Herbage Accumulation and Nutritive Value of Limpograss Breeding Lines Under Stockpiling Management


ABSTRACT
Supplements or conserved forage are often used to overcome forage quantity deficits for beef cattle, but stockpiled forage can be more economical. Limpograss [Hemarthria altissima (Poir.) Stapf & C.E. Hubb.] is the best available species for stockpiling in Florida because it is productive in autumn and maintains greater digestibility than other grasses at advanced stages of maturity. New limpograss hybrid breeding lines have been developed, but they have not been tested under stockpiling. Three limpograss breeding lines (1, 4F, and 10) and the most-used cultivar, Floralta, received 50 or 100 kg N ha⁻¹ at initiation of stockpiling and herbage accumulated for 8, 12, or 16 wk. Entry 4F had greater herbage accumulation (7.3 Mg ha⁻¹) than Entries 10, 1, and Floralta (6.1, 6.0, and 5.4 Mg ha⁻¹, respectively). Entry 4F also had greater in vitro digestible organic matter (IVDOM) concentration (530–594 g kg⁻¹) than Entries 1 and Floralta, but 4F was not different from Entry 10 (519–531 g kg⁻¹) after 12 and 16 wk of accumulation. As stockpiling period increased from 8 to 16 wk, herbage accumulation increased from 5.3 to 7.4 Mg ha⁻¹, dead material proportion increased from 1 to 10%, and herbage crude protein (CP) decreased from 44 to 32 g kg⁻¹. Limpograss hybrids 4F and 10 are superior to Floralta for stockpiling, stockpiling period should not be longer than 12 wk, and protein supplement will be required to achieve satisfactory animal performance on stockpiled limpograss.

S EASONALITY of forage production is a major challenge facing pasture–based livestock production systems throughout the world. The lack of forage for grazing in the season of shortfall requires supplementation as hay, silage, or concentrate, which increases the cost of livestock production. In central and south Florida, forage quantity limitations most often occur during winter, when cool temperatures and short days limit plant growth of most warm-season perennial grasses. However, the cool season is often not long enough to justify use of cool-season grasses as a forage source. Extending the length of the grazing season of warm-season forages can reduce the need for supplementation. This can be achieved by using cold-tolerant species or cultivars that remain productive after temperatures and day length begin to decrease or alternatively by stockpiling forage for use in winter.

Limpograsses have demonstrated ability to produce more forage during the cool season than any other warm-season perennial grass adapted to Florida (Quesenberry et al., 2004). In
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addition, stockpiling limpograss is a common practice in the southern part of the state where mild winter temperatures favor growth later into autumn, allowing limpograss pastures to be used until September and then stockpiled (Kretschmer and Snyder, 1979). Along with its superior cool-season production relative to other perennial grasses, another reason for using limpograss for stockpiling is that herbage IVDOM concentration is generally greater than that of other commonly used C4 grasses at advanced stages of maturity (Quesenberry et al., 2004). A constraint associated with limpograss use is that CP concentration may be below the requirements of most animal classes and protein supplementation may be required (Holderbaum et al., 1991; Lima et al., 1999; Newman et al., 2002).

Recent breeding efforts have resulted in the development of several limpograss hybrids that may have potential for use in Florida. Because stockpiling is a widely used management strategy for limpograss, there is need to evaluate these breeding lines under stockpiling management before consideration for cultivar release. The objective of this study was to assess the potential of three limpograss hybrid lines (1, 4F, and 10) for use as stockpiled forage and compare them with the current industry standard, Floralta limpograss.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description

The experiment was conducted from August to November 2012 and 2013 in plots that had been established in 2009 at the University of Florida Beef Research Unit, Gainesville, FL (29.72° N, 82.35° W). The soil was a Smyrna fine sand (sandy, siliceous, hyperthermic, Aeric Alaquods). Soil pH was 6.2, and Mehlich-1 extractable P, K, and Mg were 13, 175, and 101 mg kg⁻¹, respectively.

