties of an amphoteric oxide surface are described by two reactions (Charlet and Sposito, 1987) (Equations 6 and 7):

$$K_{a1} = \frac{\{SOH\} \times [H^+]_{\sigma_{H \to 0}}}{\{SOH_2^+\}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{H \to 0}} Ka_1^{int}$$
 Eq. 6

$$K_{a2} = \frac{\left| \left\{ SO^{-} \right\} \times \left[H^{+} \right] \right|_{\sigma_{H} \to 0}}{\left\{ SOH \right\}} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} Ka_{2}^{int}$$
 Eq. 7

where { } denotes the concentration of surface species (mol kg^{-1}).

Microscopic acidity constants where then calculated from conditional equilibrium constants. Intrinsic equilibrium constants were estimated by the graphical method (Stumm and Morgan, 1996).

The software FITEQL 4.0 (Herbelin and Westall, 1999) was used to estimate intrinsic constants for the CCM. As the CCM is very insensitive to values of capacitance density (C₁) (Goldberg, 1995), and the choice of this value is arbitrary (Hayes et al., 1991); Hayes et al. (1991) recommended using the best fit values (~1.0 F m⁻²). We chose to use a C₁ value of 1.06 F m² (derived from Al oxides) (Westall and Hohl, 1980).

Intrinsic equilibrium constants passed through Dixon's outlier and normality (Shapiro-Wilk and Lilliefors) tests using PROUCL software (Maichle and Singh, 2013). Intrinsic equilibrium constants were correlated linearly (Pearson's r) with soil properties, as shown in Silva et al. (1996), and Pierangeli et al. (2001).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Values of experimental charge density of soils estimated from titration at various ionic strengths (Figure 1) were used to estimate values of surface charge (Figure 2). At a given ionic strength, surface charge density decreased with increasing pH for all soils studied. The highest values of surface charge density were measured at low pH for all soils. An Oxisol from Paranavaí, PR, there was a convergence at the point of zero salt effect (PZSE) for all three curves (Figure 1). Convergence at the PZSE did not happen to all 17 soils, and was also observed in another study (Chorover and Sposito, 1995).



Figure 1. Experimental surface charge density $\sigma_{\rm H}$ versus pH for an Oxisol from Paranavaí, PR, Brazil, at three ionic strengths.

Estimates of total site concentration (Nt; Figure 2) rendered coefficients of determination (R^2) ranging from 0.94 to 0.99 for all soils and ionic strengths. Inner-sphere surface complex charge is negligible in Oxisols (Charlet and Sposito, 1987) and H⁺ and OH⁻ react with multiple soil surface functional groups, i.e., organic and inorganic surface functional groups from different minerals (Duquette and Hendershot, 1993). Thus, data transformation allowed fitting data below the point of zero net charge (PZNC), where positive and negative charges coexist.

In contrast with total surface charge estimated by hand calculation, results from titration data optimized in surface complexation models by FITEQL reveal that the weighted sum of squares of the residuals/degrees of freedom (WSOS/DF), a quality-of-fit parameter calculated from titration of soils, exceeded the limit recommended for pure minerals. It is assumed that the smaller value of WSOS/DF renders the best fit estimates. In general, values below 20 (for pure minerals) are considered as good fits (Herbelin and Westall, 1999). Given the differences between soils and pure minerals, as presented by Duquette and Hendershot (1993), soils are expected to exhibit greater variability and increased WSOS/DF values. Studying a Tunisian glauconite complex natural clay mineral, Kriaa et al. (2009) considered WSOS/DF values above 300 as unsatisfactory. For the calculations performed using FITEQL in our experimental data, average WSOS/DF values were above 300 (Table 3).

