THERMAL REQUIREMENTS OF CITRUS FRUITS GRAFTED ONTO ROOTSTOCKS IN THE LOW-MIDDLE REGION OF THE SÃO FRANCISCO RIVER BASIN
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ABSTRACT – To understand the production cycle of a particular cultivar in a given region, it is necessary to obtain information related to its phenology and the accumulated degree days. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the phenological cycle of citrus species grafted onto two rootstocks and grown in the Low-Middle Region of the São Francisco River Basin, in particular with respect to accumulated degree days. The experiment was conducted using ‘Rubi’ and ‘Pera D-12’ oranges and ‘Page’ mandarins. Two rootstocks were used, namely ‘Cravo’ and ‘Volkameriano’ lemon species that were drip irrigated in the Campo Experimental de Bebedouro, an experimental field owned by Embrapa Semiárido. ‘Page’ mandarins with ‘Cravo’ or ‘Volkameriano’ rootstocks exhibited a subperiod (0–10) of 183.32 and 181.24 days, respectively. Meanwhile, ‘Pera D-12’ and ‘Rubi’ oranges with ‘Cravo’ rootstocks had values of 249.57 and 178.58 days, respectively, while those with ‘Volkameriano’ rootstocks had values of 226.35 and 200.41 days, respectively. The accumulated degree days were measured from the initial sprouting to harvesting, which took place when the fruits presented a soluble solids/titratable acidity ratio equal to or greater than 12. ‘Page’ mandarins required 2,720 degree days, whereas ‘Pera D-12’ and ‘Rubi’ oranges required approximately 3,390 and 2,280 degree days, respectively. Finally, ‘Pera D-12’ and ‘Rubi’ oranges with either rootstock presented cycles characterized as mid-season and precocious, respectively, while ‘Page’ mandarins had precocious cycles.
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INTRODUCTION

Brazil ranks first in the worldwide production of both oranges and orange juice, generating approximately 17 million tons in 2017 (IBGE, 2017). The largest subnational producer of oranges is the State of São Paulo, with an estimated production of 12.290 million tons. The Northeast Region of Brazil is the second-largest producer in the country, with a total yield of approximately 1.026 million tons (ANUÁRIO, 2016). In addition, Brazil is the third largest producer of mandarin oranges and hybrids, with an estimated yield of 937,819 tons in 2014 (AGRIANUAL, 2016).

The São Francisco River Valley region has one of the most favorable climatic and soil conditions for the growth of citrus fruit. This valley provides high-quality products with respect to the local market and is particularly notable for its low occurrence of pests and diseases (PASSOS et al., 2010; ALMEIDA; PASSOS, 2011). Koller (2006) reported that species cultivated under high temperature conditions exhibit a decreased growth cycle and inferior production with respect to samples grown under lower temperature conditions.

Citrus plants are among the most studied fruits in Brazil, with research particularly focused on cultivation and phytosanitation. However, few studies regarding their botanical and physiological properties have been completed (ESPOSTI; SIQUEIRA; CECON, 2008). Such studies are important in order to begin cultivating these species geographically, it is necessary to carry out studies of their phenological cycles, focusing on these species, following the usual citrus calendar.

Given the behavioral changes presented by these species geographically, it is necessary to carry out studies of their phenological cycles, focusing on the stages of flowering and fruiting. According to Morellato et al. (2000), phenological characterization makes it possible to determine when the flowers, seeds, and fruits are available. In order to determine the ideal harvest times for optimal fruit quality, it is important to understand the growing process and fruit development (ESPOSTI; SIQUEIRA; CECON, 2008).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the phenological cycle of citrus species that were grafted onto two rootstocks and grown in the Low-Middle Region of the São Francisco River Basin, with particular focus on accumulated degree days.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted between September 2014 and August 2015 at the Campo Experimental de Bebedouro, which belongs to Embrapa Semiárido in the municipality of Petrolina, PE, located at latitude: 9°09′S; longitude: 40°22′W; altitude: 365 m. According to Köppen (1948), the climate in the region can be classified as BShw, corresponding to a very hot, semi-arid climate, with a minimum average temperature of 21.6 °C and a maximum of 32.9 °C. Meteorological data was obtained from the Estação Agrometeorológica de Bebedouro of Embrapa Semiárido. The experiment was completed in Red-Yellow Argisol.