Treatments and Experimental Design

Treatments (24) included the factorial combinations of four grasses, three stockpiling periods, and two N fertilizer levels arranged in three replicates of a randomized complete block design. Plots were 1.5 by 1.5 m with 1-m alleys between them. Limpograss hybrids 1, 10, and 4F were compared with the cultivar Floralta (control). Subsequently, the grasses will be fertilized on time to evaluate these breeding lines under stockpiling management before consideration for cultivar release. The objective of this study was to assess the potential of three limpograss hybrid lines (1, 4F, and 10) for use as stockpiled forage and compare them with the current industry standard, Floralta limpograss.

Response Variables

Herbage Dry Matter Accumulated

and Herbage Accumulation Rate

At harvest, one 0.25-m² quadrat was clipped from the center of each plot to a 20-cm stubble height using battery-powered shears. The samples were dried at 60°C to constant weight and weighed to determine herbage dry matter (DM) accumulation. Herbage DM accumulation rate during the stockpiling period was calculated as herbage DM accumulation divided by length of the stockpiling period.

Morphological Characteristics

Average nonextended sward height (referred to as canopy height) was measured with a ruler at five sites per plot at time of harvest. At later stages of maturity, the limpograss canopy had lodged, thus both nonextended and extended canopy heights (the latter referred to as extended stem length) were measured. A lodging index was calculated as the ratio between extended stem length and nonextended canopy height. Bulk density was calculated by dividing herbage accumulation by average nonextended canopy height minus cutting stubble height. To determine plant-part proportion at each harvest date, four hand-plucked samples per plot were taken to a 20-cm stubble height and composited. The composite sample was separated into leaf blade, sheath plus stem (referred to as stem), and dead material fractions. All samples were dried at 60°C to constant weight and weighed.

Nutritive Value

Total herbage CP and IVDOM concentrations were determined using the sample collected to measure herbage accumulation, while plant-part nutritive value was determined using separated hand-plucked samples that were clipped to the same stubble height. All samples were ground to pass a 1-mm stainless steel screen in a Wiley mill (Model 4 Thomas–Wiley Laboratory Mill, Thomas Scientific) before analysis. Analysis for IVDOM was performed using a modification of the two-stage technique (Moore and Mott, 1974). For N analysis, samples were digested using a modification of the aluminum block digestion procedure of Gallaher et al. (1975). Nitrogen in the digestate was determined by semi-automated colorimetry (Hambleton, 1977), and CP concentration was calculated by multiplying total N by 6.25. The digestible organic matter to CP ratio (DOM/CP) of the herbage accumulation samples was calculated by dividing herbage IVDOM by herbage CP.
Herbage accumulation was affected by entry and stockpiling period \((P < 0.001)\). Entry 4F had the greatest herbage accumulation (7.3 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)), followed by 10 and 1 (6.1 and 6.0 Mg ha\(^{-1}\), respectively). Floralta had the least herbage accumulation (5.4 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)), however, it was not different from Entry 1. Nitrogen fertilization level had no effect on herbage accumulation \((P = 0.851)\), most likely because of the long regrowth intervals used in the study and the previously observed ability of limpograss to achieve excellent herbage accumulation with limited N availability \((\text{Quesenberry et al., 2004})\). Kretschmer et al. \((1996)\) tested different levels and combinations of initial and late N fertilization of limpograss, but similar to the results in this study, they did not find differences in herbage accumulation.

There was a linear \((P < 0.001)\) effect of length of stockpiling period on herbage accumulation, and it increased from 5.3 to 7.4 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) from 8 to 16 wk. Weather conditions may have contributed to the response observed. In both years, there were only a few days in October and November with temperatures below 10°C that would be expected to hinder limpograss growth.