Values of Nt were set to be optimized through FITEQL, but some of the titration data of soils did not converge. As Nt values increase, convergence of the FITEQL program becomes more difficult, and overflow and singularity are two types of convergence problems (Goldberg, 1991). FITEQL optimized data of average site concentration for DLM and CCM (1 mol L^{-1} NaCl), and, for CCM



Figure 2. Surface charge Q plus 1 *versus* [H⁺] for an Oxisol from Paranavaí, PR, Brazil.

(0.1 mol L^{-1} NaCl), this value was close to the value estimated by hand calculation (Excel spreadsheet; table 3) and was more consistent (lower standard deviation) among soils than values from hand calculation. The interfacial potential in the CCM [equation details described in Goldberg (1992)] does not depend on ionic strength, and the CCM surface equilibrium constants cannot be corrected for changing ionic strength conditions. Because of that, a different set of CCM surface constants is required for each set of ionic strength conditions to be modeled (Kriaa et al., 2009).

Site density (Ns) or concentration (Nt) is a sensitive and important parameter for both models (Hayes, et al., 1991), and, in speciation programs, is directly related to surface area and charge density or concentration of the material under study. Some publications (Goldberg et al., 2002; Goldberg, 2004; Goldberg et al., 2005) show a standard value for Ns used for soils of 2.31 sites nm⁻² (information to convert Ns values to Nt is included in table 3). This Ns value was recommended for natural materials by Davis and Kent (1990). The value proposed by these authors may be subject to optimization from FITEQL.

For an Oxisol from Brazil, Charlet and Sposito (1987) found an Nt value of 144 ± 45 (I=0.5 mol L⁻¹NaCl; 1:1 background electrolyte suspensions). This value was used in equations such as 6 and 7 to estimate intrinsic surface equilibrium constants. These authors estimated $\log K_{a1}^{int}$ and $\log K_{a2}^{int}$ values of 2.33 and -6.34 in 9 mmol L⁻¹ NaCl, and 2.07 and -5.97 in 3.6 mmol L⁻¹ KNO₃, respectively. These values were very similar to those shown in tables 3, 4, and 5. Charlet and Sposito (1987) also noted that values were 2 to 4 log units smaller than typical values for Al and Fe hydrous oxides, and that the difference reflects stronger surface acidity of the Oxisol relative to the metal oxides.

		ССМ								
	Ex	cel spreadshe	et		T (1)					
	One site	$\frac{ \ \ {\rm Two \ sites} }{ \ \ {\rm Nt}_1 \ \ {\rm Nt}_2 }$		-	LITERT.					
	Nt			Nt	WSOS/DF ⁽²⁾	WSOS/DF ⁽²⁾				
		mma	l kg ⁻¹		mmol kg ⁻¹					
	$0.001 \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ NaCl}$									
Average	92.9	84.4	11.8	NC ⁽³⁾	NC	NC	NC			
$\mathrm{SD}^{(4)}$	18.9	15.9	10.2	-	-	-	-			
$0.1 \text{ mol } \mathrm{L}^{-1} \operatorname{NaCl}$										
Average	109.9	96.5	17.0	NC	NC	113.7	589			
SD	39.1	31.1	15.4	-	-	22.4	152			
$1 \text{ mol } \mathrm{L}^{-1} \mathrm{NaCl}$										
Average	150.6	124.4	26.2	89.1	762	91.7	758			
SD	83.5	60.3	23.9	13.1	141	27.6	155			

Table 3. Site concentration (Nt) from 17 Brazilian Oxisols using the diffuse layer model (DLM), estimated by hand calculation in Excel spreadsheets, and by using the constant capacitance model (CCM), estimated by FITEQL 4.0

⁽¹⁾ Capacitance of inner Helmholtz layer = 1.06 F m⁻²; ⁽²⁾ SOS is the weighted sum of squares of the residuals and DF is the degrees of freedom; ⁽³⁾ NC = No convergence; Site concentration (Nt; mol L⁻¹) may be converted to site density (Ns; sites nm⁻²) by the following expression: Nt = (SA × Ns × CS × 10¹⁸)/ NA, where SA is the surface area (m² g⁻¹), CS is g L⁻¹, and NA is Avogadro's number; ⁽⁴⁾ SD: standard deviation.

