SOIL AND PLANT NUTRITION - Article

Sugarcane straw decomposition and carbon balance as a function of initial biomass and vinasse addition to soil surface

Carina Sayuri Yamaguchi¹, Nilza Patrícia Ramos², Cristina Silva Carvalho², Adriana Marlene Moreno Pires², Cristiano Alberto de Andrade^{2*}

1. Instituto Agronômico - Curso de Pós-Graduação em Agricultura Tropical e Subtropical - Campinas (SP), Brazil. 2. Embrapa Meio Ambiente - Jaguariúna (SP), Brazil.

ABSTRACT: The objective of this study was to evaluate sugarcane straw decomposition and the potential of increasing soil carbon as a function of the initial biomass and vinasse addition to soil surface. The experimente consisted of incubation (240 days, in the dark, humidity equivalent to 70% of soil water retention capacity and average temperature of 28 °C) of Oxisol soil samples (0-20 cm soil layer) with straw added to soil surface at rates of 2; 4; 8; 16 and 24 t·ha⁻¹ and with or without vinasse addition (200 m³·ha⁻¹). The following variables were determined: released C-CO₂, remaining straw dry matter, carbon straw and soil carbon concentration. The added biomass did not influence straw decomposition rate, but vinasse treatments provided rates between 70 and 94% compared

to 68 to 75% for the ones without vinasse. The straw (16 and 24 t·ha⁻¹) decomposition rate increased between 14 and 35% due to vinasse addition, but the same behavior was not observed for released C-CO₂. This result was explained by the twofold increase of soil carbon concentration, estimated by mass balance and confirmed analytically by the carbon concentration of soil samples. It was concluded that sugarcane straw decomposition, under no limiting conditions of humidity and temperature, did not depend on biomass initially added and that vinasse addition accelerated straw decomposition and potentialized carbon input into the soil.

Key words: carbon mineralization, nitrogen, waste.

*Corresponding author: cristiano.andrade@embrapa.br Received: Nov. 27, 2015 – Accepted: Abr. 25, 2016

INTRODUCTION

Brazil is a major sugarcane producer in the world, with approximately 660 million tons of stalks produced in the 2013/2014 harvest, in approximately 9.1 million hectares (CONAB 2014). São Paulo State is the largest national producer, with about 4.7 million hectares planted with sugarcane, equivalent to 51% of the planted area (UNICA 2014).

In addition to sugar and ethanol, bagasse, and, more recently, straw, also started to add value to the production process of the sugar and alcohol industry since they represent important raw materials for power generation (Dias et al. 2009). Currently, the sugarcane biomass is the main source of bioenergy in the country, playing a strategic role in diversifying the energy sources and reducing the use of fossil fuels (CNBIO 2015).

Among the important innovations in the industry, the mechanized harvest of raw sugarcane is noteworthy because it allowed harvesting sugarcane without previously burning it. In São Paulo State, the area harvested without burning increased from 37% in 2004 (Smeets et al. 2008) to 83% in 2014 (UNICA 2014). In this system, the straw input varies between 10 and 30 t·ha⁻¹·year⁻¹, representing a significant amount of carbon (C) and the possibility of nutrient recycling in the system. However, straw has become an input/raw material due to the demand for renewable energy and a change in focus of the industry and/or large business groups, which incorporated into their activities the production of "bioenergy" (Dias et al. 2009).

The value of straw as a raw material for bioenergy can be estimated relatively easily. On the other hand, the value in the field is related to potential benefits such as increased soil C (Razafimbelo et al. 2006; Canellas et al. 2010), reduced use of fertilizers (Thorburn et al. 2012), and maintenance of soil moisture (Marin et al. 2014). However, the straw can cause losses as well, such as budding failure and increased likelihood of fires (Rossetto et al. 2008) in the agricultural fields, and, therefore, should continue to be studied. A key aspect in this sense refers to the process of straw decomposition over time, since most of the straw benefits may be related to the presence of a physical barrier on the soil surface and the mineralization process of organic compounds.

