Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Food Research International

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodres

Sapucaia nut (*Lecythis pisonis* Cambess) and its by-products: A promising and underutilized source of bioactive compounds. Part II: Phenolic compounds profile



Fernanda Demoliner^a, Priscila de Britto Policarpi^a, Lúcio Flavo Lopes Vasconcelos^b, Luciano Vitali^c, Gustavo Amadeu Micke^c, Jane Mara Block^{a,*}

^a Laboratory of Fats and Oils, Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

^b Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária do Meio-Norte (EMBRAPA Meio-Norte), Piauí, Brazil

^c Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Sapucaia nut shell LC-ESI-MS/MS Antioxidant FRAP ABTS DPPH Chemical compounds: Aromadendrin (PubChem CID: 122850) Catechin (PubChem CID: 73160) Ellagic acid (PubChem CID: 5281855) Epicatechin (PubChem CID: 72276) Epicatechingallate (PubChem CID: 107905) Epigallocatechin (PubChem CID: 72277) Ferulic acid (PubChem CID: 445858) Gallic acid (PubChem CID: 370) 4-hydroxymethylbenzoic acid (PubChem CID: 129720531) Kaempferol (PubChem CID: 5280863) Myricetin (PubChem CID: 5281672) Naringerin (PubChem CID: 42607905) p-coumaric acid (PubChem CID: 637542) Protocatechuic acid (PubChem CID: 72) Quercitin (PubChem CID: 5280343) Salicylic acid (PubChem CID: 338) Sinapaldehyde (PubChem CID: 5280802) Sinapic acid (PubChem CID: 637775) Siryngic (PubChem CID: 10742) Taxifolin (PubChem CID: 439533) Vanillic acid (PubChem CID: 8468) Vanillin (PubChem CID: 1183)

ABSTRACT

In this study, the profile of the bioactive compounds of sapucaia nut (*Lecythis pisonis* Cambess) and its byproducts have been investigated. The phenolic profile by LC-ESI-MS/MS, the total phenolic content, the condensed tannins and the antioxidant activity of the sapucaia nut and shell were determined. 14 phenolic compounds were identified in the sapucaia nut extract, primarily phenolic acids and flavonoids. Catechin, epicatechin, myricetin, ellagic acid and ferulic acid presented significant correlation to the antioxidant activity. The sapucaia shell contained 22 phenolic compounds, 13 of which were quantified. The sapucaia shell extract showed a high content of total phenolic compounds, a high condensed tannins content, and high antioxidant activity. The higher antioxidant activity of the shell can be associated with a higher content of phenolics. Overall, it can be concluded that the sapucaia nut is a raw material rich in phenolic compounds that present high antioxidant activity. The nuts and the cake may be used as a promising raw material for the food industry, while the shells could be an alternative source of natural antioxidants. Further use in the cosmetics and pharmaceutical industry may also be envisaged.

1. Introduction

Lecythis pisonis Cambess, popularly known as "sapucaia" or

"cumbuca de macaco", belongs to the family Lecythidaceae. This nut originates from the Brazilian Amazon and it is widely distributed throughout Brazil, mainly in the Atlantic forest and in the Amazon

E-mail address: jane.block@ufsc.br (J.M. Block).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.06.050 Received 28 March 2018; Received in revised form 18 June 2018; Accepted 20 June 2018 Available online 21 June 2018

0963-9969/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author at: University of Santa Catarina, Agricultural Science Center, Department of Food Science and Technology, Rodovia Admar Gonzaga, 1346, Itacorubi, CEP: 88034-001 Florianópolis, SC, Brazil.

region (Brandão et al., 2013; Vallilo, Tavares, Aued-Pimentel, Campos, & Moita Neto, 1999). Our previous study has demonstrated that the sapucaia nut and cake are an excellent source of proteins, dietary fiber, and selenium. On the other hand, the sapucaia oil is a source of unsaturated fatty acids (oleic and linoleic acids), γ -tocopherol and β -sitosterol (Demoliner et al., 2018). Several parts of sapucaia plants such as the leaves, shell, and oil are also used in popular medicine (Agra, Freitas, & Barbosa-Filho, 2007; Franco & Barros, 2006; Wickens, 1995). The antipruritic effects and antinociceptive activity of *Lecythis pisonis* leaves have been reported (Brandão et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2012).

In the last decade, the phenolic compounds in natural nut products and by-products have been investigated (Gomes & Torres, 2016; Hilbig, Alves, Muller, Micke, Vitali, Pedrosa, and Block, 2018; John & Shahidi, 2010; Robbins, Gong, Wells, Greenspan, & Pegg, 2015). The phenolic compounds, including flavonoids, phenolic acids, tannins, stilbenes, lignans, and phenolic aldehydes play an important role in human health, mainly due to their antioxidant properties (Taş & Gökmen, 2017). Several studies reported that the antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds is associated with the protection against diseases such as diabetes, cancer, hypercholesterolemia, and cardiovascular diseases (Alasalvar & Bolling, 2015; Hilbig, Policarpi, de Souza Grinevicius, Santos Mota, Toaldo, Luiz, Pedrosa, and Block, 2018; Kaliora, Kogiannou, Papassideri, & Kalogeropoulos, 2014; Shahidi & Ambigaipalan, 2015).

The sapucaia nuts and its by-products are not well known yet and it is still an underutilized raw material. Studies on bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity of sapucaia nuts and its by-products are still scarce in the literature. Therefore, the use of this native nut from Brazil in diet may be considered as an alternative to improve the diet of the Brazilian population and its by-products as well an alternative source for natural antioxidants. Based on this context, in order to divulge the phenolic and antioxidant potentialities of sapucaia nut and its by-products, the aim of this research was to identify and quantify the phenolic compounds of the extracts obtained from the sapucaia nuts and shell using LC-ESI-MS/MS.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples

Sapucaia nuts (*Lecythis pisonis* Cambess) were obtained from dry ripe sapucaia fruit from Teresina, Piauí, Brazil (samples A1, A2 and A3) and provided by the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (EMBRAPA Meio-Norte). Another sample was sourced from native trees in the city of Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil (sample B1). About 600 g of each sample (harvested in October 2016) were obtained.

2.2. Chemical reagents

Folin-Ciocalteau phenol reagent, gallic acid, vanillin, (+)-catechin hydrated, ABTS [2,2'-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)], DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl), 2,2'- 2,4,6-tripyridyltriazine (TPTZ) and Trolox were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Stock solution (1000 mg L⁻¹ in methanol 100%) of ultra-pure phenolic standards (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were prepared and stored in a freezer. They were used to prepare the calibration curves of phenolic compounds using appropriate dilution from the mixture. All other chemical reagents and solvents used in the experiment were of analytical grade (P.A.) and obtained from Vetec (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) and Sigma-Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany).

2.3. Obtaining of extracts

The extracts obtained from the nuts and shells were prepared following the methods reported by John and Shahidi (2010) and Hilbig, Alves, et al. (2018), with some modifications. The sapucaia nuts and shell were dried at 40 °C for 60 min in an oven equipped with air circulation (model 400/D, Nova Ética®, Vargem Grande Paulista, Brazil) to reduce their moisture. Then, the samples were milled using an analytical laboratory grinder (model Q298A, Quimis®, Diadema, Brazil) and sieved to 60-mesh size. The nuts and shells were defatted by blending with hexane (1:20 w/v, 30 min \times 3) in a mechanical stirrer (model TE-139, Tecnal®, Piracicaba, Brazil) at a room temperature of 22 °C. The defatted samples were air-dried until total evaporation of the solvent and stored in amber bottles with a nitrogen atmosphere at -24 °C for the preparation of the extracts.