There were entry and stockpiling period effects \((P < 0.001)\) on herbage DM accumulation rate, but N fertilization had no effect \((P = 0.846)\). Entry 4F had the greatest accumulation rate \((90 \text{ kg DM ha}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1})\), followed by Entries 10 and 1 \((both 76 \text{ kg DM ha}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1})\). The least productive entry was Floralta \((67 \text{ kg DM ha}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1})\), but it was not different from Entry 1. There was a linear \((P < 0.001)\) decrease in accumulation rate from 93 to 67 kg DM ha\(^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}\) as length of stockpiling period increased from 8 to 16 wk. A companion study at the same location found 2-yr average herbage DM accumulation rates ranging from 80 to 95 kg ha\(^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}\) during May to October \((\text{Wallau, 2013})\), thus herbage accumulation rate in the current study was only slightly less than that observed for these limpograss entries during the warm season. Two-year average herbage accumulation rates were ~70 kg DM ha\(^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}\) through October for limpograss cultivar Bigalta following initiation of stockpiling in late July or early August, but rates decreased thereafter \((\text{Quesenberry and Ocumpaugh, 1980})\). Despite the observed linear decrease in accumulation rate with increasing length of stockpiling period in the current experiment, the herbage accumulation rate was positive from 8 to 16 wk, illustrating the ability of the new hybrid limpograsses to be productive even during the shorter and cooler days of October and November.

**Canopy Height, Stem Length, and Bulk Density**

Canopy height was affected by entry \((P < 0.001)\), while extended stem length was affected by both entry and stockpiling period \((P < 0.001)\). Entry 1 had the shortest canopy height and extended stem length \((68 \text{ and } 82 \text{ cm}, \text{respectively})\); Table 1). Among Entries 4F, 10, and Floralta, canopy height \((\text{range of } 77–81 \text{ cm})\) and extended stem length \((\text{range of } 100–105 \text{ cm})\) were not different. Length of stockpiling period had linear and quadratic effects \((P < 0.001 \text{ and } P = 0.004, \text{respectively})\) on extended stem length, which increased from 8 to 12 wk \((83 \text{ and } 102 \text{ cm})\) but changed little from 12 to 16 wk \((102–106 \text{ cm}; \text{Table 2})\). Lodging index was affected by entry \((P = 0.013)\) and stockpiling period \((P < 0.001)\). Lodging index was greater for Floralta \((1.32)\) and 4F \((1.31)\) than for Entry 1 \((1.20)\), while Entry 10 was intermediate and not different.
than the others (1.26; Table 1). Lodging index increased linearly from 1.09 to 1.43 with increasing length of the stockpiling period \((P < 0.001; \text{Table 2})\).

Entry 1 is a lower growing type and less likely to lodge, while still producing significant amounts of biomass. This may be an advantage for stockpiling management, because canopy characteristics can affects both herbage accumulation and forage intake (Santos et al., 2009). A high lodging index can result in reduced harvest efficiency and wasted forage. Lodging index of ‘Basilisk’ signalgrass \((Brachiaria decumbens\) Stapf) was positively related to an increase in total herbage bulk density in response to increasing length of stockpiling period (Table 2). Bulk density increased from 112 to 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) as stockpiling period increased from 8 to 16 wk. This increase in bulk density may create barriers to ingestion of stockpiled limpograss (Sollenberger and Burns, 2001; Burns and Sollenberger, 2002), particularly because it is also associated with decreased leaf proportion and accessibility (Stobbs, 1973; Newman et al., 2003). These features of a mature sward canopy are to be expected because stockpiling is not a strategy to maximize forage quality but to provide forage quantity when most grasses are not actively growing.

### Plant-Part Proportion and Mass

Leaf percentage was affected by entry \((P < 0.001)\) but not affected by stockpiling period \((P = 0.107)\) or N fertilization \((P = 0.194)\). Entries 1 and 10 had a greater leaf proportion (both 23%; Table 1) compared with 4F and Floralta (both 19%). Stem proportion was affected by stockpiling period and entry effects \((P < 0.001)\). Entries...
4F and Floralta had a greater stem proportion than Entry 1, but the latter was not different from Entry 10 (Table 1). There were both linear and quadratic effects of stockpiling period (P < 0.001 and P = 0.002, respectively) on stem proportion; it decreased from 8 to 16 wk (74 to 69%, respectively). The decrease in stem proportion over time was unexpected, but it occurred because dead material proportion increased (0.8, 8.5, and 10% for 8, 12, and 16 wk, respectively; linear and quadratic, P < 0.001). Floralta had greater proportion of dead material compared with 4F (Table 1), but neither was different from Entries 1 and 10 (Table 1). Floralta was among the entries with least leaf and greatest stem and dead matter percentages. These traits generally have a negative impact on animal performance by reducing intake (Sollenberger and Burns, 2001).