The decomposition of straw or the mineralization of sugarcane straw carbon were evaluated in some studies in Brazil. In general, the average decomposition rate of straw to a ratoon cycle is $66 \pm 20\%$ (Oliveira et al. 1999; Robertson and Thorburn 2007; Vitti et al. 2008; Fortes et al. 2012). Differences in the straw decomposition process are related to the biomass chemical composition (Oliveira et al. 1999; Oliveira et al. 2002), soil type and its attributes (Kliemann et al. 2006), climate (Alvarenga et al. 2001; Kliemann et al. 2006), and water and oxygen availability (Oliveira et al. 1999; Austin and Ballaré 2010). However, the amount of straw remaining on the field after harvest may affect the decomposition process since it keeps the soil moisture (Oliveira et al. 2002) favorable to microbial activity, partially regulating the amount of inorganic nitrogen immobilized by soil microorganisms in an attempt to reduce C/N ratio and favor the mineralization process (Vitti et al. 2008).

The decomposition of sugarcane straw is strongly influenced by its composition, especially regarding the C/N ratio, lignin, cellulose, hemicellulose, and polyphenols present in the residue (Fortes et al. 2012; Santos et al. 2012). Therefore, under appropriate temperature and moisture conditions, N availability should play a key role in the straw decomposition process (Potrich et al. 2014).

Vinasse, a byproduct of ethanol production, is used as potassium source in the sugarcane crop. It contains soluble carbon between 3.5 and 36 g·L⁻¹ and nitrogen between 0.09 and 0.35 g·L⁻¹, which, added to the dose commonly applied in the field, may change the dynamics of organic matter in the productive system (Penatti 1999), increasing pH and microbial activity (Doelsch et al. 2009). Thus, maintaining the straw on the soil and adding vinasse may be considered strategic to maintain or increase the soil fertility in long-term sugarcane crops (Canellas et al. 2003).

This study evaluated the decomposition rate of sugarcane straw and the potential to increase soil carbon as a function of the initial biomass, with and without vinasse addition, considering that the industry needs the straw for energy and that the benefits of maintaining straw on the field depend on the decomposition process, among other factors.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted under controlled conditions (in a dark room at 28 ± 2 °C). The soil and straw samples were incubated in 2.5 L (13.8 cm diameter and 21.5 cm tall) glass jars closed with plastic lid, with and without vinasse addition.

The soil used in the incubation is classified as Yellow Oxisol clayey (67% clay) (Embrapa 2006), collected from the 0 – 20-cm layer in a sugarcane planted area. The soil fertility was determined following the methodology described in van Raij et al. (2001): $pH_{CaCl2} = 4.6$; O.M. = 30 g·kg⁻¹; $P_{resin} = 15 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$; K = 1.3 mmol_c·dm⁻³; Ca = 3.4 cmol_c·kg⁻¹; Mg = 1.4 cmol_c·kg⁻¹; H + Al = 4.1 cmol_c·kg⁻¹; and V = 55%.

The straw of the RB86-7515 sugarcane variety used in incubation was collected manually, separating green (pointers) from dried leaves. This material was dried in an oven at 40 °C and sieved to remove the remaining soil. The incubated straw samples contained a mixture of 25% green and 75% dry leaves (weight/weight), cut into 1 to 3-cm long fragments. Subsamples were ground and used to determine the levels of C and N by dry combustion in an TruSpec CN LECO® elemental analyzer (Leco, St Joseph, MI, USA). The C and N levels were 469 and 5.5 g·kg⁻¹ on a dry basis, respectively, and C/N ratio was 85.

The vinasse used in the experiment was not mixed with any other liquid waste from the industry. The vinasse chemical parameters were pH = 4.7; 116 mg·L⁻¹ Na, 1,496 mg·L⁻¹ K; 10.4 g·L⁻¹ C and 0.35 g·L⁻¹ N, determined following the Technical Standard P4.231 (CETESB 2006).