The extraction of phenolic compounds from the nut and shell was performed under optimal operational conditions (solvent, time and temperature) determined in preliminary studies (data not shown). The defatted samples of sapucaia nut (1 g) and sapucaia nut shell (1 g) were placed into Erlenmeyer flasks, following the addition of 30 mL of the solvent (water for defatted samples of sapucaia nut; and methanol:water -80:20 for defatted samples of sapucaia nut shell). Then the samples were sonicated at 80 °C for 60 min in an ultrasonic cleaning bath (EGS 5HD, 40 kHz, 300 W, Enge Solutions[®], São Paulo, Brazil). After sonication, the extracts were filtered with paper filter (Whatman no 541, 125 mm) and stored in amber bottles under nitrogen atmosphere at -24 °C for further analysis.

2.4. Extraction, identification and quantification of phenolic compounds by LC-ESI-MS/MS

2.4.1. Samples preparation

The sample preparation was performed following the methodology of Schulz et al. (2015), with some modification. Aliquots of 1 mL of the extract solution were mixed with 5 mL of HPLC grade methanol (99.9%) and 5 mL of hydrochloric acid (0.01 mol L⁻¹) and were subjected to acid hydrolysis in an oven (model 400/D200 °C, New Ethics*, São Paulo, Brazil) at 85 °C for 30 min. The solution was adjusted to pH 2 using 6 mol. L⁻¹ NaOH. Then, a partition extraction (three times) with 10 mL of ethyl ether was carried out followed by centrifugation at 3000 g for 10 min. The supernatants were combined, and the organic solvent was removed using a rotary evaporator (model 558, Fisatom*, São Paulo, Brazil). The dried extract was resuspended in 1 mL of methanol and diluted 10 times with methanol:water (70:30, v/v) for injection in the LC-ESI-MS/MS system.

2.4.2. Phenolic profile by LC-ESI-MS/MS

The identification and quantification of phenolic compounds were carried out using a high performance liquid chromatography (LC) system (model 1200 Series, Agilent Technologies[®], Waldbronn, Germany) according to the method reported by Schulz et al. (2015). The analytes separation was performed in a Synergi[™] Polar-RP 80 A column (4.0 µm particle size, 150 mm, 2.0 mm internal diameter, Phenomenex, USA) using a SecurityGuard Cartridges Polar-RP 80A (4.0 µm × 2.0 mm, Phenomenex, USA). Mobile phases were mixtures of methanol 95% and water 5% (v/v) (channel A), and water and formic acid 0.1% (v/v) (channel B). The separation was carried out at 30 °C, using segmented gradient elution as follows: 0 - 5 min, 10% A; 5–7 min, 90% A; 7–10 min, 90% A; 10–17 min, 10% A. Between the analyses, the column was conditioned for 5 min with the proportion of the initial mobile phase. The mobile phase flow rate was 150 µL min⁻¹, and the injected sample quantity was 10 µL.

The LC system was coupled with a mass spectrometry system consisting of a hybrid triple quadrupole/linear ion trap mass spectrometer Q Trap 3200 (Applied Biosystems/MDS Sciex, Concord, Canada). The mass spectrometer was operated in negative electrospray TurboIonSpray[™] (Applied Biosystems/MDS Sciex, Canada) ionization mode. The MS/MS parameters were: capillary needle, maintained at - 4500 V; curtain gas, 10 psi; temperature, 400 °C; gas 1, 45 psi; gas 2, 45 psi; and CAD gas, medium. Other parameters for: DP - Declustering Potential; EP - Entrance Potential; CEP - Collision Energy Potential; CE - Collision Energy; CXP - Collision Cell Exit Potential of the 49 phenolic compounds tested are listed in supplementary material (Table S1). The software Analyst version 1.5.1 was used for the LC–ESI-MS/MS system control and data analysis.

2.5. Determination of total phenolic content (TFC)

The TFC was determined by the Folin-Ciocalteau method (Prado, Aragão, Fett, & Block, 2009; Singleton & Rossi, 1965), with some modifications. For the oxidation reaction, aliquots of 0.1 mL of the extract solution (0.033 g mL⁻¹) were transferred to 10 mL volumetric flasks. Then, 0.5 mL of Folin–Ciocalteau reagent and 1.5 mL of saturated sodium carbonate (75 g L⁻¹) were added, and the volume of each flask was completed with deionized water, shaken and left in the darkness at room temperature for 2 h. The absorbance of the resulting blue solution was measured at 764 nm with a spectrophotometer (SP 2000 UV, Bel Photonics[®], Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil) and correlated to a calibration curve of gallic acid (50–1000 mg L⁻¹). The analysis was performed in triplicate and the results were expressed as gallic acid equivalent (mg GAE g⁻¹) of dry weight.

2.6. Antioxidant activity (AA)

2.6.1. FRAP assay

FRAP assay was carried out according to the method described by Benzie and Strain (1996) and Arnous, Makris, and Kefalas (2002), with modifications. The method is based on the reduction of a ferric 2,4,6tripyridyl-s-triazine complex (Fe³⁺ – TPTZ) by antioxidants to the ferrous form (Fe²⁺ – TPTZ). Aliquots of 0.2 mL of the extract solution (0.033 g mL⁻¹) and 0.2 mL of FeCl₃ (3 mmol L⁻¹ in 5 mol L⁻¹ citric acid) were mixed in a tube and incubated for 30 min in a water bath at 37 °C. Then 3.6 mL of TPTZ solution (0.0780 g diluted in 250 mL of 0.05 M HCl) was added and the resulting solution was mixed by a vortex. After exactly 10 min, the absorbance (620 nm) was read using a spectrophotometer (SP 2000 UV, Bel Photonics*, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil). The Trolox standard curve (20–1000 µM) was used to quantify the results, which were expressed as µmol TEAC g⁻¹ of dry weight (µmol Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity g⁻¹ of sample).

2.6.2. ABTS assay

The ABTS [2,2'-azino-bis (3-ethylbenzotiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)] assay was carried out according to Re et al. (1999) with some modifications. The $\mbox{ABTS}^{\,+}$ radical (7 $mM-0.03836\,g$ ABTS dissolved in 10 mL deionized water) was mixed with 10 mL of potassium persulfate solution (2.45 mM-0,0331 g of potassium persulfate dissolved in $25\,\mathrm{mL}$ deionized water), homogenized and stored for $12\text{--}16\,\mathrm{h}$ at room temperature in the absence of light. For the sample analysis, the ABTS⁺⁺ radical solution was adjusted by mixing it with ethanol to achieve an absorbance value of (0.700 \pm 0.02) at 734 nm (A₇₃₄ = A₀). Then, 20 μL of extracts solutions (0.033 g mL $^{-1})$ and 980 μL of ABTS solution were added to the test tubes, and after 6 min the absorbance was read by a spectrophotometer (SP 2000 UV, Bel Photonics®, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil) at 734 nm ($A_{734} = A_f$), using glass cuvettes. The radical inhibition percentage was calculated using the following equation: % radical inhibition = $(1-A/A_0) \times 100$, where, " A_0 " is the initial absorbance and " A_f " is the final absorbance. A standard curve of Trolox stock solution (190, 390, 590, 790 and 1000 μ mol mL⁻¹) was used to quantify the results. The results were expressed in μ mol TEAC g⁻¹ of dry weight (μ mol Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity g⁻¹ of sample).

2.6.3. DPPH assay

The DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) assay was performed according to Brand-Williams, Cuvelier, and Berset (1995), with modifications, as described by Mensor et al. (2001) and Prado et al. (2009). Initially, an aliquot of 2.9 mL of DPPH solution (0.1 mM–0,03943 g of DPPH dissolved in 10 mL of ethanol) was transferred to test tubes

containing 0.1 mL of extract (0.033 g mL⁻¹). The samples were kept in the absence of light for 30 min, and then the absorbance was measured with a spectrophotometer (SP 2000 UV, Bel Photonics[®], Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil) at 515 nm. The radical inhibition percentage was calculated using the following equation: % radical inhibition = $(1 - A_{f}/A_0) \times 100$, where, " A_0 " is initial absorbance and " A_f " is the final absorbance. A standard curve of Trolox stock solution (80, 160, 320, 640 and 1280 µmol mL⁻¹) was used to quantify the results. The results were expressed in µmol TEAC g⁻¹ of dry weight (µmol Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity g⁻¹ of sample).

