#### ISSN 1678-992X



# Compressibility and water availability in Albaqualf soils under different deployment times in no-tillage

Diony Alves Reis1\*, Cláudia Liane Rodrigues de Lima1, Adilson Luís Bamberg2, Pablo Lacerda Ribeiro1

<sup>1</sup>Federal University of Pelotas/FAEM – Dept. of Soils, Campus Universitário, s/n – 96001-970 – Pelotas, RS – Brazil.
<sup>2</sup>Embrapa Temperate Agriculture, BR 392, km 78 – 96010-971 – Pelotas, RS – Brazil.
\* Corresponding author <dionyodin@gmail.com>

Edited by: Axel Garcia y Garcia

Received June 04, 2016 Accepted July 13, 2017 **ABSTRACT**: The southern Brazilian lowlands have been historically used for flooded rice cultivation. Over time, heavy machinery and intensive tillage practices have resulted in soil structure disruption, soil compaction, higher production costs and lower agricultural profitability. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of different deployment times of no-tillage (NT). Soil properties including bulk density (BD), compression index (CI), preconsolidation pressure ( $\sigma$ p), bulk density at preconsolidation pressure (BD $\sigma$ p), degree of compactness (DC), soil water retention curve (SWRC), plant available water (PAW) and total organic carbon (TOC) content were evaluated using a 30-yr non-cultivated field (NC), adjacent to the experimental plots as a control. The BD,  $\sigma$ p, BD $\sigma$ p and DC decreased in response to NT adoption time while the soil water holding capacity increased, allowing for higher PAW. Results from this study demonstrated the positive effects of NT on the overall quality of soils.

Keywords: lowlands, preconsolidation pressure, degree of compactness, organic carbon

## Introduction

In Brazil, the Pampa biome is located in the state of Rio Grande do Sul (RS), represented by 5.4 million hectares, the majority of which are Albaqualf soils. These areas are mainly cultivated with flooded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.); thus, most are levelled (Streck et al., 2008; Parfitt et al., 2014).

Although land levelling and flooding favor rice cultivation, such practices affect the soil structure, turning it into a plastic consistency when wet and hard or very hard when dry. Sequential ploughing and intense heavy machinery traffic due to conventional tillage (CT) have progressively reduced the total organic carbon content (TOC) of these soils (Tran Ba et al., 2016; Crittenden et al., 2015). Moreover, the total pore volume, the macropores and the larger aggregates are degraded, increasing bulk density (BD) and creating compacted layers that reduce water availability and agricultural productivity (Bajpai and Tripathi, 2000; Kechavarzi et al., 2010; Parfitt et al., 2014; Moraes et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2016).

Cropping practices that minimize soil disturbance, and favor the maintenance of cover crop succession and rotation are well known for their capacity to promote the quality of well-drained soils (Aziz et al., 2013; Crittenden et al., 2015). Despite the recent expansion of rainfed crops in the lowlands of the Pampa biome in southern Brazil, science-based information about the effects of no-tillage (NT) on soil physical properties is still limited. The hypothesis in this study was that increased deployment time of NT improves the physical quality of lowland soil, reduces soil compaction, and promotes the availability of soil water.

Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of different NT deployment times on the compressibility and water properties of soil in different layers of an Albaqualf from southern Brazil.

# **Materials and Methods**

This study was carried out in the city of Capão do Leão, in the state of Rio Grande do Sul ( $31^{\circ}49'04,13''$  S,  $52^{\circ}27'53,77''$  W, 14 m above sea level), Brazil. The climate, classified as *Cfa*, according to Köppen's classification (Alvares et al., 2013), is characterized as hot mesothermal with the coldest average monthly temperatures ranging from 3 °C to 18 °C. The minimum average monthly rainfall is 60 mm. Thus, most of the time it is humid, and the average temperature of the hottest month is 22 °C. The soil was classified as an Albaqualf (NRCS, 2010) comprising 460 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of sand, 370 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of silt and 170 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of clay in the top 0.2 m of the soil.

The surface soil layer in four experimental plots that were historically managed under CT was homogenized before NT deployment through chisel ploughing and corrected for pH with dolomitic limestone using disc harrows. Next, different cover crops (Table 1) were established, using 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of mineral fertilizer (N-P-K: 2-20-20) for summer crops, and 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of 5-20-20 (base fertilization) and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N-urea (cover fertilization) for summer and winter grasses. Furthermore, spontaneous plants were not fertilized.