**Nutritive Value**

**Digestibility**

Total herbage IVDOM was affected by entry × stockpiling period interaction (P = 0.038). Digestibility was greater for 4F than 1 or Floralta for all stockpiling periods, but 4F digestibility was greater than that of Entry 10 only at 8 wk (Table 3). The interaction also occurred because IVDOM for Entry 4F and Floralta decreased during stockpiling, but there was no effect of stockpiling period on IVDOM of Entries 1 and 10. There were stockpiling period and entry effects (P < 0.001) for stem IVDOM and an entry effect (P = 0.004) for leaf IVDOM. Stem IVDOM declined from 573 to 533 g kg⁻¹ as stockpiling period increased from 8 to 12 wk, but there was no further decline between 12 and 16 wk (linear and quadratic effects; P < 0.001; Table 4). Leaf IVDOM was not affected by length of stockpiling period. Entry 4F had greater stem digestibility (576 g kg⁻¹) than all other entries; their IVDOM ranged from 534 to 547 g kg⁻¹ (Table 5). For leaf, Entry 1 had greater IVDOM (564 g kg⁻¹) than all other entries (500 to 522 g kg⁻¹).

Previous studies reported similar digestibility of other stockpiled limpograss cultivars to those found for Entries 4F and 10 in this study (Carvalho, 1976; Davis et al., 1987; Quesenberry et al., 2004; Arrington and Brown, 2005). Carvalho (1976) measured IVDOM concentrations of 589, 533, and 516 g kg⁻¹ for 8-, 12-, and 16-wk-old Bigalta limpograss regrowth, respectively. Compared with bahiagrass (*Paspalum notatum* Flüggé), limpograss IVDOM was 155 to 34 to 39 g kg⁻¹ of DM as N level increased from 50 to 100 kg N ha⁻¹. Among entries, 4F had the lowest CP (32 g kg⁻¹) compared with all others, which ranged from 37 to 39 g kg⁻¹ (Table 5). This lower CP in 4F was associated with the least proportion of leaf and greatest herbage accumulation. Stem CP was affected only by stockpiling period (P < 0.001) and declined linearly from 22 g kg⁻¹ DM at 8 wk to 16 g kg⁻¹ at 16 wk (Table 4). Leaf CP declined from 8 to 12 wk (88 to 74 g kg⁻¹), but it remained constant at 74 g kg⁻¹ thereafter (linear and quadratic effects; P < 0.001; Table 4). Leaf CP was only slightly greater at 100 kg N ha⁻¹ (81 g kg⁻¹) than at 50 kg N ha⁻¹ (77 g kg⁻¹).

Total herbage CP was below requirements of all livestock classes, and this response is typical of previous reports ranging from 480 to 510 g kg⁻¹, whereas 68% of limpograss samples were above 510 g kg⁻¹ (Moore, 1992).

An unusual characteristic of limpograss is that stem plus sheath IVDOM may be similar to or greater than leaf IVDOM. This is counter to well-established patterns for other species (Sollenberger et al., 2012), but the response is not unique to this experiment. Holderbaum et al. (1992) evaluated plant part and nutrient distribution in limpograss canopies and found leaf IVDOM was lower than stem (529 vs. 550 g kg⁻¹, respectively). Kretscher et al. (1996) sampled stockpiled limpograss canopies in 25-cm strata above a 10-cm stubble height. The three bottom segments (out of five) consisted primarily of stem, but IVDOM concentrations were above 576 g kg⁻¹. Thus, despite low leaf percentage in stockpiled herbage, limpograss IVDOM remains high because its stems are more digestible than would be expected.