2.7. Determination of condensed tannins (CT)

The determination of condensed tannins was carried out according to the method described by Price, Van Scoyoc, and Butler (1978) and adapted by Villarreal-Lozoya, Lombardini, and Cisneros-Zevallos (2007). Aliquots of 1 mL of the extract solution (0.033 g mL⁻¹) were collected and placed into two separate test tubes (one for the sample and one for the blank solution). Then, 5 mL of vanillin reagent (0.5 g reagent and 200 mL of 4% HCl in methanol) was added to each sample, and 4% HCl in methanol was added to the blank solution. The test tubes were kept in the absence of light for 20 min and the absorbance was measured at 500 nm using glass cuvettes in a spectrophotometer (SP 2000 UV, Bel Photonics[®], Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil). A standard curve of catechin solution (0, 10, 37.5, 75, 150, 300, 600 and 1200 mg L⁻¹) was used for the quantification and the results were expressed in cathechin equivalent (mg CE g⁻¹) of dry weight.

2.8. Statistical analysis

The normal distribution and homoscedasticity of the data was evaluated by the Brown-Forsythe tests. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's test for comparison of means at a significance level of 5% (p < 0.05) were performed using the software Statistica[®] 7.0 (2008). Correlations between the antioxidant capacity and phenolic content of the samples were carried out using the Pearson's test. All analyses were carried out in triplicate and results expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Phenolic profile by LC-ESI-MS/MS

Table 1 shows the mass spectra limits of detection and quantification, as well as the retention times, the fitting equation, and determination coefficient obtained from the analysis of the phenolic compounds. 14 phenolic compounds were identified in the sapucaia nut extracts, including seven phenolic acids, six flavonoids and one phenolic aldehyde (Table 2). Myricetin and, vanillic, ferulic, and ellagic acids were the main compounds quantified in all samples of sapucaia nut. On the other hand, catechin was quantified in samples A1 and B1, and epicatechin only in sample A1. For sample A1, the concentration of ellagic acid, myricetin, and catechin were significantly higher (p < 0.05) when compared to samples A2, A3, and B1.

22 phenolic compounds were identified in the sapucaia shell extracts, corresponding to ten phenolic acids, ten flavonoids, and two phenolic aldehydes (Table 2). The gallic, protocatechuic, vanillic, ferulic, and ellagic acids, epigallocatechin, catechin, epicatechin, taxifolin, myricetin, and vanillin were quantified in all samples. Kaempferol was quantified only in samples A1, A3, and B1; and aromadendrin was quantified in samples A1 and B1. Catechin was the most abundant phenolic compound found in sapucaia shell.

Although the phenolic compounds have also been reported for other Brazilian nuts, such as Brazil, cashew, baru and pecan nuts, it has not been previously reported in the literature for sapucaia nuts (Table 3). Both sapucaia and pecan nuts present a wide variety of phenolic

Table 1

Parent and quantitative ion, retention times, limits of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), curve equations and determination coefficients (R²) of the phenolic analysis of sapucaia nut and shell.

Phenolic compounds	[M-H]/Quantitativeion (<i>m</i> / z)	Retention time (min.)	LOD (mg L ⁻¹)	LOQ (mg L ⁻¹)	Fitting equation	Determination coefficient (R ²)
Gallic acid Protocatechuic acid	168.8/124.1 153.02/109	3.98 6.65	0.023 0.006	0.076 0.021	y = 52,947x - 6035 $y = 1,000,000x + 7910$	1.0000 1.0000
Epigallocatechin	304.82/124.90	8.15	0.062	0.205	y = 70,852x - 11,230	0.9985
Catechin	288.853/120.30	8.82	0.009	0.029	y = 13,524x + 9.562	0.9980
4 -hydroxymethylbenzoic acid	150.899/104.2	8.84	0.042	0.140	y = 40,688x + 1577	0.9999
Epicatechin	288.948/122.3	9.41	0.032	0.107	y = 14,791x + 392.3	0.9990
Vanillic acid	166.831/148.5	9.65	0.011	0.036	y = 14,256x + 4519	0.9996
Syringic acid	196.862/119.6	10.01	0.009	0.032	y = 89,117x + 796,5	1.0000
Epicatechingallate	441.60/168.90	10.13	0.038	0.126	y = 12,541x + 38.93	0.9998
Vanillin	150.892/132.8	10.42	0.014	0.048	y = 37,874x - 280.3	0.9999
p-coumaric acid	163/119	10.46	0.001	0.005	y = 3,000,000x + 2534,5	0.9987
Taxifolin	302.8/120.7	10.70	0.006	0.019	y = 48,429x - 39.69	0.9990
Ferulic acid	192.856/129.7	10.73	0.005	0.017	y = 39,798x + 4065	0.9998
Quercetin	301/149.3	10.84	0.006	0.022	y = 47,636x + 78.64	0.9968
Sinapic acid	222.885/161.6	10.87	0.006	0.021	y = 81,369x + 1409	1.0000
Salicylicacid	136.9/91.1	10.99	0.005	0.017	y = 5,000,000x + 7550	0.9987
Myricetin	316.9/150.6	11.24	0.200	0.680	y = 24,750x - 14,041	0.9997
Aromadendrin	286.8/123.9	11.29	0.002	0.007	y = 1,000,000x + 2991	0.9980
Sinapaldehyde	206.901/174.4	11.39	0.002	0.009	y = 58,654x - 925.8	0.9990
Ellagic acid	300.813/142.5	11.71	0.013	0.044	y = 19,867x + 695,4	0.9990
Kaempferol	284.8/62.6	12.34	0.013	0.446	y = 13,276x + 148.4	0.9980
Naringenin	270.847/150.2	12.37	0.001	0.003	y = 1,000,000x - 31.99	0.9970

compounds; however, the profile of phenolic compounds is different for these raw materials. Among the 22 phenolics quantified in pecan nuts, only gallic, ellagic and syringic acids, and catechin were also identified in sapucaia nut (Robbins et al., 2015). For Brazil (Gomes & Torres, 2016; John & Shahidi, 2010), cashew (Chandrasekara & Shahidi, 2011a), and baru nuts (Lemos, Siqueira, Arruda, & Zambiazi, 2012) a smaller variety of phenolic compounds was reported probably due to the differences between the sample preparation and the analytical methodology used in these studies.

Brazil nut skin and cashew nut skin show a smaller variety of phenolic compounds identified, most of which were also present in sapucaia nuts (Chandrasekara & Shahidi, 2011a; John & Shahidi, 2010) (Table 3). In addition, from the 29 phenolic compounds identified reported in pecan nut shell, 16 were identified in sapucaia shell. Among them, epigallocatechin, epicatechin, vanillin, taxifolin, and ferulic acid presented higher content for sapucaia shell (Hilbig, Policarpi, et al., 2018).

