ISSN 1983-974X outubro, 2016

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária Embrapa Gado de Corte Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento

Documentos 216

II SIGEE – Second International Symposium on Greenhouse Gases in Agriculture – Proceedings

Organizadores Roberto Giolo de Almeida (Coordenador) Patrícia Perondi Anchão Oliveira Maurício Saito Cleber Oliveira Soares Lucas Galvan Lucimara Chiari Fabiana Villa Alves Davi José Bungenstab

Embrapa Brasília, DF 2016 Exemplares desta publicação podem ser adquiridos na:

Embrapa Gado de Corte Av. Rádio Maia, 830, Zona Rural, Campo Grande, MS, 79106-550 Fone: (67) 3368 2000 Fax: (67) 3368 2150 http://www.embrapa.br/gado-de-corte https://www.embrapa.br/fale-conosco/sac

Comitê de Publicações da Unidade

Presidente: Ronney Robson Mamede Secretário-Executivo: Rodrigo Carvalho Alva Membros: Alexandre Romeiro de Araújo, Andréa Alves do Egito, Kadijah Suleiman Jaghub, Liana Jank, Lucimara Chiari, Marcelo Castro Pereira, Mariane de Mendonça Vilela, Rodiney de Arruda Mauro, Wilson Werner Koller

Supervisão editorial: *Rodrigo Carvalho Alva* Revisão de texto e Editoração Eletrônica: *Rodrigo Carvalho Alva e Adionir Blem* Foto da capa: Luiz Antônio Dias Leal

1ª edição Versão online (2016)

Todos os direitos reservados.

A reprodução não-autorizada desta publicação, no todo ou em parte, constitui violação dos direitos autorais (Lei nº 9.610).

Dados Internacionais de Catalogação na Publicação (CIP) Embrapa Gado de Corte.

Anais - 2º Simpósio Internacional Sobre Gases de Efeito Estufa na Agropecuária [recurso eletrônico] / Roberto Giolo de Almeida et al. - Campo Grande, MS : Embrapa Gado de Corte, 2016.

502 p. ; 21cm. - (Documentos / Embrapa Gado de Corte, ISSN 1983-974X ; 216).

Sistema requerido: Adobe Acrobat Reader, 4 ou superior. Modo de acesso: <http://www.cnpgc.embrapa.br/publicacoes/doc/DOC216.pdf> Título da página da Web (acesso em 16 de outubro de 2016).

1. Gases de efeito estufa. 2. Agropecuária. 3. Emissões de GEE. 4. Embrapa Gado de Corte. I. Almeida, Roberto Giolo de. II. Oliveira, Patrícia Perondi Anchão. III. Saito, Maurício. IV. Soares, Cleber Oliveira. V. Galvan, Lucas. VI. Chiari, Lucimara. VII. Alves, Fabiana Villa. Bungenstab, Davi José.

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from soil cultivated with grass Marandu and subjected to rates and sources of N fertilizers in Amazon of Mato Grosso

Carine M. OLIVEIRA^{1*}, Alexandre F. NASCIMENTO², Bruno C. PEDREIRA², Dalton H. PEREIRA³; Renato A. R. RODRIGUES⁴

¹Aluna de Graduação em Zootecnia na UFMT/Campus Sinop, bolsista PIBITI/CNPq/Embrapa Agrossilvipastoril; ²Pesquisador da Embrapa Agrossilvipastoril, Sinop-MT; ³Professor Adjunto IV da Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso/Campus Sinop; ⁴Pesquisador da Embrapa Solos, Rio de Janeiro-RJ.

Introduction

Nitrogen is the nutrient required for the fodder production, and main sources are urea and ammonium sulfate, with 45% and 24% of N, respectively. The application of nitrogen may greatly increase the production of forage since improves the availability of exchangeable N in soil. The minimum and maximum rate usually applied are 40 and 80 kg ha⁻¹ of N (SOUZA et al., 2004). If on the one hand it promotes the growth of plants, on the other the nitrogen fertilization increases N₂O emissions from soils. Hence, the increase in emissions because of this agricultural practice must be understood as it contributes to the increase in greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere, which are related to climate changes (RODRIGUES, 2006).

Among the gases considered significant to global warming, the N_2O is importance to agricultural systems because most global emissions of this gas are from processes occurring in the soil triggered by the N fertilization (Mosier et al., 2004). N_2O has a global warming potential 310 times higher than CO_2 (GWP - Global Warming Potential) (IPCC, 1997).

The aim of this work was to measure the N_2O emissions and to calculate the emission factors of two sources and two rates of N fer-

tilizers in pasture of *B. brizantha* cv. Marandu in the Amazon of Mato Grosso.

Material and Methods

The study was carried out at Embrapa Agrosilvopastoral in Sinop / MT. The soil of the experimental area is classified as Oxisol, with 46% of clay and flat relief. The experimental period was 28 days, a cycle of grass growth, starting on January 13 and end on February 10, 2016. The experimental design was a randomized block with three replications and five treatments. The grass *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Marandu was subjected to different N rates (N): (1) control (without application), (2) Ammonium sulfate 40 kg ha⁻¹ of N, (3) Ammonium sulfate 80 kg ha⁻¹ of N, (4) Urea 40 kg ha⁻¹ of N, (5) Urea 80 kg ha⁻¹ of N.