The experiment followed a completely randomized design, 6×2 factorial, consisting of 6 quantities of straw on the soil surface and 2 vinasse levels (with and without). Each treatment was performed in triplicate, totaling 36 experimental units.

Each jar contained 750 g of soil, a 5-cm deep layer on the bottom of the jar. The following straw quantities were

deposited on the soil: 20; 40; 80; 120; and 240 mg·cm⁻² dry basis, equivalent to 2; 4; 8; 16; and 24 straw t·ha⁻¹, respectively, and 9.38; 18.76; 37.53; 56.29; and 112.58 mg·cm⁻² in terms of carbon. The straw quantities were calculated based on the jar area (149.57 cm²).

The vinasse was applied at the rate of 200 m³·ha⁻¹, and this volume was assumed to be distributed in the 0 – 20-cm layer. As the soil height was 5 cm in the jar, the applied dose corresponded to ¹/₄ of the reference dose, which was equivalent to 150 mL·jar⁻¹. In addition to the straw and straw + vinasse treatments, soil and soil + vinasse (control) treatments were also incubated in jars to isolate the effect of the tested factors.

The humidity was monitored using the Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) technique during the experimental period to maintain 70% of the maximum water retention capacity. In the treatments with vinasse, only supplementary water volume was used to adjust moisture.

Straw decomposition pattern was evaluated according to the respirometry method recommended by the Technical Standard P4.230 (CETESB 1999). The C-CO₂ was captured using standard NaOH solution; the jars were opened periodically to change the NaOH solution, while the CO₂ of the removed solution was quantified by reading the electrical conductivity (Rodella and Saboya 1999), as described in Coscione and Andrade (2006).

The NaOH solution was renewed daily in the first 30 days of incubation and every 5 days after that until the end of the experimental period, which lasted 240 days.

At the end of the incubation experiment, the remaining straw was manually collected from each jar, and the jars remained open in an airy room to dry the soil. The straw samples were washed with ultrapure water and dried at 40 °C to constant weight. Weights were recorded to calculate the straw decomposition rate. Subsequently, the samples were ground in a Wiley mill (0.84-mm mesh sieve) to determine C and N content in the TruSpec CN LECO® elemental analyzer. Soil samples were ground in a mortar and sieved through a 0.150-mm opening mesh to quantify total C, also in the mentioned elemental analyzer.

Mass balance calculations for soil C and statistical correlation analysis were performed to assist in the discussion of the results. To determine whether the soil gained or lost C, the mass balance was performed using the equation:

$$C_{balance} = (C_{initial straw} - C_{final straw}) + C_{vinasse} - C-CO_2$$

where: $C_{balance}$ is the lost or gained soil carbon (mg·cm⁻²) quantity; $C_{initial straw} - C_{final straw}$ represents the

carbon (mg·cm⁻²) that remained in the straw at the end of the incubation period; $C_{vinasse}$ is the carbon (mg·cm⁻²) added to the soil-straw system via 150 mL vinasse; C-CO₂ is the total carbon (mg·cm⁻²) released as CO₂ until the end of the incubation period.

The results were submitted to analysis of variance considering a completely randomized design, double factorial (straw *versus* vinasse), and, in case of significant effect, we used regression for straw quantities and Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$) to compare means for vinase levels.