Polyphenol-containing food, including nuts, can bring several antioxidant-related health benefits. The potential mechanism of the protective effects of phenolic compounds has been extensively reported in the literature (Croft et al., 2018; Lorenzo & Munekata, 2016; Shahidi & Ambigaipalan, 2015; Suzuki-Sugihara et al., 2016). An important finding of this study was the presence in high concentrations of phenolic acids in samples of sapucaia nut. It has been reported that the oral administration of ellagic acid, one of the phenolic acids found in the sapucaia nut, showed anti-inflammatory (Rosillo et al., 2012), neuroprotective (Busto et al., 2018), and anti-cancer (Larrosa, Tomas-Barberan, & Espin, 2006) effects. On the other hand, e experimental studies with mice and rats showed evidence of effectiveness of vanillic acid in the treatment of liver (Itoh et al., 2009) and cardiovascular (Prince, Rajakumar, & Dhanasekar, 2011) diseases, and beneficial effect on ulcerative colitis (Kim, Kim, Um, & Hong, 2010). Antihyperglycemic (Bettaieb et al., 2014), anti-atherosclerotic and anti-inflammatory effects (Norata et al., 2007), were also reported in experimental models with rats and mice. The consumption of flavonoids, through the consumption of cocoa, was associated with the decrease of blood pressure and improving of insulin sensitivity in healthy people (Grassi, Lippi, Necozione, Desideri, & Ferri, 2005) and in hypertensive patients with impaired glucose tolerance (Grassi et al., 2008). The presence of different phenolic compounds in sapucaia highlights the importance of incorporating this kind of nut in the diet as it may bring several health benefits as indicated in several studies.

3.2. Total phenolic content, condensed tannins and antioxidant capacity

Table 4 shows the TFC, CT and antioxidant capacity (FRAP, ABTS, and DPPH) of sapucaia nut and shell extracts. The TFC of sapucaia nut extract was significantly (p < 0.05) higher in the sample B1. This difference can be related to environmental and agronomic factors that play important roles in the phenolic composition (John & Shahidi, 2010). Studies about phenolic compounds in sapucaia nut are still sparse. Teixeira, Ávila, Silveira, Ribani, and Ribani (2018) have reported TPC values of 1.59 and 1.25 mg GAE100 g⁻¹ for sapucaia nut oil extracted by Bligh & Dyer and by Soxhlet, respectively. In sapucaia shell extract, the TFC was significantly (p < 0.05) higher in the sample A1. These results were higher than those reported by Ferreira et al. (2014) for ethanol extract of sapucaia shell (0.88 mg GAE g⁻¹).

Different methods have been used to assess antioxidant capacity in foods and the assay principles and experimental conditions differ among published studies (Floegel, Kim, Chung, Koo, & Chun, 2011; Ma et al., 2011). On the other hand, antioxidants may present different mechanisms of action. Therefore, more than one assay is usually required for accurately determining the antioxidant capacity in a complex matrix or system. The ability of the sapucaia nut extracts to reduce Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ in the FRAP assay was significantly (p < 0.05) higher in the samples A1 and B1. The highest antioxidant capacity (p < 0.05) for the sapucaia nut extract was observed in the ABTS assay for the sample A1.

In sapucaia shell extract, the antioxidant capacity observed in the FRAP, ABTS and DPPH assays and it was significantly higher (p < 0.05) in samples A1, A2, and A3 when compared to the sample B1. The higher antioxidant activity of the shell can be associated with a higher content of phenolic compounds in the outermost parts of the sapucaia nut.

Results showed high condensed tannin contents in the sapucaia shell. Tannins, on the other hand, are mainly found in the skin and shell of fruits and seeds, where they play an important role in the defense system of the nut, protecting it from oxidative damages (Shahidi & Ambigaipalan, 2015).

Moreover, the correlation between TFC, TC, FRAP, ABTS, DPPH and individual phenolic compounds in sapucaia nut and shell extracts are