‘Rubi’ and ‘Pera D-12’ oranges and ‘Page’ mandarins were used. ‘Cravo’ and ‘Volkameriano’ lemons were used for rootstocks, with a spacing of 7 × 4 m. All trees were 10 years old. Drip irrigation was employed using two drip lines (120 L/day/plant) that were spaced every 50 cm along the planting line. The plants were fertilized and cultivated (with pruning) according to established techniques for these species, following the usual citrus calendar.

The phenological stages were evaluated by marking and tracking 40 branches on four plants of each of the tested cultivar combinations. Flowering was evaluated by tracking early sprouting and sprout growth during the crop’s phenological cycle.

The number of accumulated degree days (DD) was calculated over the course of the study. This value was calculated for the different subperiods of the phenological stages, as described by Villa Nova et al. (1972):

\[
DD = \frac{(T_{\text{MAX}} - T_{\text{MIN}})}{2} - Bt
\]

Where:

- \( T_{\text{MAX}} \) = daily maximum temperature (°C);
- \( T_{\text{MIN}} \) = daily minimum temperature (°C);
- \( Bt \) = base temperature (13 °C).

The accumulated DD was calculated by adding up the daily DD of every subperiod. Maximum and minimum temperatures obtained in the Estação Agrometeorológica at the Campo Experimental de Bebedouro, Petrolina, PE were used to calculate the DD.

Plants were characterized using descriptive statistics with confidence intervals, applying the Student’s t-test to compare the averages of both the number of days and the degree days. The tests had a 5% probability of error and were completed using the statistical program SAS (Statistical Analysis System) (SAS, 2008) version 8.2.

In order to study the phenological cycle, the plants were evaluated from bud dormancy to physiological maturity using the following scale: (0) bud dormancy; (1) flower initiation; (2) full bloom with closed petals; (3) full flowering; (4) dry petals with style; (5) without petals or style; (6) fruit with an approximate diameter of 3 cm (“marble”); (7)
fruit with an approximate diameter of 4.5 cm (“ping pong ball”); (8) green fruit close to its final full size; (9) color breaking from green to yellow. Photographs of these varieties were also used to determine the physical and physicochemical properties of the plants; (10) Ratio (Soluble Solids (°Brix)/Titratable Acidity (% of citric acid)) ≥ 12 (BARBASSO; PEDRO JÚNIOR; PIO, 2005) (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Scale for the different orange and mandarin reproductive stages attached to different rootstocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenological cycles</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Images</th>
<th>Stages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Bud or dormant bud</td>
<td><img src="image1.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Bud or dormant bud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval between flower induction and anthesis</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image2.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Flower initiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Flower initiation</td>
<td><img src="image3.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Flower initiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Full bloom with closed petals</td>
<td><img src="image4.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Full bloom with closed petals (cotton swabs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollination period</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image5.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Full flowering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image6.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Dry petals with style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image7.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Without petals or style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit set and growth period</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image8.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Marble approximately 3 cm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image9.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Ping pong ball approximately 4.5 cm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image10.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Green fruit close to its final full size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image11.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Color breaking from green to yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image12.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>Ratio ≥ 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 (A-G) displays monthly meteorological data, including both rainfall and average, maximum, and minimum temperatures. These cover the years preceding the studies, from 2009 to 2013, and from September 2014 to August 2015, respectively. This information was provided by Embrapa Semiárido.

Figure 1. Monthly data detailing rainfall and average, maximum, and minimum temperature from the five years preceding and including the course of the study.
There was greater rainfall in 2009 compared with 2010. The year 2012 exhibited the greatest shortage of rainfall over that five-year period. There was more evenly distributed rainfall during the research period. Medium temperatures increased in months in different years, reaching average annual temperatures of approximately 27 °C.

Given the conditions of the study, it was possible to verify that the fruits produced by dormant buds showed subperiods of development that ranged from 178.58 to 249.57 days. (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Table 2. Average length of development subperiods (in days) for the orange and mandarin samples with different rootstocks, 2014–2015 harvest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scion/Rootstock</th>
<th>0–3 (flower)</th>
<th>4–7 (ping pong ball)</th>
<th>8–10 (Ratio ≥ 12)</th>
<th>0–10 (Ratio ≥ 12)</th>
<th>Confidence Interval *(subperiod 0–10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>’Page’/’Cravo’</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>121.57</td>
<td>49.95</td>
<td>183.32</td>
<td>± 3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Page’/’Volkameriano’</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>112.06</td>
<td>58.11</td>
<td>181.24</td>
<td>± 2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Pera D-12’/’Cravo’</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>128.83</td>
<td>109.09</td>
<td>249.57</td>
<td>± 3.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Pera D-12’/’Volkameriano’</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>116.74</td>
<td>97.19</td>
<td>226.35</td>
<td>± 3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Rubi’/’Cravo’</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>98.11</td>
<td>68.49</td>
<td>178.58</td>
<td>± 4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Rubi’/’Volkameriano’</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>117.37</td>
<td>71.82</td>
<td>200.41</td>
<td>± 4.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*with 95% confidence that the mean is within the range.