Statistical correlations between the mass balance results and soil carbon levels were determined to assist



Figure 1. Carbon released as $CO_2(C-CO_2)$ over time, with and without vinasse. (a) 0 t·ha⁻¹ straw; (b) 2 t·ha⁻¹ straw (20 mg·cm⁻²); (c) 4 t·ha⁻¹ straw (40 mg·cm⁻²); (d) 8 t·ha⁻¹ straw (80 mg·cm⁻²); (e) 16 t·ha⁻¹ straw (160 mg·cm⁻²); (f) 24 t·ha⁻¹ straw (240 mg·cm⁻²).

in the discussion of the results. The Sisvar software was used for statistical analysis (Ferreira 2000).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The C-CO₂ flows varied significantly with the addition of vinasse over time, during the incubation period (Figure 1). C-CO₂ emission peaked was observed on the third day of incubation for all treatments with vinasse, which was attributed to microbial metabolism of soluble carbon residue. This effect lasted 20 to 25 days in the controls (without straw) and in the 3 treatments with lower straw quantities (20; 40; and 80 mg·cm⁻²). In the treatments with higher straw quantities, the peak lasted less than 20 days. In general, the C-CO₂ flow associated with vinasse varied from 120 to 285 mg·cm⁻².

In fact, carbon vinasse originates especially from the compounds derived from the lysis of yeast cells and partially-fermented must, which are easily decomposed and a labile energy source for microorganisms (Glória 1980). Minhoni and Cerri (1987) also reported that vinasse decomposition in the soil resulted in CO_2 emission peak, in the first days of incubation.

Then, C-CO₂ flux peaked again for all amounts of straw regardless of vinasse, after the first 25 days of incubation (Figure 1a,b,c,d,e,f). Carbon mineralization is accelerated by increasing microbial activity due to the addition of more easily decomposable substrates to the soil (Souza et al. 2006). In this process, the initial phase is more intense, in which less recalcitrant compounds are sources of energy and carbon to microorganisms, but, over time, the process intensity tends to decrease concomitantly with increased participation of other more recalcitrant compounds (Gonçalves et al. 2010). Thus, the microbial community needed approximately 25 days to adapt to the carbon substrate characterized by the straw (more recalcitrant), since, almost all C of this residue (readily available) had already been mineralized in the vinasse treatments.

The highest $C-CO_2$ emission peak, associated with the straw decomposition, occurred near the 50th day of incubation (Figure 1) with variable magnitude depending on the amount of straw initially added to the soil. The C-CO₂ flows increased as the straw amount also increased (0.5; 0.7; 1.4; 2.6, and 2.9 mg·cm⁻² C-CO₂ for 20; 40; 80; 160 and 240 mg·cm⁻² straw, respectively). The cumulative C-CO₂ increased linearly with the amount of straw (Figure 2). The accumulated C-CO₂ was not affected by vinasse for straw quantities up to 80 mg·cm⁻²; however, the value was lower for higher straw amounts (160 and 240 mg·cm⁻²) in the presence of vinasse. The C-CO₂ emitted as a function of applied vinasse averaged 7.86 ± 3.34 mg·cm⁻², close to the C levels (10.43 mg·cm⁻²) applied via vinasse.



Figure 2. Cumulative carbon emission (C-CO₂) for the studied quantities of sugarcane straw (0; 20; 40; 80; 160 and 240 mg·cm⁻²) with and without vinasse. Vertical bars represent the least significant difference between C-CO₂ accumulated at each evaluation time.



Figure 3. Remaining straw dry matter for the straw amounts (0; 20; 40; 80; 160 and 240 mg·cm⁻²) with and without vinasse. Vertical bars represent the least significant difference between the average amounts of remaining dry matter by Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$).

The C-CO₂ accumulated at the end of the 240-day period was proportional to the amount of straw deposited on the soil, and, for straw amounts up to 80 mg \cdot cm⁻², vinasse had non-significant effect (Figure 3). The 2 largest amounts of straw released less C-CO₂ in the presence of vinasse, which is apparently contradictory considering that vinasse is a labile C source. However, it must be considered that the vinasse nitrogen can assist in stabilizing the organic material in the soil, similarly to what occurs in areas with straw and mineral fertilizers (Graham et al. 2002). Therefore, the fact that the C-CO₂ flow, for lower straw amounts (20; 40; and 80 mg \cdot cm⁻²), was not affected by the presence of vinasse can be explained by the lower N demand for carbon stabilization, since the soil is an N source as well. The higher the initial amount of straw, the higher the straw dry matter remaining on the soil (Figure 3). For the lower straw amounts (20; 40; and 80 mg·cm⁻² straw), vinasse had non-significant effect.