This study consisted of five treatments, four NT [one (NT1), three (NT3), five (NT5) and seven (NT7) years under no-till] and a control treatment comprising a 30-yr non-cultivated (NC) field located near the no-till treatments.

Soil samples were collected from the following depths: 0.00 to 0.03; 0.03 to 0.06; 0.06 to 0.10 and 0.10 to 0.20 m soil layers. The sampled layers were based on their susceptibility to physical and hydric changes that originated from the tillage and the root system activity of cultivated crops over time.

A total of 240 soil samples (three samples/layer  $\times$  four layers  $\times$  four replications  $\times$  five treatments) of un-



Dealer meant times of NT*		Agricultural year								
Deployment unles of MT	2006/07	.006/07 2007/08 2008/09 2009/10		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13			
NT1						Ма	Wh + Ma			
NT3				Ма	Rg + Sp + Sb	Wh + Sb	Wh + Sb			
NT5		Ма	Rg + Sp + Sb	Wh + Sg	Rg + Sp + Sb	Wh + Sb	Rg + Sp + Sb			
NT7	Sb + Wh	Ма	Wh + Sf	Wh + Ma	Rg + Sp + Sg	Rg + Sp + Sb	Rg + Sp + Sb			

Table 1 – Crop sequence cultivated in an Albaqualf soil under different deployment times of no-tillage (NT).

\*NT1 = one; NT3: three; NT5: five; and NT7 = seven years of no-tillage (NT) deployment, respectively; Ma = mayze (Zea mays L.); Wh = Wheat (Triticum aestivum L); Rg = ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum); Sp = plant of spontaneous development; Sb = soybean (Glycine max (L). Merr); Sg = sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench); Sf = sunflower (Helianthus annuus).

disturbed structure were collected with steel cylinders 0.05 m in internal diameter and 0.03 m in height. To determine compressive parameters, the samples were saturated with water by capillarity during 48 h and then equilibrated at a matric potential ( $\Psi_m$ ) of 10 kPa using a Richard's pressure chamber (Klute, 1986). After equilibrium, each sample was weighed and subjected to a uniaxial compression test utilizing an automatic consolidometer, while successively applying static pressures of 25, 50, 100, 200, 400, 800 and 1,600 kPa (Krümmelbein et al., 2010).

The soil compression curves were obtained by relating the applied pressure (x-axis,  $\log_{10}$ ) versus the BD (y-axis), which also allowed for obtaining the compression index (CI) and the preconsolidation pressure ( $\sigma$ p) (Dias Junior and Pierce, 1995). In order to exclude the effect of initial soil compaction, data were normalized by dividing the BD after each applied load by the initial bulk density (Kondo and Dias Junior, 1999).

The soil degree of compactness (DC) was calculated using the bulk density at the preconsolidation pressure (BD $\sigma$ p) and 1.600 kPa, defining the DC $\sigma$ p and DC1.600 respectively (Reichert et al., 2009).

In the laboratory, samples presenting undisturbed structure were saturated by capillarity for 48 h ( $\Psi m = 0$  kPa) and weighed. Then tensions of 1 and 6 kPa were applied on a tension table, and 10, 100 and 300 kPa in a Richards pressure chamber (Klute, 1986). Additionally, disturbed samples were utilized to determine the water content at  $\Psi_m > 300$  kPa, using a Dewpoint Potential Meter.

The adjustment of experimental data to obtain the soil water retention curves (SWRC) was performed through the combination of three methods: tension table, Richards pressure plate, and dew point method (Schelle et al., 2013) using the MathCad software support program. The experimental data of  $\Psi$ m (kPa) versus volumetric water content ( $\theta$ , m3 m<sup>-3</sup>) were adjusted using the van Genuchten model (van Genuchten, 1980) to obtain SWRC fitted parameters, according to Equation 1 as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta} = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_r + \frac{\boldsymbol{\Theta}_s - \boldsymbol{\Theta}_r}{\left[1 + \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha} |\boldsymbol{\Psi}_m|\right)^n\right]^m} \tag{1}$$

where  $\theta$  (m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) is the volumetric water content at  $\Psi_m$ ;  $\theta_r$ 

(m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) the residual volumetric water content;  $\theta_s$  (m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) the soil moisture at saturated condition; and  $\alpha$ , n, and m are dimensionless and empirically fitting parameters, which depend on the SWRC shape and consider the dependence between the parameters m and n:(m = 1-1/n) (Mualem, 1976). The initial van Genuchten parameters  $\theta_{r}$ ,  $\theta_{s'}$ ,  $\alpha$ , and n were obtained from Carsel and Parrish (1988), and consider the soil textural class.