For ‘Page’ on ‘Cravo’ and ‘Volkameriano’ rootstock, the length of the subperiod (0–3) was close to 11.80 days and 11.08 days, respectively. The subperiod (0–10) for ‘Cravo’ was higher than for ‘Volkameriano’, at 183.32 days (Table 2 and Figure 2). Different results were found by Nascimento et al. (2013). They observed that ‘Page’ on ‘Cravo’ and ‘Volkameriano’ presented periods of 192 and 179 days, respectively, for the 0–10 subperiod. Meanwhile, Nascimento et al. (2015) measured 229 days from flowering to maturity for ‘Page’ on ‘Volkameriano’ rootstock. However, the mandarin cultivar ‘Murcott’, when inserted on ‘Cravo’, gave a subperiod (3–10) length of 255 days (BARBASSO; PEDRO JÚNIOR; PIO, 2005). These results suggest that the length of the phenological cycle changes for the same cultivar depending on the region. It is very likely that these changes are the result of different temperatures in different regions.

‘Pera D-12’ on ‘Cravo’ rootstock had a shorter subperiod (0–10) length of 226.35 days, rendering it more precocious than ‘Pera D-12’ on ‘Cravo’ (Table 2 and Figure 2). These results differ from those reported Carvalho et al. (2012) in the phenological characterizations of ‘Pera D-12’ and ‘Pera D-9’ oranges on ‘Volkameriano’, which gave a subperiod (0–10) of 158 and 146 days, respectively.

Significant differences were observed for ‘Rubi’ when used with rootstocks during the subperiod (0–10). This might have occurred due to the precocious nature of ‘Cravo’. In contrast, Barbasso, Pedro Júnior and Pio (2005) did not observe significant differences in the length of the subperiod (3–10) using different rootstocks. ‘Rubi’ combined with ‘Cravo’ gave subperiod (4–7 and 0–10) lengths of 98.11 and 178.8 days, respectively, indicating a more precocious sample than ‘Rubi’ alone. This same cultivar combined with ‘Volkameriano’ gave subperiod lengths (4–7 and 0–10) of 117.31 and 200.41 days, respectively (Table 2 and Figure 2). Different results were reported by Bastos et al. (2012) for ‘Rubi’ on ‘Volkameriano’, for which the subperiod (0–10) lasted 119 days.
The subperiod (4–7) was the longest for every single cultivar, meaning that it was the slowest phase. This could be due to the observed high temperatures affecting the growth cycle and plant production. Species cultivated in high temperature environments usually exhibit a lower growth and production cycle compared to those cultivated in lower temperatures (Koller, 2006). When comparing these cultivars with others produced in the State of São Paulo, they also present a shorter cycle.

Table 3 and Figure 3 show that the accumulated degree days in the subperiod (0–10) for ‘Page’ combined with ‘Cravo’ necessitated a higher thermal demand (DD=2,719.22) in comparison with ‘Volkameriano’ (DD=2,689.88).

Different results were observed by Rivadeneira (2012) with the ‘Satsuma Okitsu’ mandarin, which gave a value of 2,029.37 degree days in Zona de Concordia, Entre Ríos Province, Argentina. Figure 3 shows that the highest values for the ‘Pera D-12’ orange were 3,389.09 and 3,151.27 degree days when grafted to the ‘Cravo’ and ‘Volkameriano’ lemon rootstocks, respectively.
CONCLUSIONS

When grown in the climatic conditions of the Low-Middle Region of the São Francisco River Valley, the ‘Pera D-12’ orange grafted to ‘Cravo’ and ‘Volkameriano’ lemon rootstocks presented a mid-season production cycle, comprising 249.6 days (DD=3,390 °C). The ‘Rubi’ orange and the ‘Page’ mandarin, grafted onto the same rootstocks, showed precocious cycles of 200.40 days (DD=2,280 °C) and 183.3 days (DD=2,720 °C), respectively.
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