The remaining mass was 11 and 24% lower for 160 and 240 mg·cm⁻² straw (16 and 24 t·ha⁻¹, respectively) in vinasse treatment compared to without vinasse (Figure 3). On the contrary, the treatments with vinasse had higher accumulated C-CO₂ compared to treatments without vinasse (Figure 2). In fact, greater straw decomposition rate was expected in the presence of vinasse since this residue is a source of soluble C, which is readily metabolized by soil microorganisms (Glória 1980;



Figure 4. Straw decomposition rate for the studied amounts (0; 20; 40; 80; 160; and 240 mg·cm⁻²) with and without vinasse. Vertical bars represent the least significant difference between the straw decomposition rates in the treatments with and without vinasse by Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$).

Brito et al. 2009), and N, which also often limits the microbial activity.

The straw decomposition rate can be estimated from the slopes of the straight lines shown in Figure 3, assuming that the C mineralization or straw decomposition process stabilized in time. Straw decomposition rates were estimated as 79 and 71% with and without vinasse application, respectively. The straw decomposition rates at the end of the 240-day period (Figure 4) were not affected by the straw amounts. However, there was significant difference between treatments with and without vinasse. These differences occurred for the lower (20 mg·cm⁻²) and higher (160 and 240 mg·cm⁻²) straw amounts, where the straw decomposition rates were higher in treatments with (70 and 94%) and without (68 – 75%) vinasse.

The highest decomposition rate in the presence of vinasse may be due to soluble carbon and nitrogen present in this residue, in amounts able to change the dynamics of the mineralization process in the system, especially in the presence of sugarcane straw, rich in lignin and low in nitrogen. Furthermore, the application of vinasse may increase the pH, favoring the increase of microbial community and activity in the soil (Da Silva et al. 2007) and accelerating the decomposition of organic substrates.

Other studies in the literature reported that the straw decomposition rate is independent of vinasse



Figure 5. Remaining straw carbon for the amounts (0; 20; 40; 80; 160; and 240 mg·cm⁻²) with and without vinasse, added to the soil after 240 days of incubation. Vertical bars represent the least significant difference between the average amounts of remaining straw dry mass, with and without vinasse by Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$).

application. Oliveira et al. (1999) reported a straw decomposition rate between 66 and 75% regardless of vinasse for the 100 m³·ha⁻¹ dose. Similarly, Zotelli (2012) also found no effect of vinasse (56 m³·ha⁻¹) on straw decomposition rate for the amounts between 7 and 21 t·ha⁻¹. It is noteworthy that, in both papers, the vinasse amount applied was less than half of that used in this study, and the experiment was carried out under field conditions in which uncontrolled variability tends to be higher.

The remaining C results are consistent with those of dry matter, including the differences between treatments with and without vinasse for the 2 largest amounts of straw studied (Figure 5).

The contrasting behavior between the presence and absence of vinasse for the 160 and 240 mg \cdot cm⁻² straw treatments, observed for accumulated C-CO₂ (Figure 2) or the remaining dry mass (Figure 3), may be better explained by the C content in the soil and the mass balance.

The soil carbon content increased with the initial amount of straw in the treatments with vinasse (Figure 6), while, in the treatments without vinasse, the straw amount did not affect soil carbon.

It is possible to suppose that the soil carbon increased assuming that vinasse increased the straw decomposition rate and that the sugarcane straw, with high initial C/N ratio, could help stabilize C due to N deposition



Figure 6. Soil carbon for the straw amounts (0; 20; 40; 80; 160 and 240 mg \cdot cm⁻²), with and without vinasse after 240 days of incubation. Vertical bars represent the least significant difference between the average carbon content in the soil with and without application of vinasse by Tukey's test (p ≤ 0.05).