Water content at field capacity ( $\theta_{FC}$ ) and at the permanent wilting point ( $\theta_{PWP}$ ) were considered as the adjusted volumetric water content at  $\Psi$ m of 10 and 1.500 kPa, respectively. The plant available water (PAW) was calculated as the difference between the  $\theta_{FC}$  and  $\theta_{PWP}$ (Reynolds et al., 2007).

Disturbed soil samples were collected from each plot within each NT and within the NC, totaling 80 samples (four layers  $\times$  four replicates  $\times$  five treatments). In the laboratory, these samples were manually fragmented into their weakness planes and were air-dried to determine the total soil organic carbon content (TOC) by dry combustion, using a Perkin Elmer elemental analyzer.

The normal distribution of data sets was verified by applying the Shapiro-Wilk test (W) ( $n \le 200$ ) (Razalli and Wah, 2011). Outliers beyond the lower and upper range limits (LL and UL) were identified and excluded, while considering the first quartile (Q1), the third quartile (Q3) and 1.5 interquartile range.

The percentage of variation ( $\Delta$ ref, %), calculated for the evaluated variables in the plots of each treatment, indicating the increase (+) or reduction (-) in relation to NC, was utilized. Each soil property was submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and NC was considered in this analysis just as a reference area. When the mean values of NT were significant, they were compared by Duncan test (p < 0.05). Moreover, by using regressive models and Pearson's coefficient of correlation (r) (p <0.05), the relationships between the soil properties were verified utilizing, respectively, the PROC ANOVA, PROC NLIN and PROC CORR in SAS (Statistical Analyses System Institute, version 9.2).

### **Results and Discussion**

The soil compression curves (Figure 1) showed high BD values for NT1, followed by NT3 in 0.00 to

0.03; 0.03 to 0.06 and 0.06 to 0.10 m soil layers, differing in the 0.10 to 0.20 m soil layer, where NT3 presented the highest BD.

These results were caused by the loss of structure due to consecutive soil disturbances, land levelling and flooding which occurred in the plots before NT establishment. Moreover, the lowlands showed adverse drainage conditions, therefore, tillage under high soil moisture conditions, favored clay orientation and increased BD and soil compaction (Lima et al., 2009).

Associated with tillage operations during wet conditions, intensive soil disturbance also promotes the aggregate destruction and exposure of organic matter (OM) to oxidation, which, similar to wetting-drying cycles, increases the BD (Lima et al., 2009; Pedrotti et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2013; Pires et al., 2008).

Considering soils with approximately 20 % of clay content (the studied soil is close to this condition), 1.84 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> was chosen as the BD threshold for non-restrictive plant growth (Reichert et al., 2009). Therefore, only in the 0.00 to 0.03 m soil layer did the NT present unrestrictive conditions, while the NT1 and NT3 showed values critical to plant growth (Figure 2), configuring soil compaction, reduced porosity and vertical restriction of soil water movement.

The reduction of BD values as a function of the increase in deployment time of NT fit well to a quadratic model in the surface soil layer (0.00-0.03 m) while the following layers showed a linear pattern (Figure 2). The

reduction of BD in the 0.00-0.03 m soil layer was limited during the first three years (NT1 to NT3). On the other hand, the reduction of BD from NT3 to NT7 was intense, showing the positive effect of NT on topsoil compaction after 3 years of NT adoption.

The reduction of BD could be related to the superficial addition of OM (Duval et al., 2013), and also to the intense root activity and the control of machinery traffic. Crop successions under NT promote root diversity across soil layers, creating a continuous process of OM allocation in deeper soil layers.

The CI in NT7 (Table 2) was ~10 % lower than in the NC in the 0.00 to 0.03 m soil layer, whereas CI in NT5, NT3, and NT1 was ~26 %, ~26 %, and ~18 % lower, respectively. In the 0.03 to 0.06 m soil layer, the susceptibility to degradation between NT7 and NC was similar, representing an improvement due to higher deployment time of NT, while NT5, NT3 and NT1 was ~16 %, ~12 % and ~6 % lower than that from NC. Similar results were found at 0.06 to 0.10 and 0.10 to 0.20 m (Table 2).