**Crude Protein**

Total herbage CP was affected by stockpiling period, N fertilization, and entry main effects (P < 0.001). It decreased from 8 to 16 wk (44 to 32 g kg⁻¹; linear and quadratic effects, P < 0.001; Table 4). The magnitude of the N fertilization effect was small, with CP increasing only from 34 to 39 g kg⁻¹ of DM as N level increased from 50 to 100 kg ha⁻¹. Among entries, 4F had the lowest CP (32 g kg⁻¹) compared with all others, which ranged from 37 to 39 g kg⁻¹ (Table 5). This lower CP in 4F was associated with the least proportion of leaf and greatest herbage accumulation. Stem CP was affected only by stockpiling period (P < 0.001) and declined linearly from 22 g kg⁻¹ DM at 8 wk to 16 g kg⁻¹ at 16 wk (Table 4). Leaf CP declined from 8 to 12 wk (88 to 74 g kg⁻¹), but it remained constant at 74 g kg⁻¹ thereafter (linear and quadratic effects; P < 0.001; Table 4). Leaf CP was only slightly greater at 100 kg N ha⁻¹ (81 g kg⁻¹) than at 50 kg N ha⁻¹ (77 g kg⁻¹).

### Table 5. Total herbage crude protein (CP) concentration and digestible organic matter (DOM)/CP ratio and leaf and stem CP and in vitro digestible organic matter (IVDOM) concentrations for four limpograss entries. Data are means across three stockpiling periods, two N fertilization levels, three replicates, and 2 yr (n = 48).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Total herbage CP g kg⁻¹</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Leaf CP g kg⁻¹</th>
<th>Stem CP g kg⁻¹</th>
<th>Leaf IVDOM</th>
<th>Stem IVDOM</th>
<th>CP g kg⁻¹</th>
<th>DOM/CP g kg⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>38a†</td>
<td>14b</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>564a</td>
<td>534b</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4F</td>
<td>32b</td>
<td>19a</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>522b</td>
<td>574a</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>39a</td>
<td>15b</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>510b</td>
<td>547b</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floralta</td>
<td>37a</td>
<td>15b</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>517b</td>
<td>539b</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>NS†</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Means within a column not followed by the same letter are different (P < 0.05).

NS, not significant, P > 0.05.
for other limpograsses. Nearly 80% of limpograss hay samples submitted by producers for forage testing in Florida had CP below 70 g kg\(^{-1}\) (Moore, 1992). Limpograss hay without N fertilization and harvested at 10-wk regrowth had CP concentration of 30 g kg\(^{-1}\) (Arthington and Brown, 2005), and this was less than bahiagrass, bermudagrass [\textit{Cynodon dactylon} (L.) Pers.], and stargrass (\textit{C. nlemfluensis} Vanderyst). Across a wide range of N fertilization levels (0 to 400 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), Davis et al. (1987) reported that CP concentration increased from 90 to almost 150 g kg\(^{-1}\) for 8-wk regrowth of Bigalta limpograss. The limited CP response to N fertilization in the current study was likely due to long stockpiling periods and high levels of herbage accumulation.