Figure 7. Carbon mass balance in the soil for the straw amounts (0; 20; 40; 80; 160 and 240 mg·cm⁻²), with and without vinasse. Vertical bars represent the least significant difference between the average balance of carbon in the soil with and without vinasse by Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$).



Figure 8. Correlation between soil carbon content and mass balance estimated based on the contributions from straw and vinasse, emitted as $C-CO_2$ and remaining straw dry matter. $C_{\text{balance}} = (C_{\text{initial straw}} - C_{\text{final straw}}) + C_{\text{vinasse}} - C-CO_2$. (a) Without vinasse; (b) With vinasse.

through vinasse. The challenge is to determine the C soil gains or losses, especially when considering short-term studies. Thus, a mass balance is proposed to diagnose C soil losses or gains to assist in the description of the mineralization process and the potential impact on the edaphic system.

A negative soil C balance occurred for low straw quantities, regardless of vinasse (Figure 7), while balance was neutral for 20 mg·cm⁻² (\approx 2 t·ha⁻¹) and 26 mg·cm⁻² (\approx 3 t·ha⁻¹) straw with and without vinasse, respectively. C soil gains were twice as high for 160 and 240 mg·cm⁻² straw with vinasse (Figure 7). The relationship between the carbon contents estimated by mass balance and quantified directly in soil was tested using statistical correlations (Figure 8).

A statistically significant correlation was observed between the C soil values obtained in the mass balance

and quantified directly in the soil, validating the estimates, since the C soil results were not included in the mass balance. Note that, in treatments without vinasse, the correlation was only observed for the data pairs generated in the 160 and 240 mg·cm⁻² straw treatments (Figure 8a), which is reasonable due to small C inputs (less than 3.3 mg·cm⁻² — estimated by the mass balance, Figure 7).

CONCLUSION

The straw decomposition rate is not affected by the amount initially deposited on the soil in non-limiting conditions of temperature and humidity. The vinasse application accelerates the straw decomposition rate on the surface and potentiates carbon input to the soil.

REFERENCES

Alvarenga, C. R., Cabezas, W. A. L., Cruz, J. C. and Santana, D. P. (2001). Plantas de cobertura de solo para sistema plantio direto. Informe Agropecuário, 22, 25-36.

Austin, A. T. and Ballaré, C. L. (2010). Dual role of lignin in plant litter decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107, 4618-4622. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0909396107.

Brito, F. L., Rolim, M. M. and Pedrosa, E. M. R. (2009). Efeito da aplicação de vinhaça nas características químicas de solos da zona da mata de Pernambuco. Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias, 4, 456-462.

Canellas, L. P., Busato, J. G., Dobbs, L. B., Baldotto, M. A., Rumjanek, V. M. and Olivares, F. L. (2010). Soil organic matter and nutrient pools under long-term non-burning management of sugar cane. European Journal of Soil Science, 61, 375-383. http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2010.01229.x.

Canellas, L. P., Velloso, A. C. X., Marciano, C. R., Ramalho, J. F. G. P., Rumjanek, V. M., Rezende, C. E. and Santos, G. A. (2003). Propriedades químicas de um Cambissolo cultivado com canade-açúcar, com preservação do palhiço e adição de vinhaça por longo tempo. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, 27, 935-944. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832003000500018. Centro Nacional de Referência em Biomassa (2015); [accessed 2015 Jan 05]. http://www.cenbio.org.br

Companhia de Tecnologia de Saneamento Ambiental (1999). Norma P4.230. Aplicação de lodos de sistemas de tratamento biológico em áreas agrícolas – critérios para projeto e operação. São Paulo: CETESB.

Companhia de Tecnologia de Saneamento Ambiental (2006). Norma P4.231. Vinhaça – critérios e procedimentos para aplicação no solo agrícola. São Paulo: CETESB.