The high CI in the 0.00 to 0.03 and 0.03 to 0.06 soil layers for NT7 revealed that higher deployment time of NT resulted in a CI level that was closer to those observed in the NC plot, when compared to other NT deployment times. Likewise, the lower  $\sigma p$  showed that the higher deployment time of NT also mitigated the loss of soil structure promoted by CT in the past, thus increasing the CI of these areas.



Figure 1 – Normalized soil compression curves, (Bulk density as a function of applied pressure) of an Albaqualf soil under different deployment times of no-tillage (NT) and non-cultivated field (NC) in different soil layers; NT1 = one; NT3 = three; NT5 = five; and NT7 = seven years of no-tillage deployment, respectively.

**Table 2** – Mean compression index (Cl, dimensionless), pre-consolidation pressure ( $\sigma p$ ), bulk density at pre-consolidation pressure (BD $\sigma p$ ), degree of compactness at pre-consolidation pressure (DC $\sigma p$ ) and at 1.600 (DC1.600), and standard errors of an Albaqualf soil under different deployment times in no-tillage (NT) compared with a non-cultivated field ( $\Delta ref$ ) at different soil layers.

Deployment times of NT*	CI	$\Delta ref$	σ <sub>p</sub>	∆ref	BDop	∆ref	DCσp	$\Delta ref$	DC1600	∆ref
		%	kPa	%	Mg m⁻³			%		
0.00 to 0.03 m										
NT1	$0.31 \pm 0.01$ ab	-18.4	221.7 ± 14.77 a	72.8	1.80 ± 0.03 a	16.9	90.44 ± 1.41 a	-0.6	78.42 ± 1.44 a	6.7
NT3	$0.28 \pm 0.01 \text{ b}$	-26.3	215.7 ± 11.54 a	68.1	1.82 ± 0.05 a	18.2	90.50 ± 1.30 a	-0.6	79.10 ± 2.38 a	7.6
NT5	0.28 ± 0.02 b	-26.3	195.3 ± 11.78 ab	52.2	$1.68 \pm 0.04 \text{ b}$	9.1	92.32 ± 0.98 a	1.4	81.42 ± 1.09 a	10.7
NT7	0.34 ± 0.03 a	-10.5	171.0 ± 11.62 b	33.3	1.61 ± 0.03 b	4.5	89.98 ± 1.25 a	-1.1	76.37 ± 1.47 a	3.9
NC	$0.38 \pm 0.02$		128.3 ± 14.81		$1.54 \pm 0.03$		$91.01 \pm 0.96$		73.52 ± 1.51	
				0.03 t	o 0.06 m					
NT1	0.29 ± 0.01 ab	-6.5	237.0 ± 10.78 ab	32.3	1.85 ± 0.07 a	11.4	86.42 ± 2.64 b	-4.8	75.30 ± 2.90 b	-2.8
NT3	$0.27 \pm 0.01 \text{ bc}$	-12.9	251.3 ± 12.84 a	40.2	1.90 ± 0.04 a	14.5	91.94 ± 1.05 a	1.3	82.30 ± 1.13 a	6.2
NT5	0.26 ± 0.01 c	-16.1	198.5 ± 19.64 bc	10.8	1.82 ± 0.05 a	9.6	90.95 ± 0.98 ab	0.2	78.75 ± 1.22 ab	1.7
NT7	0.31 ± 0.01 a	0.0	182.2 ± 12.40 c	1.7	1.74 ± 0.04 a	4.8	88.74 ± 1.63 ab	-2.2	74.44 ± 2.47 b	-3.9
NC	$0.31 \pm 0.01$		179.2 ± 7.85		$1.66 \pm 0.02$		90.74 ± 1.48		77.47 ± 1.65	
	0.06 to 0.10 m									
NT1	0.31 ± 0.01 a	3.3	247.6 ± 16.81 a	62.3	1.85 ± 0.05 ab	7.6	88.38 ± 1.29 a	-5.4	76.84 ± 1.56 b	-0.7
NT3	0.26 ± 0.01 b	-13.3	255.0 ± 12.96 a	67.1	1.91 ± 0.03 a	11.0	88.62 ± 2.46 a	-5.1	81.33 ± 2.16 ab	5.1
NT5	0.26 ± 0.01 b	-13.3	224.8 ± 7.10 a	47.3	1.91 ± 0.04 a	11.0	91.48 ± 0.75 a	-2.1	82.47 ± 0.93 a	6.6
NT7	$0.28 \pm 0.01$ ab	-6.7	225.8 ± 14.08 a	48.0	1.79 ± 0.02 b	4.1	91.22 ± 1.16 a	-2.4	80.53 ± 1.34 ab	4.1
NC	$0.30 \pm 0.02$		$152.6 \pm 9.14$		$1.72 \pm 0.04$		93.43 ± 1.51		77.39 ± 1.65	
0.10 to 0.20 m										
NT1	0.30 ± 0.01 a	0.0	261.8 ± 14.62 a	47.0	1.81 ± 0.02 bc	3.4	90.09 ± 1.44 a	-0.1	77.40 ± 1.58 b	-1.9
NT3	$0.28 \pm 0.01 \text{ ab}$	-6.7	252.5 ± 15.64 ab	41.8	$1.94 \pm 0.04 a$	10.9	90.92 ± 0.81 a	0.9	81.63 ± 1.00 a	3.4
NT5	0.25 ± 0.01 b	-16.7	221.2 ± 8.24 bbc	24.2	1.90 ± 0.04 ab	8.6	90.45 ± 1.16 a	0.3	81.85 ± 1.32 a	3.7
NT7	$0.28 \pm 0.01$ ab	-6.7	207.4 ± 7.63 c	16.5	1.78 ± 0.04 c	1.7	91.01 ± 1.18 a	1.0	79.68 ± 1.54 ab	1.0
NC	$0.30 \pm 0.01$		178.1 ± 12.94		$1.75 \pm 0.03$		90.14 ± 1.27		78.91 ± 1.09	