The use of model parameters derived from average pure oxide materials such as $log K_{a1}^{int} = 7.35$, and $log K_{a2}^{int} = -8.95$ (Goldberg and Sposito, 1984a,b) for Oxisol speciation would lead to a shift to the right (Figure 3) for dominant species, and the net surface charge would be positive for pH values from 4.5 to 6.5 (pH range of crop soils), which is not realistic. Therefore, modeling soils with initial inputs derived from oxide materials requires refitting and corrections for model geometry that may be optimized by FITEQL (Goldberg et al., 1996; Goldberg, 1999, 2000; Miller, 2001).

Surface charge and organic matter concentrations in surface horizons of Oxisols are closely related, and organic matter causes the point of zero salt effect (PZSE) to decrease (Dobbss et al., 2008). The authors suggest that mineral surfaces may be coated by organic matter that changes surface charge behavior. After the authors removed organic matter by 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH from two Oxisols with clay mineralogy dominated by Fe and Al oxides, the PZSE shifted from 4.0 - 5.0 to 9.3 - 9.5, and, from two Oxisols with clay mineralogy dominated by kaolinite, from 4.1 to 5.7. Organic matter, therefore, has a big impact on the soil surface charge of Oxisols and may account for more than 50 % of the total charge for these highly weathered soils (Alleoni et al., 2009). For SCM, in which results from further speciation are very strongly bound to soil surface area, and organic matter effects are not directly represented, a great variation in $\log K_a^{int}$ values among soils would render the modeling nearly impossible. However, $\log K_a^{int}$ variability for this group of soils was very small, probably because, for Brazilian Oxisols, organic matter and clay content are very closely related, and so is surface area (Tognon et al., 1998).

Of the 17 soils in the present study, two soils exhibited $\log K_a^{int}$ values that were considered outliers by Dixon's outlier test. One of them, a soil from Lavras, MG (soil no. 10), exhibited average $\log K_{a1}^{int} = 8.30 \pm 0.29$, and another, a soil from Londrina, PR, $\log K_{a2}^{int} = -0.49 \pm 0.76$. These two soils will not be well represented by the present modeling, and there is no evidence from soil properties (Tables 1 and 2) that supports a difference in their $\log K_a^{int}$ values from other soils studied.

As the ionic strength of the data sets increased, the optimized values of intrinsic equilibrium constants decreased (Table 4). For the DLM, in 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl, hand calculation results (Table 4) were very close to those estimated by FITEQL (Table 5). Correlation of $log K_a^{int}$, considering only one site, and soil properties from tables 1 and 2 showed that total Fe₂O₃ was positively and consistently (in all, or at least two studied ionic strengths) correlated with $log K_{a2}^{int}$ for DLM (p<0.1). Surface area and kaolinite content correlated positively with $log K_{a1}^{int}$ for DLM (p<0.05), except for 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl.

When two sites were considered, $log K_a^{int}$ from site A, for the 17 soils, correlated negatively with organic matter (p<0.1); and $log K_{a2}^{int}$ correlated positively with total Fe₂O₃ (p<0.1). Even though the $log K_a^{int}$ for all soils in site A did not exhibit normal distribution, its standard deviation was higher than the other average $log K_a^{int}$ values, and soils could not be accurately represented in the model. These results could clearly