Companhia Nacional de Abastecimento (2014). Acompanhamento da safra brasileira de cana-de-açúcar, v.1–Safra 2014/15, N.3–Terceiro Levantamento. Brasília, 1-27; [acessado 19 Out. 2016]. http://www. conab.gov.br/OlalaCMS/uploads/arquivos/14_12_19_09_02_49_ boletim_cana_portugues_-_30_lev_-_2014-15.pdf

Coscione, A. R. and Andrade, C. A. (2006). Protocolos para avaliação da dinâmica de resíduos orgânicos no solo. In J. C. Andrade and M. F. Abreu (Eds.), Análise química de resíduos sólidos para monitoramento e estudos agroambientais (p. 159-177). Campinas: Instituto Agronômico.

Da Silva, M. A. S., Griebeler, N. P., Lino C. and Borges, L. C. (2007). Uso de vinhaça e impactos nas propriedades do solo e lençol freático. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental, 11, 108-114. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1415-43662007000100014.

Dias, M. O. S., Ensinas, A. V., Nebra, S. A., Maciel, R., Rosell, C. E. V. and Maciel, M. R. W. (2009). Production of bioethanol and other bio-base materials from sugarcane bagasse: integration to conventional bioethanol production process. Chemical Engeneering Research & Design, 87, 1206-1216.

Doelsch, R., Masion, A., Cazevielle, P. and Condom, N. (2009). Spectroscopic characterization of organic matter of a soil and vinasse mixture during aerobic or anaerobic incubation. Waste Management, 29, 1929-1935. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.12.009.

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (2006). Sistema Brasileiro de Classificação de Solos. Rio de Janeiro: Embrapa.

Ferreira, D. F. (2000). Manual do sistema Sisvar para análises estatísticas. Lavras: UFLA.

Fortes, C., Trivelin, P. C. O. and Vitti, A. C. (2012). Long-term decomposition of sugarcane harvest residues in Sao Paulo state, Brazil. Biomass and Bioenergy, 42, 189-198. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.03.011.

Glória, N. A. (1980). Utilização da vinhaça. Brasil Açucareiro, 86, 11-17.

Gonçalves, S. L., Saraiva, O. F., Franchini, J. C. and Torres, E. (2010). Decomposição de resíduos de aveia e trigo em função do tempo e do manejo do solo. Londrina: Embrapa Soja.

Graham, M. H., Haynes, R. J. and Meyer, J. H. (2002). Soil organic matter content and quality: effects of fertilizer applications, burning and trash retention on a long-term sugarcane experiment in South Africa. Soil Biology and Biochemistty, 34, 93-102. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00160-2.

Kliemann, H. J., Braz, A. J. P. B. and Silveira, P. M. (2006). Taxa de decomposição de resíduos de espécies de cobertura em Latossolo Vermelho distroférrico. Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical, 36, 21-28.

Marin, F. R., Thorburn, P. J., Costa, L. G. and Otto, R. (2014). Simulating long-term effects of trash management on sugarcane yield for Brazilian cropping systems. Sugar Tech, 16, 164-173. http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s12355-013-0265-2.

Minhoni, M. T. A. and Cerri, C. C. (1987). Decomposição de vinhaça em solo sob diferentes níveis de umidade: liberação de CO₂, formação de biomassa microbiana e imobilização do nitrogênio adicionado. Revista Brasileira de Ciências do Solo, 11, 25-30. Oliveira, M. W., Trivelin, P. C. O., Gava, G. J. C. and Penatti, C. P. (1999). Degradação da palhada de cana-de-açúcar. Scientia Agricola, 56, 803-809. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-90161999000400006.

Oliveira, M. W., Trivelin, P. C. O., Kingston, G., Barbosa, M. H. P. and Vitti, A. C. (2002). Decomposition and release of nutrients from sugarcane trash in two agricultural environments in Brazil. Anais do 24th Australian Society of Sugar Cane Technologists; Cairns, Australia.