\*NT1 = one; NT3 = three; NT5 = five; and NT7 = seven years of no-tillage (NT) deployment, respectively; NC = non-cultivated field; Means with standard errors followed by the same letter within the same column are not significantly different, according to the Duncan test at 5 %.



**Figure 2** – Bulk density (BD) of an Albaqualf soil under different deployment times of no-tillage (NT) in different soil layers. Vertical bars represent mean standard deviation; ns: non-significant difference; \*,\*\* significantly different at 5 % and at 1 %, respectively. NT1 = one; NT3 = three; NT5 = five; and NT7 = seven years of no-tillage (NT) deployment, respectively.

Our findings (Table 2) are in agreement with those reported by Vogelmann et al. (2012) who found that NT with additional traffic (traffic intensity of 24,67 Mg km  $ha^{-1}$ ) increased the BD and  $\sigma p$  in the top 0.10 m soil layer, while NT caused the lowest load support capacity and greater susceptibility to compaction.

However, lower CI suggests higher  $\sigma p$ , especially due to a history of pressures on the soil, reducing susceptibility to compaction. On the other hand, higher susceptibility to compaction reduces the soil load support capacity and, therefore, it will limit machinery traffic in humid soil conditions.

The  $\sigma p$  values decreased when NT is consolidated (Table 2), but increased with soil depth. The  $\sigma p$  observed in NT1 was ~72 % greater than those verified in NC, whereas the observed value in NT7 was only ~33 % greater, suggesting a historical of higher pressures on NT1. Furthermore, the reduction in  $\sigma p$  demonstrates that compaction can be mitigated with conservation tillage systems, especially when sufficient amount of OM is added to the soil.

Evaluating the effect of deployment times of NT in a subtropical Hapludox, Reichert et al. (2016) considered

NT with 5 years as in transition, and with 14 years as in a stabilized phase. According to these authors, soon after NT adoption, physical conditions were poorer than in consolidated NT, which were evidenced by the reduction of  $\sigma p$  and DC, confirming the results obtained in this study. Nevertheless, a study conducted by Reichert et al. (2016) suggested that CI decreased with NT deployment time was mainly due to processes related with soil aggregation after 14 years of NT implementation.

The BD $\sigma p$  in NT1 were ~16 % (0.00 to 0.03 m), ~11 % (0.03 to 0.06 m), ~7 % (0.06 to 0.10 m), and ~3 % (0.10 to 0.20 m) greater than in NC, suggesting degradation of soil structure prior to NT (Table 2). Furthermore, DC $\sigma p$  in the top 0.03 m soil layer was higher in NT5 (+1.4) than in NC (Table 2), a result similar to the 0.03 to 0.06 m soil layer (+0.2), while in the 0.10 to 0.20 m layer of the NT7 DC $\sigma p$  was 1 % higher than NC, thus suggesting improvements in soil physical conditions.