R. Bras. Ci. Solo, 39:1386-1394, 2015

be divided into two groups of soils. For the first group (soils 3, 10, 14 and 17; tables 1 and 2), $\log K_a^{int}$ values for site A of four soils could be recalculated as: 5.71 \pm 0.5 and -4.83 \pm 0.39, 5.40 \pm 0.38 and -3.54 \pm 0.49, and 5.11 \pm 0.34 and -3.17 \pm 0.28 for 0.001, 0.1, and 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl, respectively. $\log K_{a2}^{int}$ from this group of soils correlated positively with total Al₂O₃ (p<0.1) for 0.1, and 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl and with Fe₂O₃ CBD (p<0.1) for 0.001, and 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl. For the second group, composed of the 13 remaining soils from tables 1 and 2, $\log K_a^{int}$ values for site A could be recalculated as: 3.36 \pm 0.24 and -7.11 \pm 0.18, 2.9 \pm 0.06 and -5.83 \pm 0.07, and 2.53 \pm 0.11 and 5.49 \pm 0.15 for 0.001, 0.1, and 1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl, respectively. $\log K_{a2}^{int}$ from this

group correlated negatively with gibbsite (p<0.1), and goethite correlated positively with and negatively with $\log K_{a1}^{int}$ for 0.1 and 1 mol L⁻¹. For site B, no consistent correlation was found.

Soil surface area was positively correlated and soil sand content was negatively correlated with $\log K_{a1}^{int}$ (Table 5) estimated by FITEQL for both CCM and DLM (p<0.05). For $\log K_{a2}^{int}$, no consistent correlation was found.

According to the chemical equilibrium considered in this study, surface speciation of soils (Figure 3), following results from Visual MINTEQ, show that from pH = 1.0 to 8.5, where

Two sites One site site A site B $\log K_{al}^{int}$ $log K_{al}^{in}$ $log K_{a2}^{int}$ $log K_{a2}^{int}$ $log K_{al}^{int}$ $log K_{a2}^{int}$ $0.001 \text{ mol } L^{-1} \text{ NaCl}$ 3.92 3.97 -6.59Average 3.28-7.29-6.58 $SD^{(1)}$ 0.430.18 1.131.070.710.51 $0.1 \text{ mol } L^{\cdot 1} \text{ NaCl}$ 3.513.66 -5.192.93-5.92-529Average SD0.26 0.271.11 1.050.470.581 mol L⁻¹ NaCl Average 2.61-5.593.14-4.943.16 -4.86 0.620.64SD 0.270.351.18 1.07

Table 4. Average intrinsic equilibrium constants from 17 Brazilian Oxisols, using the diffuse layer model (DLM), estimated by hand calculation in Excel spreadsheets for three ionic strengths

(1) SD: standard deviation.

Table 5. Average intrinsic equilibrium constants from 17 Brazilian Oxisols, using the diffuse layer model (DLM) and the constant capacitance model (CCM), estimated by FITEQL 4.0 for three ionic strengths^(1,2)

	D	LM	ССМ						
	$log K_{a1}^{int}$	$log K_{a2}^{\rm int}$	$log K_{a1}^{\rm int}$	$log K_{a2}^{int}$					
	$0.001 \text{ mol } \mathrm{L}^{\cdot 1} \mathrm{NaCl}$								
Average	NC^3	NC ⁽³⁾	NC	NC					
$SD^{(1)}$	-	-	-	-					
	(0.1 mol L ⁻¹ Na	Cl						
Average	NC	NC	3.51	-5.94					
$SD^{(4)}$	-	-	0.41	0.60					
1 mol L ⁻¹ NaCl									
Average	3.45	-5.49	3.58	-5.36					
$SD^{(1)}$	0.38	0.59	0.52	0.74					
Average SD ⁽¹⁾ Average SD ⁽⁴⁾ Average SD ⁽¹⁾	0. NC ³ - NC - 3.45 0.38	001 mol L ⁻¹ N NC ⁽³⁾ - 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ Na - 1 mol L ⁻¹ Na -5.49 0.59	NC - .Cl 3.51 0.41 Cl 3.58 0.52	NC -5.94 0.60 -5.36 0.74					

⁽¹⁾ Capacitance of inner Helmholtz layer = 1.06 F m⁻²; ⁽²⁾ Respective weighted sum of squares of the residuals shown in table 2;
 ⁽³⁾ NC: No convergence; ⁽⁴⁾ SD: standard deviation.