While there is little difference in IVDOM between limpograss leaf and stem and among strata in the canopy, plant-part proportion and canopy stratum are important determinants of limpograss CP (Quesenberry et al., 2004). When stockpiled limpograss canopies were sampled in 25-cm strata to a 10-cm stubble height, CP concentration in the upper segments was at least twice as great as the lower ones (Kretschmer et al., 1996), while IVOM was nearly constant. In rotationally stocked Floralta pastures, stem plus sheath/leaf ratio was 2.1 in the top half of the canopy and 7.3 in the bottom half (Holderbaum et al., 1992). Stem plus sheath averaged 40 g CP kg\(^{-1}\) DM compared with 100 g kg\(^{-1}\) for leaf blade. In the current study, plant-part vertical distribution was not quantified, but leaf CP was approximately four times greater than stem CP. However, leaf composed only 23% of total herbage for Entries 1 and 10 and 19% for 4F and Floralta, so its impact on total herbage CP concentration was limited. Although Entries 10 and 4F were superior in some traits to Floralta, comprising on average 445 g CP d\(^{-1}\) would have to be provided in supplements. Moore (1992) reported that 81% of the limpograss samples sent to the Florida Extension Forage Testing Program had total digestible nutrients/CP ratios above 8 because of high digestibility and relative low CP. Mature lactating beef cows (~550 kg body weight) require 945 g CP d\(^{-1}\) to maintain a body condition score of 5 and reproductive function (National Research Council, 1996). Considering a stockpiled limpograss forage with a CP of 44 g kg\(^{-1}\) and intake of 21 g kg\(^{-1}\) of body weight (Aguiar, 2013), the forage would provide 500 g CP d\(^{-1}\) and the remaining 445 g would have to be provided in supplements. Although stockpiled limpograss is used primarily for mature cows, growing heifers (250 kg body weight) grazing stockpiled limpograss with similar CP concentration (44 g kg\(^{-1}\)) would also need to receive ~450 g CP d\(^{-1}\) to maintain an average daily gain of 0.8 kg and reach puberty at ~14 mo of age (Patterson et al., 1992).

**Digestible Organic Matter/Crude Protein**

Total herbage DOM/CP ratio was affected by entry and stockpiling period \((P < 0.001)\) and N fertilization \((P = 0.006)\). Entry 4F had a greater DOM/CP ratio than other entries (Table 5) due to overall greater IVDOM and lower CP. There was a linear increase in DOM/CP ratio from 13 to 18 as length of stockpiling period increased from 8 to 16 wk. The DOM/CP ratio was less for the greater than the lesser N fertilization level (15 vs. 17). High DOM/CP ratio of limpograss was previously attributed to stem IVDOM being approximately the same as leaf, while stem CP was only one-half to one-fourth as great as leaf CP (Holderbaum et al., 1992). These characteristics, along with the high percentage of stem in limpograss herbage accumulation, explain the high DOM/CP observed in the current study.

Moore and Kunkle (1995) suggested that cattle grazing forage with DOM/CP ratio above 7 to 8 are likely to increase forage intake and performance when protein supplements are provided. Moore (1992) reported that 81% of the limpograss samples sent to the Florida Extension Forage Testing Program had total digestible nutrients/CP ratios above 8 because of high digestibility and relative low CP. Mature lactating beef cows (~550 kg body weight) require 945 g CP d\(^{-1}\) to maintain a body condition score of 5 and reproductive function (National Research Council, 1996). Considering a stockpiled limpograss forage with a CP of 44 g kg\(^{-1}\) and intake of 21 g kg\(^{-1}\) of body weight (Aguiar, 2013), the forage would provide 500 g CP d\(^{-1}\) and the remaining 445 g would have to be provided in supplements. Although stockpiled limpograss is used primarily for mature cows, growing heifers (250 kg body weight) grazing stockpiled limpograss with similar CP concentration (44 g kg\(^{-1}\)) would also need to receive ~450 g CP d\(^{-1}\) to maintain an average daily gain of 0.8 kg and reach puberty at ~14 mo of age (Patterson et al., 1992).

**Summary and Conclusions**

New hybrid breeding lines 4F and 10 were more productive under stockpiling management than industry standard cultivar Floralta. Entry 4F had lesser leaf percentage and herbage CP but greater herbage IVDOM, stem percentage, and DOM/CP than Floralta. Increasing N fertilization from 50 to 100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) had little effect on the response variables measured, and there was insufficient benefit to justify the cost of additional fertilizer. Forage nutritive value decreased less between 12 and 16 wk than between 8 and 12 wk, however, herbage accumulation continued to increase through 16 wk. Lodging index increased as length of stockpiling period increased, providing a greater challenge to efficient use of herbage for longer stockpiling periods. The length of stockpiling period will likely need to be considerably less than 16 wk to avoid excessive lodging and buildup of stem in the canopy. The new limpograss hybrids 4F and 10 possess advantages that favor their use for stockpiling over Floralta, but regardless of the breeding line or cultivar used, protein supplement will be required to achieve satisfactory levels of animal performance.
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