Lower BD $\sigma p$  in the top 0.03 m soil layer (1.61 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> for NT7) suggested that external pressures should be avoided to prevent irreversible soil compaction. The results for BD $\sigma p$  varied from 1.61 to 1.94 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> (Table 2), and support those obtained by Reichert et al. (2009). The mean BD $\sigma p$  (1.82 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) also corroborates these authors as a limit to adequate plant growth and development, evidencing the ability of NT to mitigate soil compaction.

The mean DCop were different between NT treatments only in the 0.03-0.06 m soil layer. No trends with the NT deployment time were obvious. In addition, DC1.600 showed significant differences in the 0.03 to 0.06, 0.06 to 0.10 and 0.10 to 0.20 m soil layers (Table 2) and were generally higher than NC.

Differences in DC1.600 in the 0.06 to 0.20 m soil layer indicate an effect of applied loads on the soil that cannot be observed when considering DC $\sigma$ p. However, BD $\sigma$ p, representing the critical limit of BD where the soil is consolidated, was sufficient to warrant disregarding the differences observed in DC1.600. Under this condition, the soil would have to be highly compacted to support plant growth.

The shape of the SWRC that reflects soil structure changes belongs to the wetter part, whereas soil texture and clay mineralogy characteristics affect the drier part. While no-tillage creates biopores and increases pore volume at near-saturation in the saturated region of SWRC, CT destroys soil structure, reversing the no-till benefit. Considering the water content in a saturated condition, NT1 showed lower mean values more than NC in all soil layers, with an exception in the 0.03 to 0.06 m soil layer (Figure 3). In contrast, higher deployment time of NT (7 years) resulted in an SWRC shape closer to NC than other NT treatments, reflecting greater volumetric water content at  $\Psi_m = 10$  for all soil layers, suggesting that NT enables changes in soil water availability in the wetter part of SWRC (Figure 3).

The greater water content at  $\Psi_m = 10$  in the 0.10 to 0.20 m soil layer in NT7 can be attributed to improvement in the physical quality of the soil over the deployment time of NT as a result of better soil aggregation. Reis et al. (2016), evaluating NT presented in Albaqualf soils in the Pampa Biome, observed that the deployment time of NT generated mean weight diameter, carbon stocks, and physical quality of the soil overall, corroborating the results observed in this study.

The water content at field capacity  $(\theta_{FC})$  as well as PAW increased with higher NT deployment time. The increase in  $\theta_{FC}$  can be related to NT influence on soil structure, mainly in the volume of pores responsible for water retention at near field capacity potentials (Figure 4).

CI presented negative correlation with DC $\sigma$ p, DC1.600, and BD (Table 3). As observed by Reis et al. (2016), the mechanical action of plant roots, proportioned





Table 3 – Correlation analysis betw	een attributes of an Albao	ualf soil under different de	ployment times of no-tillage (NT).
-------------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------------

Attributes	CI	σρ	BDσp	DСор	DC1.600	BD (Mg m⁻³)
TOC (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.08 ns	-0.47**	-0.38**	0.09 ns	-0.03 ns	-0.60**
CI (dimensionless)		-0.05 ns	-0.11 ns	-0.14*	-0.22**	-0.23*
σp (kPa)			0.46**	-0.12 ns	0.13 ns	0.37**
BDσp (Mg m⁻³)				-0.13 ns	0.13 ns	0.46**
DС <del>о</del> р (%)					0.73**	-0.02 ns
DC1.600 (%)						0.11 ns

Number of observations (*n*) = 192; TOC = total organic carbon; Cl = compression index;  $\sigma p$  = preconsolidation pressure; BD $\sigma p$  = Bulk density at the preconsolidation pressure; DC $\sigma p$  = degree of compactness at the preconsolidation pressure; DC1.600 = degree of compactness at 1.600 kPa; and BD = Bulk density; ns = non-significant difference; \*, \*\* significance level of 5 % and 1 %, respectively.