Penatti, C. P. (1999). Avaliação nutricional em cana colhida sem a queima da palha. Relatório Interno Copersucar-RT974. Piracicaba: Copersucar.

Potrich, D. C., Marchetti, M. E., Potrich, D. C., Ensinas, S. C., Serra, A. P., Silva, E. F. and Souza, N. H. (2014). Decomposição de resíduos culturais de cana-de-açúcar submetidos a diferentes doses de nitrogênio. Semina: Ciências Agrárias, 35, 1751-1760. http://dx.doi. org/10.5433/1679-0359.2014v35n4p1751.

Razafimbelo, T., Barthes, B., Larre-Larrouy, M. C., De Luca, E. F., Laurent, J. Y., Cerri, C.C. and Feller, C. (2006). Effect of sugarcane residue management (mulching versus burning) on organic matter in a clayey Oxisol from southern Brazil. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 115, 285-289. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. agee.2005.12.014.

Robertson, F. A. and Thorburn, P. J. (2007). Decomposition of sugarcane harvest residue in different climatic zones. Australian Journal of Soil Research, 45, 1-11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/SR06079.

Rodella, A. A. and Saboya, L. V. (1999). Calibration for conductimetric determination of carbon dioxide. Soil & Biochemistry, 31, 2059-2060.

Rossetto, R., Cantarella, H., Dias, F. L. F., Landell, M. G. A. and Vitti, A. C. (2008). Manejo conservacionista e reciclagem de nutrientes em cana-de-açúcar tendo em vista a colheita mecânica. Informações Agronômicas, 124, 8-13.

Santos, F. A., De Queiróz, J. H., Colodette, J. L., Fernandes, S. A., Guimarães, V. M. and Rezende, S. T. (2012). Potencial da palha de cana-de-açúcar para produção de etanol. Química Nova, 35, 1004-1010. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40422012000500025.

Smeets, E., Junginger, M., Faaij, A., Walter, A., Dolzan, P. and Turkenburg, W. (2008). The sustainability of Brazilian ethanol — an assessment of the possibilities of certified production. Biomass and Bioenergy, 32, 781-813. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biombioe.2008.01.005. Souza, E. D., Carneiro, M. A. C., Paulino, H. B., Silva, C. A. and Buzetti, S. (2006). Frações do carbono orgânico, biomassa e atividade microbiana em um Latossolo Vermelho sob cerrado submetido a diferentes sistemas de manejos e usos do solo. Acta Scientiarum Agronomy, 28, 323-329. http://dx.doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v28i3.940.

Thorburn, P. J., Meier, E. A., Collins, K. and Robertson, F. A. (2012). Changes in soil carbon sequestration, fractionation and soil fertility in response to sugarcane residue retention are site-specific. Soil & Tillage Research, 120, 99-111. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2011.11.009.

União da Indústria de Cana-de-Açúcar (2014). São Paulo fecha safra 2013/2014 com colheita mecanizada em 83% dos canaviais; [accessed 2016 Oct 19]. http://www.unica.com.br/noticia/6551584920310 621254/sao-paulo-fecha-safra-2013-por-cento2F2014-com-colheitamecanizada-em-83-por-cento-dos-canaviais Van Raij, B., Andrade, J. C., Cantarella, H. and Quaggio, J. A. (2001). Análise química para avaliação da fertilidade de solos tropicais. Campinas: Instituto Agronômico.

Vitti, A. C., Trivelin, P. C. O., Cantarella, H., Faroni, C. E., Franco, H. C. J., Otto, R., Trivelin, M. O. and Tovajar, J. G. (2008). Mineralização da palhada e crescimento de raízes de cana-de-açúcar relacionados com a adubação nitrogenada de plantio. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, 32, 2757-2762. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832008000700020.

Zotelli, L. C. (2012). Palha e vinhaça: emissões de CO_2 , N_2O e CH_4 em solo com cana-de-açúcar (Master's thesis). Campinas: Instituto Agronômico.