 $pH_{PCZ} = 4.42 \ [pH_{PCZ} = 0.5 \ (|pK_{a1}^{int} + pK_{a2}^{int}|)]$, the predominant species is neutral (Figure 3), and with the increase of pH from 4.5 to 6.5 (usually found in crop soils in Brazil), the charge increases from virtually nill to ~109.9 mmol kg⁻¹ as [SO⁻] in the soil.

CONCLUSIONS

Estimated values are ready for incorporation in geochemical speciation platforms such as Visual MINTEQ and provide a modeling system that can predict the protonation-dissociation reactions in Oxisols under changing environmental conditions.

Both values, estimated by hand calculation or optimized by FITEQL, allowed simulation of values of surface charges in Oxisols for varying pH values using Visual MINTEQ.

These values are more appropriate for use in surface complexation reaction modeling in Oxisols than using model parameters derived from average pure oxide materials.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge Dr. Sabine Goldberg from the University of Riverside, Riverside, CA for her helpful comments during the calculation phase of this study.

REFERENCES

Alleoni LRF, Peixoto RTD, Azevedo AC, Melo LCA. Components of surface charge in tropical soils with contrasting mineralogies. Soil Sci. 2009;174:629-38.

Carter DL, Heilman MD, Gonzalez CL. Ethylene glycol monoethyl ether for determining surface area of silicate minerals. Soil Sci. 1965;100:356-60.

Charlet L, Sposito G. Monovalent ion adsorption by an Oxisol1. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 1987;51:1155-60.

Chorover J, Sposito G. Surface-charge characteristics of kaolinitic tropical soils. Geochim Cosmochim Acta. 1995;59:875-84.

Davis JA. Application of surface complexation modeling to selected radionuclides and aquifer sediments. Menlo Park [CA]: U.S. Geological Survey; 2008.

Davis JA, Kent DB. Surface complexation modeling ,in aqueous geochemistry. In: Hochella MF, White AF, editors. Mineral-water interface geochemistry. Menlo Park [CA]: Mineralogical Society of America; 1990. p.177-260.

Dobbss LB, Canellas LP, Alleoni LRF, Rezende CE, Fontes MPF, Velloso ACX. Eletroquímica de Latossolos brasileiros após a remoção da matéria orgânica humificada solúvel. R Bras Ci Solo. 2008:32:985-96.

Duquette M, Hendershot W. Soil surface charge evaluation by back-titration: I. Theory and method development. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 1993;57:1222-8.

Dzombak DA, Morel FMM. Surface complexation modeling: Hydrous ferric oxide. New York: John Wiley; 1990.

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária - Embrapa. Serviço Nacional de Levantamento e Conservação de Solos. Manual de métodos de solo. Rio de Janeiro:1979.

Goldberg S. Adsorption models incorporated into chemical equilibrium models. Chemical equilibrium and reaction models. Madison [WI]: Soil Science Society of America; 1995. p.75-95.

Goldberg, S. Modeling boron adsorption isotherms and envelopes using the constant capacitance model. Vadose Zone J. 2004;3:676-80.

Goldberg, S. Reanalysis of boron adsorption on soils and soil minerals using the constant capacitance model. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 1999;63:823-9.

Goldberg S. Sensitivity of surface complexation modeling to the surface site density parameter. J Colloid Interf Sci. 1991;145:1-9.

Goldberg S. Use of surface complexation models in soil chemical-systems. Adv Agron. 1992;47:233-329.

Goldberg S, Corwin DL, Shouse PJ, Suarez DL. Prediction of boron adsorption by field samples of diverse textures. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 2005;69:1379-88.

Goldberg S, Forster HS, Godfrey CL. Molybdenum adsorption on oxides, clay minerals, and soils. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 1996;60:425-32.

Goldberg S, Lesch SM, Suarez DL. Predicting boron adsorption by soils using soil chemical parameters in the constant capacitance model. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 2000;64:1356-63.