**Figure 4** – Plant available water (PAW) of an Albaqualf soil under different deployment times in no-tillage (NT) in different soil layers. ns: nonsignificant difference; \*\*significantly different at 1 %. NT1 = one; NT3 = three; NT5 = five; and NT7 = seven years of no-tillage (NT) deployment, respectively. Vertical bars represent mean standard deviation.

and maintained by NT, reduces BD and promotes macropores, which make the soil susceptible to compression due to higher pore space available for soil rearrangement under NT. Furthermore, TOC showed negative correlation with  $\sigma_{p}$ , BD $\sigma p$ , and BD, indicating that higher porosity reduces the  $\sigma p$ . This happens because, in denser soils, there is less pore space for particle movement and friction forces between particles are higher (An et al., 2015).

Our findings for NT7 are in agreement with those of Aziz et al. (2013), specifically for the relationship between TOC and other soil physical properties (Table 3). The higher deployment time of NT can effectively reduce BD as well as increase the CI and all these changes are a result of the continuous addition of OM associated with the adoption of NT.

For no-tillage in lowlands we found the higher the deployment time the lower the BD, the  $\sigma p$ , the BD $\sigma p$ , and the CI. Furthermore, we demonstrated in this study

that the dynamic alteration of physical attributes in lowlands which have adopted no-till is beneficial and similar to the benefits of this practice in well-drained soils.

# Acknowledgments

To Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for a scholarship granted to the first author; to the Federal University of Pelotas and Embrapa Temperate Agriculture for the opportunity, financial and laboratory support and infrastructure; to the anonymous reviewers for the improvements in this paper.

#### References

Alvares, C.A.; Stape, J.L.; Sentelhas, P.C.; Gonçalves, J.L.M.; Sparovek, G. 2013. Köppen's climate classification map for Brazil. Meteorologische Zeitschrift 22: 711-728.

- An, J.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, N. 2015. Quantifying the effect of soil physical properties on the compressive characteristics of two arable soils using uniaxial compression tests. Soil and Tillage Research 145: 216-23.
- Aziz, I.; Mahmood, T.K.; Islam, R. 2013. Effect of long term no-till and conventional tillage practices on soil quality. Soil and Tillage Research 131: 28-35.
- Ba, L.T.; Le Van, K.; Elsacker, S.V.; Cornelis, W.M. 2016. Effect of cropping system on physical properties of clay soil under intensive rice cultivation. Land Degradation and Development 27: 973-982.
- Bajpai, R.K.; Tripathi, R.P. 2000. Evaluation of non-puddling under shallow water tables and alternative tillage methods on soil and crop parameters in a rice-wheat system in Uttar Pradesh. Soil and Tillage Research 55: 99-106.
- Carsel, R.F.; Parrish, R.S. 1988. Developing joint probability distributions of soil water retention characteristics. Water Resources Research 24: 755-769.
- Crittenden, S.J.; Poot, N.; Heinen, M.; van Balen, D.J.M.; Pulleman, M.M. 2015. Soil physical quality in contrasting tillage systems in organic and conventional farming. Soil and Tillage Research 154: 136-144.
- Dias Junior, M.S.; Pierce, F.J. 1995. A simple procedure for estimating preconsolidation pressure from soil compression curves. Soil Technology 8: 139-151.
- Duval, M.E.; Galantini, J.A.; Iglesias, J.O.; Canelo, S.; Martinez, J.M.; Wall, L. 2013. Analysis of organic fractions as indicators of soil quality under natural and cultivated systems. Soil and Tillage Research 131: 11-19.
- Kechavarzi, C.; Dawson, Q.; Leeds-Harrison, P.B. 2010. Physical properties of low-lying agricultural peat soils in England. Geoderma 154: 196-202.
- Klute, A. 1986. Water retention: laboratory methods. p. 635-662. In: Klute, A., ed. Methods of soil analysis. Part 1. Physical and mineralogical methods. 2ed. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, USA. (Agronomy, 9 part 1).
- Kondo, M.K.; Dias Junior, M.S. 1999. Management and moisture effects on the compressive behavior of three Latosols (Oxisols). Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo 23: 497-506 (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Krümmelbein, J.; Horn, R.; Raab, T.; Bens, O.; Hüttl, R.F. 2010. Soil physical parameters of a recently established agricultural recultivation site after brown coal mining in eastern Germany. Soil and Tillage Research 111: 19-25.
- Lima, A.C.R.; Hoogmoed, W.; Pauletto, E.A.; Pinto, L.F.S. 2009. Management systems in irrigated rice affect physical and chemical soil properties. Soil and Tillage Research 103: 92-97.
- Moraes, M.T.; Debiasi, H.; Carlesso, R.; Franchini, J.C.; Silva, V.R.; Luz, F.B. 2016. Soil physical quality on tillage and cropping systems after two decades in the subtropical region of Brazil. Soil and Tillage Research 155: 351-362.
- Mualem, Y. 1976. A New model for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated porous media. Water Resources Research 12: 513-522.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service [NRCS]. 2010. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. 11ed. United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, USA.