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Phenolic compounds	Samples (mgg^{-1})							
Nut Shell		A1		A2		A3		B1	
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		Nut	Shell	Nut	Shell	Nut	Shell	Nut	Shell
Galite add < LOQ 0433 \pm 0.04^{\circ} < LOQ 0.338 \pm 0.004^{\circ} < LOQ 0.149 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.002 \pm 0.003^{\circ} < LOQ 0.014 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.032 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.035 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.045 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.035 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.035 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.045 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.035 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.045 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.035 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.045 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.035 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.035 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.035 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.045 + 0.002^{\circ} 0.035 \pm 0.002^{\circ} 0.045 + 0.002^{\circ} 0.021 + 0.002^{\circ} 0.021 + 0.002^	Phenolic acids								
Protocatechtic acid - 0.040 \pm 0.006 ⁴ - 0.032 \pm 0.003 ⁴ - 0.020 \pm 0.003 - 0.003 \pm 0.003 - 0.020 \pm 0.003 - </td <td>Gallic acid</td> <td>< LOQ</td> <td>$0.453 \pm 0.040^{\rm A}$</td> <td>< L0Q</td> <td>$0.338 \pm 0.004^{\rm A}$</td> <td>< L0Q</td> <td>0.149 ± 0.007^{B}</td> <td>< LOQ</td> <td>$0.366 \pm 0.006^{\Lambda}$</td>	Gallic acid	< LOQ	$0.453 \pm 0.040^{\rm A}$	< L0Q	$0.338 \pm 0.004^{\rm A}$	< L0Q	0.149 ± 0.007^{B}	< LOQ	$0.366 \pm 0.006^{\Lambda}$
4 hydroxymethylberzoic acid - LOQ - - LOQ - LOQ - - - LOQ - - - - - LOQ - - - - - LOQ - - - - - - - - LOQ - - - LOQ - - - - LOQ - L	Protocatechuic acid	I	0.040 ± 0.006^{A}	I	$0.032 \pm 0.003^{\rm A}$	I	0.020 ± 0.003^{A}	I	$0.048 \pm 0.014^{\rm A}$
Vanilic acid 0.032 ± 0.001^4 0.434 ± 0.02^4 0.037 ± 0.006^4 0.039 ± 0.002^4 0.454 ± 0.026^4 0.454 ± 0.026^4 0.037 ± 0.002^4 0.454 ± 0.026^4 0.038 ± 0.002^4 0.038 ± 0.002^4 0.045 ± 0.002^4 0.454 ± 0.002^4 0.033 ± 0.002^4 0.043 ± 0.002^4 0.043 ± 0.002^4 0.033 ± 0.002^4 0.033 ± 0.002^4 0.045 ± 0.002^4 0.043 ± 0.002^4 0.033 ± 0.002^4 0.023 ± 0.003^4 0.023 ± 0.003^4 0.023 ± 0.003^4 0.021 ± 0.003^4 <t< td=""><td>4-hydroxymethylbenzoic acid</td><td>I</td><td>< LOQ</td><td>I</td><td>< LOQ</td><td>ı</td><td>< LOQ <</td><td>1</td><td>< LOQ</td></t<>	4-hydroxymethylbenzoic acid	I	< LOQ	I	< LOQ	ı	< LOQ <	1	< LOQ
Syringic acid < LOQ	Vanillic acid	0.032 ± 0.001^{a}	$0.443 \pm 0.042^{\rm A}$	0.037 ± 0.006^{a}	$0.326 \pm 0.040^{\rm A}$	0.039 ± 0.002^{a}	0.454 ± 0.026^{A}	0.045 ± 0.014^{a}	0.075 ± 0.021^{B}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Syringic acid	< 100	< 1.0Q	< 1.0Q	< 1.0Q	< 10Q	< 1.0Q	< 1.0Q	< 10Q
Ferulic acid 0.014 ± 0.013^4 0.011 ± 0.013^4 0.011 ± 0.001^4 0.023 ± 0.006^4 0.033 ± 0.003^4 $c.LOQ$ $< c.LOQ$ <	<i>p</i> -coumaric acid	I	< LOQ	I	< LOQ	I	< LOQ	I	< L0Q
Sinapic acid $< L0Q$ $< C0Q$	Ferulic acid	0.014 ± 0.013^{a}	0.043 ± 0.010^{A}	0.011 ± 0.001^{a}	$0.025 \pm 0.010^{\rm A}$	0.023 ± 0.006^{a}	$0.038 \pm 0.002^{\rm A}$	0.018 ± 0.006^{a}	$0.027 \pm 0.001^{\rm A}$
Salicylic acid $< LOQ$ $< LOQ$ $< LOQ$ $< LOQ$ $< LOQ$ $< COQ$	Sinapic acid	< LOQ	< LOQ	I	< 1.0Q	< 100	< L0Q	< LOQ	I
Ellagic acid 0.065 ± 0.001^{a} 0.386 ± 0.047^{A} 0.024 ± 0.003^{b} 0.180 ± 0.031^{b} 0.021 ± 0.003^{b} 0.350 ± 0.036^{b} Flavonoids Flavonoids $< LOQ$ 0.169 ± 0.036^{A} $< LOQ$ 0.021 ± 0.003^{b} 0.327 ± 0.001^{a} Flavonoids $< LOQ$ 0.144 ± 0.023^{a} 3.995 ± 0.294^{AB} $< LOQ$ 0.021 ± 0.003^{b} 0.027 ± 0.001^{A} Epigallocatechin 0.1144 ± 0.023^{a} 3.995 ± 0.294^{AB} $< LOQ$ 0.031 ± 0.001^{B} $< LOQ$ 0.021 ± 0.003^{b} 0.027 ± 0.001^{A} Epicatechingallate $ 0.001 \pm 0.003^{A}$ $< LOQ$ 0.013 ± 0.001^{A} 0.001 ± 0.003^{B} 0.041 ± 0.003^{A} 0.0043 ± 0.001^{A} 0.019 ± 0.001^{A} 0.001 ± 0.002^{A} 0.041 ± 0.002^{A} 0.041 ± 0.002^{A} 0.013 ± 0.002^{A} 0.041 ± 0.002^{A} 0.0101 ± 0.002^{A} 0.0101 ± 0.002^{A} 0.014 ± 0.002^{A} 0.001 ± 0.002^{A} 0.0101 ± 0.002^{A} 0.001 ± 0.002^{A} 0.041 ± 0.002^{A} 0.014 ± 0.002^{A} 0.014 ± 0.002^{A} 0.014 ± 0.002^{A} 0.0102 ± 0.002^{A} 0.0102 ± 0.002^{A} 0.0102 ± 0.002^{A}	Salicylic acid	< 100	< 10Q	< 100	< 1.0Q	< 10Q	< 1.0Q	< 10Q	< 10Q
FlavonoidsFlavonoidsFlavonoids $< LOQ$ 0.169 ± 0.036^{A} $< LOQ$ 0.001^{B} $< LOQ$ 0.027 ± 0.001^{B} Epigallocatechin 0.144 ± 0.023^{a} 3.995 ± 0.294^{AB} $< LOQ$ 0.031 ± 0.001^{B} $< LOQ$ 0.027 ± 0.001^{B} Epicatechina 0.144 ± 0.023^{a} 3.995 ± 0.294^{AB} $< LOQ$ 0.611 ± 0.193^{B} $< LOQ$ 0.031 ± 0.001^{B} Epicatechina 0.022 ± 0.005 1.388 ± 0.142^{A} $< LOQ$ 0.611 ± 0.193^{B} $< LOQ$ 1.010 ± 0.031 Epicatechina $ 0.003$ $< LOQ$ 0.013 ± 0.003^{A} $ 0.003$ $< LOQ$ 0.041 ± 0.001^{A} Taxifolin $< LOQ$ 0.019 ± 0.001^{A} 0.019 ± 0.001^{B} 0.031 ± 0.002^{A} $- 0.044 \pm 0.014$ Writetin 0.047 ± 0.005^{a} 0.019 ± 0.001^{b} 0.031 ± 0.005^{A} $- LOQ$ $< LOQ$ Myritetin 0.047 ± 0.005^{a} 0.002^{A} $ 0.003$ $ 0.003$ $ 0.003$ Myritetin 0.047 ± 0.005^{a} 0.002^{A} $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ Myritetin $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.002^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ Myritetin $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.002^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ Myritetin $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.002^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ Myritetin $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.002^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.003^{A}$ $ 0.003^$	Ellagic acid	0.065 ± 0.001^{a}	0.386 ± 0.047^{A}	0.024 ± 0.003^{b}	0.180 ± 0.031^{B}	0.021 ± 0.003^{b}	0.350 ± 0.056^{A}	0.021 ± 0.002^{b}	$0.410 \pm 0.023^{\rm A}$
Epigallocatechin $< LOQ$ 0.169 ± 0.036^{A} $< LOQ$ 0.001^{B} $< LOQ$ 0.027 ± 0.001 Catechin 0.144 ± 0.023^{a} 3.995 ± 0.294^{AB} $< LOQ$ 0.031 ± 0.001^{B} $< LOQ$ 0.027 ± 0.001 Epicatechingallate 0.144 ± 0.023^{a} 3.995 ± 0.294^{AB} $< LOQ$ 0.611 ± 0.193^{B} $< LOQ$ 4.692 ± 0.051 Epicatechingallate $ C$ 0.011 ± 0.103^{B} $< LOQ$ 0.611 ± 0.193^{B} $< LOQ$ 0.043 ± 0.011 Taxifolin $< LOQ$ 0.011 ± 0.003^{A} $ C$ C	Flavonoids								
Catechin 0.144 ± 0.023^{4} 3.995 ± 0.294^{AB} $< LOQ$ 2.572 ± 0.410^{B} $< LOQ$ 4.692 ± 0.637 Epicatechina 0.022 ± 0.005 1.338 ± 0.142^{A} $< LOQ$ 0.611 ± 0.193^{B} $< LOQ$ 4.692 ± 0.637 Epicatechingalate $ < LOQ$ 0.611 ± 0.193^{B} $< LOD$ 1.010 ± 0.051 Taxifolin $< LOQ$ 0.191 ± 0.003^{A} $ < LOQ$ $< LOQ$ $< LOQ$ Myricetin $< CIOQ$ 0.019 ± 0.001^{A} 0.019 ± 0.001^{A} 0.013 ± 0.001^{A} $= LOQ$ $< LOQ$ $< LOQ$ Myricetin 0.047 ± 0.005^{a} 0.019 ± 0.001^{b} 0.013 ± 0.003^{A} $= LOQ$ $< LOQ$ $< LOQ$ Myricetin 0.047 ± 0.005^{a} 0.013 ± 0.002^{A} $= LOQ$ $< LOQ$ $< LOQ$ Myricetin 0.047 ± 0.005^{a} 0.002^{A} $= < LOQ$ $< LOQ$ $< LOQ$ Myricetin 0.047 ± 0.002^{A} $= 0.002^{A}$ $= < LOQ$ $< LOQ$ $< LOQ$ Moridetin $-$	Epigallocatechin	< LOQ	0.169 ± 0.036^{A}	< 1.0Q	0.031 ± 0.001^{B}	< 100	0.027 ± 0.001^{B}	< LOQ	0.061 ± 0.007^{B}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Catechin	0.144 ± 0.023^{a}	3.995 ± 0.294^{AB}	< 10Q	2.572 ± 0.410^{B}	< 100	4.692 ± 0.637^{A}	0.023 ± 0.011^{b}	3.606 ± 0.488^{AB}
Epicatechingallate - < $\lfloor 000$ </td <td>Epicatechin</td> <td>0.022 ± 0.005</td> <td>1.338 ± 0.142^{A}</td> <td>< LOD</td> <td>0.611 ± 0.193^{B}</td> <td>< LOD</td> <td>1.010 ± 0.051^{AB}</td> <td>< LOQ</td> <td>0.795 ± 0.213^{AB}</td>	Epicatechin	0.022 ± 0.005	1.338 ± 0.142^{A}	< LOD	0.611 ± 0.193^{B}	< LOD	1.010 ± 0.051^{AB}	< LOQ	0.795 ± 0.213^{AB}
Taxifolin < LOQ 0.191 ± 0.003^{A} - 0.088 ± 0.043^{AB} - 0.043 ± 0.001 Quercetin < LOQ < LOQ <td>Epicatechingallate</td> <td>I</td> <td>< 1.0Q</td> <td>I</td> <td>< 1.0Q</td> <td>I</td> <td>< 1.0Q</td> <td>I</td> <td>< 10Q</td>	Epicatechingallate	I	< 1.0Q	I	< 1.0Q	I	< 1.0Q	I	< 10Q
Quercetin $< LOQ$ $< COQ$	Taxifolin	< 100	0.191 ± 0.003^{A}	I	0.088 ± 0.043^{AB}	I	0.043 ± 0.001^{B}	< 10Q	0.171 ± 0.028^{A}
Myricetin 0.047 ± 0.005^a 0.045 ± 0.001^A 0.019 ± 0.001^b 0.031 ± 0.005^A 0.021 ± 0.002^b 0.041 ± 0.01 Aromadendrin - - 0.013 ± 0.002^A - 0.021 ± 0.002^b 0.041 ± 0.01 Aromadendrin - - 0.013 ± 0.002^A - 1.00 - 1.00 Kaempferol - - 0.039 ± 0.002^A - < 1.00 - < 1.00 Naringenin - - < 1.00 - $< 0.010 \pm 0.00$ - $< 0.010 \pm 0.00$ Phenol aldehyde - - < 1.00 - < 1.00 < 1.00 < 1.00 Vanillin - 0.015 \pm 0.003^A - 0.025 ± 0.001^A - 0.032 ± 0.01^A $< 0.022 \pm 0.001^A$ 0.032 ± 0.01^A	Quercetin	< LOQ	> LOQ	< 100	< 1.0Q	< 10Q	< 1.0Q	< 1.0Q	< 10Q
Aromadendrin - 0.013 ± 0.002^{A} - $< LOQ$ - $< LOQ$ Kaempferol - 0.039 \pm 0.002^{A} - $< LOQ$ - $< 0.010 \pm 0.002^{A}$ Naringenin - $< COQ$ - $< COQ$ - $< COQ$ Phenol aldehyde - $< COQ$ - $< COQ$ - $< COQ$ Vanillin - 0.015 \pm 0.003^{A} - 0.025 ± 0.001^{A} - 0.032 ± 0.01^{A}	Myricetin	0.047 ± 0.005^{a}	$0.045 \pm 0.001^{\rm A}$	0.019 ± 0.001^{b}	0.031 ± 0.005^{A}	0.021 ± 0.002^{b}	0.041 ± 0.010^{A}	0.032 ± 0.002^{b}	$0.074 \pm 0.020^{\rm A}$
Kaempferol - 0.039 ± 0.002^{A} - $< LOQ$ - 0.010 ± 0.005 Naringenin - < LOQ	Aromadendrin	I	0.013 ± 0.002^{A}	1	< 1.0Q	I	< 1.0Q	I	$0.014 \pm 0.001^{\rm A}$
Naringenin - < LOQ - < LOQ - < LOQ Phenol aldehyde - 0.015 \pm 0.003 ^A - 0.022 \pm 0.001 ^A - 0.032 \pm 0.016	Kaempferol	I	0.039 ± 0.002^{A}	I	> LOQ	I	0.010 ± 0.005^{B}	I	0.036 ± 0.006^{A}
Phenol aldehyde Vanillin – 0.015 \pm 0.003 ^A – 0.025 \pm 0.001 ^A – 0.032 \pm 0.016	Naringenin	I	< L0Q	I	< L0Q	I	< LOQ	I	< L0Q
Vanillin - 0.015 \pm 0.003 ^A - 0.025 \pm 0.001 ^A - 0.032 \pm 0.016	Phenol aldehyde								
	Vanillin	I	0.015 ± 0.003^{A}	I	$0.025 \pm 0.001^{\rm A}$	I	0.032 ± 0.016^{A}	I	$0.010 \pm 0.001^{\text{A}}$
Sinapaldehyde < LOQ < 200	Sinapaldehyde	< 10Q	> LOQ	< 100	< 1.0Q	< 10Q	< 1.0Q	< 1.0Q	< 10Q
Total 0.324 7.170 0.091 4.259 0.104 6.866	Total	0.324	7.170	0.091	4.259	0.104	6.866	0.139	5.693