Goldberg S, Lesch SM, Suarez DL. Predicting molybdenum adsorption by soils using soil chemical. Parameters in the constant capacitance model. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 2002;66:1836-42.

Goldberg S, Sposito G. A chemical model of phosphate adsorption by soils: I. Reference oxide minerals. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 1984a;48:772-8.

Goldberg S, Sposito G. A chemical model of phosphate adsorption by soils: II. Noncalcareous soils. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 1984b;48:779-83.

Gustafsson JP. Visual Minteq 3.1. Stockholm: KTH, Department of Land and Water Resources Engineering; 2014.

Hayes KF, Redden G, Ela W, Leckie JO. Surface complexation models - an evaluation of model parameter-estimation using fiteql and oxide mineral titration data. J Colloid Interf Sci. 1991;142:448-69.

Herbelin A, Westall J. Fiteql 4.0: A computer program for determination of chemical equilibrium constants from experimental data. Corvalis [Oregon]: Department of Chemistry, Oregon State University; 1999.

Jonsson C. Modeling of glyphosate and metal-glyphosate speciation in solution and at solution-mineral interfaces [thesis]. Umea [Sweden]: Umea University; 2007.

Kriaa A, Hamdi N, Goncalves MA, Srasra E. Acid-base properties of tunisian glauconite in aqueous suspensions. Int J Electrochem Sci. 2009;4:535-50.

Maichle R, Singh A. Pro-ucl 5.0. Statistical software for environmental applications for data sets with and without nondetect observations. Atlanta [GA]:USEPA; 2013.

Marchi G, Guilherme LRG, Chang AC, Curi N, Guerreiro MC. Changes in isoelectric point as affected by anion adsorption on two Brazilian oxisols. Comm Soil Sci Plant Anal. 2006;37:1357-66.

Mehra OP, Jackson ML. Iron oxide removal from soils and clays by a dithionite-citrate system buffered with sodium bicarbonate. Clays Clay Miner. 1960;7:317-27.

Miller GP. Surface complexation modeling of arsenic in natural water and sediment systems. Socorro [New Mexico]: New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Department of Earth and Environmental Science; 2001.

Pierangeli MAP, Guilherme LRG, Curi N, Silva MLN, Oliveira LR, Lima JM. Teor total e capacidade máxima de adsorção de chumbo em Latossolos brasileiros. R Bras Ci Solo. 2001;25:279-88.

Schwertmann U. Differenzierung der eisenoxide des bodens durch extraktion mit ammoniumoxalat-lösung. Z Pflanzenernähr Düng Bodenkd. 1964;105:194-202. Silva MLN, Curi N, Marques JJGSM, Guilherme LRG, Lima JM. Ponto de efeito salino nulo e suas relações com propriedades mineralógicas e químicas de Latossolos brasileiros. Pesq Agropec Bras. 1996;31:663-71.

Stumm W, Kummert, R, Sigg, L. A ligand exchange model for the adsorption of inorganic and organic ligands at hydrous oxide interfaces. Croat Chem Acta. 1980;53:291-312.

Stumm W, Morgan JJ. Aquatic chemistry: chemical equilibria and rates in natural waters. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1996.

Sukhno I, Buzko V. Ionic strength correction for stability constants using Specific Interaction Theory (SIT) Krasnodar [Russia]: IUPAC; Kuban State University; 2004.

Tognon AA, Demattê JLI, Demattê JAM. Teor e distribuição da matéria orgânica em Latossolos das regiões da floresta amazônica e dos Cerrados do Brasil Central. Sci Agric. 1998;55:343-54.

Vettori L. Métodos de análise de solo. Rio de Janeiro: Ministerio da Agricultura-EPFS; 1969.

Westall J, Hohl H. A comparison of electrostatic models for the oxide/solution interface. Adv Colloid Interf Sci. 1980;12:265-94.