The gas samples were taken daily in the first two weeks, starting two days before the application of the treatments. After two weeks of daily collections of gas samples, the sampling was made every 5 days to complete the 28-day cycle. The gases were sampling between 8 and 11 am in static chambers, top-base model, where four 20 mL aliquots were collected in one hour intervals (0, 20, 40, and 60 min). The determination of the N2O concentration in the samples was performed on a Gas Chromatography.

The N₂O emissions were presented in graph as a function of time (days). For each day the data were compared by the standard error of the mean, and this calculation was also used to compare the daily average emissions. The emission factor for the period of 28 days was calculated using the cumulative emissions of such treatment, minus the accumulated emissions of the control treatment divided by the amount of N applied, multiplied by 100, to get value in percentage (%).

Results and Conclusions

N₂O emissions were lower than 20 μ g N m⁻² h⁻¹ before the application of treatments. After applying the fertilizer, the higher emission of N₂O was observed in the treatment with urea at a rate of 80 kg ha⁻¹ of N, increasing the emissions till the sixth day (Figure 1). Despite the highest absolute values of emissions, the application of urea at a rate of 80 kg ha⁻¹ of N resulted in N₂O emissions similar to ammonium sulfate in the same rate, with the exception only of the day January 18 and 22, in which the urea supply resulted in higher emissions.

In general, although with higher absolute values emissions than the control, treatments with rates of 40 kg ha⁻¹ of N showed emissions similar to the control over the study period, with few exceptions. From the day 27/01, as observed at the beginning of the assessments, emissions were similar for all treatments.

Throughout the experimental period, the daily average flux of N₂O of the treatments with nitrogen supply was higher compared to the control (Table 1), ranging from 18.98 to 29.91 μ g N m⁻² h⁻¹ for ammonium sulfate supply, and 18.88 to 45.13 μ g N m⁻² h⁻¹ for urea supply. In treatment without N application the daily average flux was lower than the other treatments:

11.18 μ g N m⁻² h⁻¹ (Table 1). Taking into account the standard error of the mean it was observed that the increasing order of higher average daily emission follows the sequence: control; 40 kg ha⁻¹ of N via urea and ammonium sulfate (similar); 80 kg ha⁻¹ of N via ammonium sulfate; and 80 kg ha-1 of N via urea.

In 28 days, the highest emission factor (0.19%) was observed with the application of urea at the rate of 80 kg ha-1 N, followed by ammonium sulfate at a rate of 80 kg ha-1 N, urea at a rate of 40 kg ha-1 of N, and ammonium sulfate at a rate of 40 kg ha-1 with values of 0.11%, 0.09% and 0.04%, respectively. These values are below the default

29

emission factor used by the IPCC (1997) for inventory calculations. Thus, for the conditions of the Amazon of Mato Grosso recommends the revision of this factor.

Therefore, regardless of source, treatments in which the N rates were lower proved more environmentally suitable in relation to the daily emissions of N₂O. The daily average emissions were higher at higher N rates, mainly when using urea as a source. However, future works should advance to correlate the emissions of N₂O with forage yield in treatments with N rates and fertilizers in order to identify the best ratio productivity/emission.




Figure 1. N2O emissions from two rates and two sources of N fertilizer on grass Marandu. Vertical bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Table 1 – Average N2O emissions and emission factors of two rates and two sources of N fertilizer on grass Marandu

Treatment	Average emission	Emission factor
	$N_2O (\mu g N m^{-2} h^{-1})$	%
Control	11,18 ±1,5	-
40 kg ha ⁻¹ de N - $(NH_4)_2SO_4$	18,98 ±2,9	0,04
80 kg ha ⁻¹ de N - (NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	29,91 ±3,6	0,11
40 kg ha ⁻¹ de N - CO(NH ₂) ₂	18,88 ±3,0	0,09
$80 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ de N} - \text{CO}(\text{NH}_2)_2$	45,13 ±9,8	0,19

31

References

COSTA, A. R.; MADARI, B. E.; CARVALHO, M. T. M.; MACHADO, P. L. A. O.;

BERNARDES, T. G.; SILVEIRA, P. M. Uso do nitrogênio na agricultura e suas implicações na emissão de gás de efeito estufa óxido nitroso. Santo Antônio de Goiás: Embrapa Arroz e Feijão, 2009 (Série Documentos).

MOSIER, A.; WASSMANN, R.; VERCHOT, L., KING, J.; PALM, C. **Methane and** nitrogen oxide fluxes in tropical agricultural soils: sources, sinks and mechanisms. Environment, Development and Sustainability, v 6, p. 11–49, 2004

SOUZA, DMG. & LOBATO, E., eds. Cerrado: Correção do solo e adubação. Planaltina: Embrapa Cerrados, 2004b. 416p.

IPCC (INTERNATIONAL PANEL ON CLIMATE CHANGE). Guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories: reference manual. Geneva, 1997. v. 3.