F. Demoliner et al.

A1, A2, A3: sapucaia nuts from Piauí; B1: sapucaia nut from Minas Gerais. Mean \pm S.D. (n = 3). ^{a-d}The same lowercase letters in the same row do not differ significantly (Tukey test, p < 0.05) between the sapucaia nut extracts. ^{A-D}The same uppercase letters in the same row do not differ significantly (Tukey test, p < 0.05) between the sapucaia nut and low of each phonolic compound are showed in Table 1.

Table 3

Phenolic compounds profile of the nuts and skin/hard shells of Brazil, cashew, baru and pecan nuts.

	Phenolic compound	Unit	Nut	By-product (skin/hard shell)	References
Brazil nut	Gallic acid	mg Kg ⁻¹	70.0		Gomes and Torres (2016)
	Protocatechuic acid		207		
	Catechin		421		
	p-Hydroxybenzoic acid		151		
	2,4-Dihydroxybenzoic acid		168		
	p-Coumaric acid		136		
	Sinapinic acid		124		
	Gallic acid	$\mu g g^{-1}$	82.0 ^a , 52.0 ^b	294 ^a , 1639 ^b	John and Shahidi (2010)
	Protocatechuic acid		120 ^a , 33.0 ^b	884 ^a , 1320 ^b	
	Catechin		25.0 ^b	2875 ^b	
	Vanillic acid		35.0 ^a , 9.0 ^b	58.0 ^a , 285 ^b	
	Ellagic acid		11.0 ^a , 15.0 ^b	130 ^a , 77.0 ^b	
	Taxifolin		-	123 ^a , 333 ^b	
	Quercetin		-	28.0 ^b	
Cashew nut	Gallic acid	mgg^{-1}	0.11	0.36	Chandrasekara and Shahidi (2011a)
	Syringic		0.61	2.51	
	<i>p</i> -coumaric		0.10	0.25	
	Catechin		11.7	47.3	
	Epicatechin		7.43	28.3	
	Epigallocatechin	1 00 -1	4.46	2.01	
Baru nut	<i>p</i> -Coumaric acid	mg 100 g ⁻¹	14.3 ^e , 2.60 ⁱ		Lemos et al. (2012)
	Ellagic acid		8.50 ^e , 2.80 ^r		
	Caffeic acid		6.30°, 1.80°		
	Gallic acid		224 ^c , 133 ^c		
	Hydroxybenzoic acid		2.30°, 0.30°		
	Catechin		87.2 ^c , 20.3 ^c		
	Ferulic acid		45.4 [°] ,9.60 [°]		
	Epicatechin	-1	23.9°, 8.0°		D 111 (0015)
Pecan nut	Caffelc acid nexoside	μg g	6.74, 6.70		Roddins et al. (2015)
	Gallic acid derivative		13.6 , 79.0 , 45.4		
	Brevitolin carboxylic acid		5.20		
	Valoneic acid dilactone		9.45, 109, 262		
	Ellagic acid pentose		9.30		
	Ellagic acid		132, 119, 103		
	Filagic acid galloyl pentose		7.40 4.40 ^a		
	Methyl ellagic acid pentose		9.50 ^a		
	Filagic acid galloyl pentose		4.20 ^a		
	Dimethyl ellagic acid		3.10^{a}		
	Dimensyl enagic acid		21.0°		
	<i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoic acid		48.0° 30.9 ^d		
	Sinapoylquinic acid		14.0°		
	Methylellagic acid		7.0 ^c		
	Ellagic acid derivative		7.0°		
	Gallic acid		86.2 ^d		
	Catechin		82.3 ^d		
	Syringic acid derivative		24.0 ^d		
	Ellagitannin derivative		8.0 ^d		
	J		-		

(continued on next page)

