



6th INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON SOIL ORGANIC MATTER

3–7 SEPTEMBER 2017
HARPENDEN, UNITED KINGDOM

www.som2017.org

JOINT MEETING OF



BRITISH
SOCIETY
OF SOIL
SCIENCE



ROTHAMSTED
RESEARCH

ABSTRACTS



Table of contents

Plenary Talks

Plenary Talks PT.01-PT.07	3
---------------------------------	---

Session 1: Global Perspectives

Global perspectives

Oral Presentation: O-1.01-O-1.04	11
----------------------------------------	----

Session 2: Modelling SOM: From soil pore to climate change

Soil self-organisation

Oral Presentation: O-2a.01-O-2a.03	14
------------------------------------------	----

Next generation SOM models

Oral Presentation: O-2b.01-O-2b.12	17
------------------------------------------	----

Poster Presentation: P-2b.01-P-2b.10	31
--------------------------------------------	----

C sequestration and climate change

Oral Presentation: O-2c.01-O-2c.20	42
------------------------------------------	----

Poster Presentation: P-2c.01-P-2c.40	69
--------------------------------------------	----

Quick Fire: QF-2.01-QF-2.02	112
-----------------------------------	-----

Session 3: Methods 1: Visualising SOM

Visualising SOM at the soil pore scale

Oral Presentation: O-3a.01-O-3a.05	115
------------------------------------------	-----

Poster Presentation: P-3a.01-P-3a.09	122
--------------------------------------------	-----

Spatial variability of SOM concentration, composition, and persistence

Oral Presentation: O-3b.01-O-3b.15	132
------------------------------------------	-----

Poster Presentation: P-3b.01-P-3b.20	146
--------------------------------------------	-----

Mapping and monitoring of soil organic matter

Oral Presentation: O-3c.01-O-3c.05	171
------------------------------------------	-----

Poster Presentation: P-3c.01-P-3c.07	177
--------------------------------------------	-----

Quick Fire: QF-3.01-QF-3.02	184
-----------------------------------	-----

Session 4: Methods 2: Quantifying pools and fluxes of SOM

Mass spectrometry – The key to the soil organic matter ‘Black Box’

Oral Presentation: O-4a.01-O-4a.09	187
------------------------------------------	-----

Poster Presentation: P-4a.01-P-4a.09	197
--------------------------------------------	-----

What is humified organic matter?

Oral Presentation: O-4b.01-O-4b.05	206
------------------------------------------	-----

Poster Presentation: P-4b.01-P-4b.04	212
--------------------------------------------	-----

Organic nutrients	
Oral Presentation: O-4c.01-O-4c.09.....	216
Poster Presentation: P-4c.01-P-4c.09	217
Quick Fire: QF-4.01-QF-4.02.....	226
Session 5: Soil Health 1: Biological interactions	
Ecosystem engineers	
Oral Presentation: O-5a.01-O-5a.10	229
Poster Presentation: P-5a.01-P-5a.03.....	239
Hot moments, hotspots and hotspheres	
Oral Presentation: O-5b.01-O-5b.15.....	242
Poster Presentation: P-5b.02-P5b.07.....	259
Biology of deep soils: The final frontier?	
Oral Presentation: O-5c.01-O-5c.05.....	265
Poster Presentation: P-5c.01-P-5c.10	270
Quick Fire: QF-5.01.....	280
Session 6: Soil Health 2: The role of decomposition	
SOM decomposition: links between carbon and nutrient cycling	
Oral Presentation: O-6a.01-O-6a.15	282
Poster Presentation: P-6a.01-P-6a.28.....	296
Managing soil organic matter decomposition and stabilization for carbon sequestration and improved soil health	
Oral Presentation: O-6b.01-O-6b.27	323
Poster Presentation: P-6b.01-P-6b48	354
SOM in rice paddy systems	
Oral Presentation: O-6c.01-O-6c.05.....	408
Poster Presentation: P-6c.01-P-6c.05	415
Quick Fire: QF-6.01-QF-6.02.....	419
Session 7: SOM as natural capital	
National and international SOM policy	
Oral Presentation: O-7a.01	422
Poster Presentation: P-7a.01-P-7a.04.....	423
Management effects on SOM and ecosystem services	
Oral Presentation: O-7b.01-O-7b.20.....	425
Poster Presentation: P-7b.01-P.7b.29.....	446
Incorporating SOM into farming system evaluation	
Oral Presentation: O-7c.02-O-7c.03.....	481
Poster Presentation: P-7c.01-P-7c.06	483
Quick Fire: QF-7.01-QF-7.02.....	491

O-2c.20**Evaluation of the soil carbon stocks in monocultures and a crop-livestock-forest integration system, in Brazilian Amazon.**

Marcela Guilles^{1,2}, Edison Bidone², Eduardo Matos¹, Renato Campello², *Renato Rodrigues³, Fabiano Balieiro³, Ademir Fontana³

¹Embrapa, Embrapa Agrosilvopastoral, Sinop, Brazil

²Universidade Federal Fluminense, Geoquímica, Niterói, Brazil

³Embrapa, Embrapa Soils, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Introduction

In the past century, agriculture has grown and developed based on the expansion of new areas for cultivation, leading to deforestation of large areas of native forests and natural ecosystems and causing the loss of environmental services. Despite the great importance of the sector to the Brazilian economy and recognized change that it has undergone in recent years, agriculture still uses production practices that promote large impacts in natural resources. Therefore, it is necessary to change agriculture paradigm with the use of management practices that allow physical and chemical soil properties balance, such as carbon increase and nitrogen soil content. Several studies indicate that the use of integrated production systems, such as Integration Crop-Livestock-Forest (ICLF), improve Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) accumulation.

Objectives

The aim of this article is evaluated the potential of carbon stock and the quality of this stock in different types of management (eucalyptus forest, crop, livestock and ICLF) in Sinop, Mato Grosso State (Brazil).

Material and Methods

Soil samples were obtained in 2010 and 2014 for five layers 0-5, 5-10, 10-30, 30-60 and 60-100 cm at each site. Several analyzes were made: evaluation of carbon and nitrogen stocks, organic matter density fractionation and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of soil organic matter, in the top 30 cm. I

Results

CLF and livestock were the treatments that had the highest stock values of C and the largest percentage gain of SOC, and were also the treatments that had the largest nitrogen increase. ICLF was the only treatment that had an increase of N in all three evaluated layers and which had the highest percentage of carbon gain (5,5MgC/ha) in three years of experiment. This was because in ICLF the consortium pasture with crop (corn and soybeans) and the grass contributes with large amounts of biomass high C/N, increasing soil cover persistence. Management systems, such as ICLF, with low soil inversion and higher plant residues in soil, in quantity and quality in different soil layers by materials with different C/N ratios, provide an increase in the soil organic matter amounts.

Conclusion

The carbon stock data show that Crop-Livestock-Forest integration systems has a great potential to act as a low-carbon technology.