- Parfitt, J.M.B.; Timm, L.C.; Reichardt, K.; Pauletto, E.A. 2014. Impacts of land leveling on lowland soil physical properties. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo 38: 315-326.
- Pedrotti, A.; Pauletto, E.A.; Crestana, S.; Holanda, F.S.R.; Cruvinel, P.E.; Vaz, C.M.P. 2005. Evaluation of bulk density of Albaqualf soil under different tillage systems using the volumetric ring and computerized tomography methods. Soil and Tillage Research 80: 115-123.
- Pires, L.F.; Cássaro, F.A.M.; Reichardt, K.; Bacchi, O.O.S. 2008. Soil porous system changes quantified by analyzing soil water retention curve modifications. Soil and Tillage Research 100: 72-77
- Razalli, N.M.; Wah, Y.B. 2011. Power comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling tests. Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics 2: 21-33.
- Reichert, J.M.; Rosa, V.T.; Vogelmann, E.S.; Rosa, D.P.; Horn, R.; Reinert, D.J.; Sattler, A.; Denardin, J.E. 2016. Conceptual framework for capacity and intensity physical soil properties affected by short and long-term (14 years) continuous no-tillage and controlled traffic. Soil and Tillage Research 158: 123-136.
- Reichert, J.M.; Suzuki, L.E.A.S.; Reinert, D.J.; Horn, R.; Hakansson, I. 2009. Reference bulk density and critical degreeof-compactness for no-till crop production in subtropical highly weathered soils. Soil and Tillage Research 102: 242-254.
- Reis, D.A.; Lima, C.L.R.; Bamberg, A.L. 2016. Physical quality and organic matter fractions of an Alfisol under no-tillage. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 51: 1623-1632 (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Reynolds, W.D.; Drury, C.F.; Yang, X.M.; Fox, C.A.; Tan, C.S.; Zhang, T.Q. 2007. Land management effects on the near-surface physical quality of a clay loam soil. Soil and Tillage Research 96: 316-330.
- Schelle, H.; Heise, L.; Janicke, K.; Durner, W. 2013. Water retention characteristics of soils over the whole moisture range: a comparison of laboratory methods. European Journal of Soil Science 64: 814-821.
- Singh, V.K.; Yadvinder-Singh; Dwivedi, B.S.; Singh, S.K.; Majumdar, K.; Jat, M.L.; Mishra, R.P.; Rani, M. 2016. Soil physical properties, yield trends and economics after five years of conservation agriculture based rice-maize system in north-western India. Soil and Tillage Research 155: 133-148.
- Streck, E.V.; Kämpf, N.; Dalmolin, R.S.D.; Klamt, E.; Nascimento, P.C.; Schneider, P.; Giasson, E.; Pinto, L.F.S. 2008. Soils of Rio Grande do Sul = Solos do Rio Grande do Sul. 2ed. Emater-RS/ Ascar, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil (in Portuguese).
- van Genuchten, M.T. 1980. A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. Soil Science Society America Journal 44: 892-898.
- Vogelmann, E.S.; Mentges, M.I.; Reichert, J.M.; Rosa, D.P.; Barros, C.A.P.; Reinert, D.J. 2012. Compressibility of a trafficked and chiseled Alfisol. Ciência Rural 42: 291-297 (in Portuguese, with abstract in English).
- Zhang, Z.B.; Peng, X.; Wang, L.L.; Zhao, Q.G.; Lin, H. 2013. Temporal changes in shrinkage behavior of two paddy soils under alternative flooding and drying cycles and its consequence on percolation. Geoderma 192: 12-20.Vencovsky, R. 1987. Quantitative inheritance = Herança quantitativa. p. 137-214. In: Paterniani, E.; Viegas, G.P., eds. Improvement and production of corn. = Melhoramento e produção de milho. 2ed. Fundação Cargill, Campinas, SP, Brazil (in Portuguese).