Table 3 (continued)

Phenolic compound	Unit	Nut	By-product (skin/hard shell)	References
Gallic acid Protocatechuic acid Mandelic acid Epigallocatechin (+) Catechin Chlorogenic acid (-) Epicatechin Vanillic acid Siryngic acid Epicatechingallate Fustin Vanillin <i>p</i> -coumaric Taxifolin Ferulicacid Rosmarinicacid Quercetin Salicylicacid Myricetin Ellagicacid Eriodictyol Naringenin	mg g ⁻¹		$\begin{array}{c} 128^8, 138^h\\ 2.27^8, 3.30^h\\ 0.62^8\\ 2.38^8, 4.73^h\\ 260^8, 352^h\\ 0.15^8, 0.15^h\\ 24.9^8, 24.4^h\\ 6.92^8, 9.01^h\\ 0.59^8, 0.90^h\\ 0.29^8, 0.73^h\\ 0.02^8, 0.10^h\\ 0.01^8, 0.10^h\\ 0.08^8, 0.14^h\\ 3.99^8, 5.60^h\\ 0.16^8, 0.31^h\\ 0.01^8, 0.01^h\\ 0.26^8, 0.28^h\\ 0.05^8, 0.08^h\\ 11.6^8, 36.3^h\\ 0.12^8, 0.12^h\\ 0.05^8, 0.08^h\\ \end{array}$	Hilbig, Alves, et al. (2018)

-: not detected.

^a Free phenolics.

^b Bound phenolics.

Ester-linked.

^d Glycoside-linked.

e Baru nut with peel.

^f Baru nut peeled.

^g Pecan nut shell aqueous extract.

^h Pecan nut shell hydroalcoholic extract.

Table 4					
Fotal phenolic content	, antioxidant capacity an	d condensed tannins i	n sapucaia nut extract	s and sapucaia shell ext	racts (dry weight).

Samples	Antioxidant capacity				$CT (mg CE g^{-1})$
	TFC (mg GAE g^{-1})	FRAP (μ mol TEAC g ⁻¹)	ABTS (μ mol TEAC g ⁻¹)	DPPH (μ mol TEAC g ⁻¹)	
Nuts					
A1	$34.02 \pm 0.37^{\rm b}$	19.38 ± 1.04^{a}	48.13 ± 0.27^{a}	Nd	-
A2	29.03 ± 0.19^{b}	15.82 ± 0.78^{b}	34.15 ± 0.18^{d}	Nd	-
A3	34.52 ± 0.76^{b}	15.82 ± 1.76^{b}	$39.28 \pm 0.43^{\circ}$	Nd	-
B1	41.34 ± 0.25^{a}	$18.35 \pm 0.58^{a^b}$	41.34 ± 0.25^{b}	Nd	-
Shell					
A1	377.64 ± 1.32^{a}	1365.2 ± 3.35^{a}	196.91 ± 0.17^{a}	140.88 ± 1.19^{a}	123.81 ± 1.74^{a}
A2	347.89 ± 1.91^{b}	1369.8 ± 2.09^{a}	196.12 ± 0.78^{a}	139.11 ± 2.32^{a}	91.99 ± 1.59 ^d
A3	349.86 ± 1.56^{b}	1362.5 ± 3.19^{a}	197.70 ± 0.17^{a}	140.03 ± 1.01^{a}	$105.28 \pm 0.48^{\circ}$
B1	$350.35 \pm 0.53^{\rm b}$	975.9 ± 2.53^{b}	193.45 ± 0.89^{b}	135.14 ± 0.83^{b}	115.66 ± 0.18^{b}

A1, A2, A3: sapucaia nuts from Piauí; B1: sapucaia nut from Minas Gerais. Mean ± S.D. (n = 3). Nd: not determined. -: not detected. ^{a-d} The same letters in the same column do not differ significantly (Tukey test, p < 0.05) for each extracts. CT: condensed tannins. TFC: Total phenolic content. GAE: gallic acid equivalent. TEAC: Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity. CE: Cathechin equivalent.

shown in Table 5. A positive and significant correlation among individual phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity suggest that these phenolic compounds were associated with the antioxidant capacity assessed by these methods (FRAP, ABTS and DPPH). Phenolic compounds are known to have strong in vitro and in vivo antioxidant effects as they are reducing agents and to be able to donate hydrogen or electrons to free radicals (Granato, Santos, Maciel, & Nunes, 2016). The activity is also related with the number of hydroxyl groups present, the greater the number the greater the activity (Granato, Katayama, & Castro, 2011).

4. Conclusions

A large number of phenolic compounds were identified in the nut and shell of sapucaia (14 and 22, respectively). Catechin, epicatechin, myricetin, ellagic acid, and ferulic acid of the sapucaia nut presented significant correlation to the antioxidant activity. Overall, the sapucaia shell extracts presented higher total phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity when compared to the nut extracts. The results indicated that the high antioxidant capacities found in the sapucaia nut and shell are based on its high phenolic compounds content. The

Table 5

Correlation between antioxidant capacity and phenolic compounds in sapucaia nut and shell.

Parameters	TFC	FRAP	ABTS	DPPH
Nuts				
FRAP	0.261	-	-	-
ABTS	-0.185	0.766*	-	-
Catechin	-0.084	0.746*	0.896*	-
Ellagic acid	-0.246	0.632**	0.854*	-
Epicatechin	-0.178	0.665**	0.846*	-
Ferulic acid	0.628**	0.173	-0.136	-
Myricetin	0.178	0.828*	0.891*	-
Vanillic acid	0.544	-0.229	-0.359	-
Shell				
FRAP	0.764*	_	_	_
ABTS	0.886*	0.912*	_	_
DPPH	0.482	0.225	0.229	_
СТ	0.376	0.132	-0.151	0.123
Aromadendrin	0.660**	-0.358	-0.557	0.110
Catechin	-0.014	0.609**	0.323	-0.265
Ellagic acid	0.559	-0.246	-0.479	-0.226
Epicatechin	-0.081	0.315	0.243	-0.091
Epigallocatechin	-0.056	0.266	0.087	0.635**
Ferulic acid	-0.391	0.753*	0.603**	0.068
Gallicacid	0.207	-0.348	-0.398	0.653**
Kaempferol	0.565	-0.142	-0.369	0.086
Myricetin	0.877*	-0.557	-0.655**	-0.376
Protocatechuicacid	0.683**	-0.652**	-0.819*	0.117
Taxifolin	0.407	-0.432	-0.484	0.479
Vanillic acid	-0.184	0.293	0.239	-0.045
Vanillin	-0.652^{**}	0.543	0.654**	-0.104

TFC: Total phenolic content. CT: condensed tannins.

* p < 0.01.

** p < 0.05.

phenolic profile and concentration in sapucaia nut and shell indicate that this underutilized raw material may be used as a good source of dietary phenolic compounds, as an ingredient in the formulation of different healthy foods and as an ingredient in food matrices. Future work should be performed to determine the bioactivity of the extracts as well as their use in food, cosmetics, and medicines.

Conflict of interest

The authors confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication.

Acknowledgments

Authors are grateful to Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) for awarding a scholarship to Fernanda Demoliner (CAPES - PROEX 1790241). The authors would like to thank Eduardo Euclydes de Lima e Borges from the Federal University of Viçosa (MG) for providing the sapucaia samples and Letícia Turcatto de Carvalho for her assistance in the analyses. The authors also would like to thank Professor Gianluca Li Puma from the University of Loughborough for proof-reading this manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.06.050.

References

Agra, M. F., Freitas, P. F., & Barbosa-Filho, J. M. (2007). Synopsis of the plants known as medicinal and poisonous in Northeast of Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Pharmacognosy*, 17, 114–140.

- Alasalvar, C., & Bolling, B. W. (2015). Review of nut phytochemicals, fat-soluble bioactives, antioxidant components and health effects. *The British Journal of Nutrition*, 113, S68–S78.
- Arnous, A., Makris, D. P., & Kefalas, P. (2002). Correlation of pigment and flavanol content with antioxidant properties in selected aged regional wines from Greece. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 15, 655–665.
- Benzie, I. F. F., & Strain, J. J. (1996). The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of "antioxidant power": The FRAP assay. Analytical Biochemistry, 239, 70–76.
- Bettaieb, A., Prieto, M. A. V., Lanzi, C. R., Miatello, R. M., Haj, F. G., Fraga, C. G., & Oteiza, P. I. (2014). (-)-Epicatechin mitigates high-fructose-associated insulin resistance by modulating redox signaling and endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 72, 247–256.
- Brandão, M. S., Pereira, S. S., Lima, D. F., Oliveira, J. P. C., Ferreira, E. L. F., Chaves, M. H., & Almeida, F. R. C. (2013). Antinociceptive effect of *Lecythis pisonis* Camb. (Lecythidaceae) in models of acute pain in mice. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 146, 180–186.
- Brand-Williams, W., Cuvelier, A. E., & Berset, C. (1995). Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. *LWT- Food Science and Technology, 28*, 25–30.
- Busto, R., Serna, J., Parianes-Cachero, A., Quintana-Portillo, R., García-Seisdedos, D., Canfrán-Duque, A., ... Pastor, O. (2018). Ellagic acid protects from myelin-associated sphingolipid loss in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids*, 1863, 958–967.
- Chandrasekara, N., & Shahidi, F. (2011a). Effect of roasting on phenolic content and their antioxidant activities of cashew kernels and testa. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59, 5006–5014.
- Croft, K. D., Yamashita, Y., O'Donoghue, H., Shirasaya, D., Ward, N. C., & Ashida, H. (2018). Screening plant derived dietary phenolic compounds for bioactivity related to cardiovascular disease. *Fitoterapia*, 126, 22–28.
- Demoliner, F., Policarpia, P. B., Ramos, J. C., Bascuñan, V. L. A. F., Ferrari, R. A., Jachmaniánd, I., ... Block, J. M. (2018). Sapucaia nut (*Lecythis pisonis* Cambess) and its by-products: A promising and underutilized source of bioactive compounds. Part I: Nutritional composition and lipid profile. *Food Research International*, 108, 27–34.
- Ferreira, E. L. F., Mascarenhas, T. S., Oliveira, J. P. C., Chaves, M. H., Araújo, B. Q., & Carvalho, A. J. (2014). Phytochemical investigation and antioxidant activity of extracts of *Lecythis pisonis* Camb. *Journal of Medicinal Plant Research*, 8, 353–360.
- Floegel, A., Kim, D. O., Chung, S. J., Koo, S. I., & Chun, O. K. (2011). Comparison of ABTS/DPPH assays to measure antioxidant capacity in popular antioxidant rich US foods. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 24, 1043–1048.
- Franco, E. A. P., & Barros, R. F. M. (2006). Use and diversity of medicinal plants at the "Quilombo Olho D'água dos Pires", Esperantina, Piaui State, Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Medicina*, 8, 78–88.
- Gomes, S., & Torres, A. G. (2016). Optimized extraction of polyphenolic antioxidant compounds from Brazil nut (*Bertholletia excelsa*) cake and evaluation of the polyphenol profile by HPLC. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 96, 2805–2814.
- Granato, D., Katayama, F. C. V., & Castro, I. A. (2011). Phenolic composition of South American red wines classified according to their antioxidant activity, retail price and sensory quality. *Food Chemistry*, 129, 366–373.
- Granato, D., Santos, J. S., Maciel, L. G., & Nunes, D. S. (2016). Chemical perspective and criticism on selected analytical methods used to estimate the total content of phenolic compounds in food matrices. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 80, 266–279.
- Grassi, D., Desideri, G., Necozione, S., Lippi, C., Casale, R., Properzi, G., ... Ferri, C. (2008). Blood pressure is reduced and insulin sensitivity increased in glucose-intolerant, hypertensive subjects after 15 days of consuming high-polyphenol dark chocolate. *Journal of Nutrition*, 138, 1671–1676.
- Grassi, D., Lippi, C., Necozione, S., Desideri, G., & Ferri, C. (2005). Short-term administration of dark chocolate is followed by a significant increase in insulin sensitivity and a decrease in blood pressure in healthy persons. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 81, 611–614.
- Hilbig, J., Alves, R. V., Muller, C. M. O., Micke, G. A., Vitali, L., Pedrosa, R. C., & Block, J. M. (2018). Ultrasonic-assisted extraction combined with sample preparation and analysis using LC-ESI-MS/MS allowed the identification of 24 new phenolic compounds in pecan nut shell [Caryaillinoinensis (Wangenh) C. Koch] extracts. *Food Research International*, 106, 549–557.
- Hilbig, J., Policarpi, P. B., de Souza Grinevicius, V. W. A., Santos Mota, N. S. R., Toaldo, I. M., Luiz, M. T. B., ... Block, J. M. (2018). Aqueous extract from pecan nut [*Carya illinoinensis* (Wangenh) C. Koch] shell show activity against breast cancer cell line MCF-7 and Ehrlich ascites tumor in Balb-C mice. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 211, 256–266.
- Itoh, A., Isoda, K., Kondoh, M., Kawase, M., Kobayashi, M., Tamesada, M., & Yagi, K. (2009). Hepatoprotective effect of syringic acid and vanillic acid on concanavalin ainduced liver injury. *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 32, 1215–1219.
- John, J. A., & Shahidi, F. (2010). Phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of Brazil nut (Bertholletia excelsa). Journal of Functional Foods, 2, 196–209.
- Kaliora, A. C., Kogiannou, D. A., Papassideri, I. S., & Kalogeropoulos, N. (2014). Phenolic profiles and antioxidant and anticarcinogenic activities of Greek herbal infusions; balancing delight and chemoprevention? *Food Chemistry*, 142, 233–241.
- Kim, S. J., Kim, M. C., Um, J. Y., & Hong, S. H. (2010). The beneficial effect of vanillic acid on ulcerative colitis. *Molecules*, 15, 7208–7217.
- Larrosa, M., Tomas-Barberan, F. A., & Espin, J. C. (2006). The dietary hydrolysable tannin punicalagin releases ellagic acid that induces apoptosis in human colon adenocarcinoma Caco-2 cells by using the mitochondrial pathway. *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*, 17, 611–625.
- Lemos, M. R. B., Siqueira, E. M. A., Arruda, S. F., & Zambiazi, R. C. (2012). The effect of roasting on the phenolic compounds and antioxidant potential of baru nuts [Dipteryxalata Vog.]. Food Research International, 48, 592–597.

- Lorenzo, J. M., & Munekata, P. E. S. (2016). Phenolic compounds of green tea: Health benefits and technological application in food. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 6, 709–719.
- Ma, X., Wu, H., Liu, L., Yao, Q., Wang, S., Zhan, R., ... Zhou, Y. (2011). Polyphenolic compounds and antioxidant properties in mango fruits. *Science Horticulturae*, 129, 102–107.
- Mensor, L. L., Menezes, F. S., Leitão, G. G., Reis, A. S., dos Santos, T. C., Coube, C. S., & Leitão, S. G. (2001). Screening of Brazilian plant extracts for antioxidant activity by the use of DPPH free radical method. *Phytotherapy Research*, 15, 127–130.
- Norata, G. D., Marchesi, P., Passamonti, S., Pirillo, A., Violi, F., & Catapano, A. L. (2007). Anti-inflammatory and anti-atherogenic effects of cathechin, caffeic acid and transresveratrol in apolipoprotein E deficient mice. *Atherosclerosis*, 191, 265–271.
- Prado, A. C. P., Aragão, A. M., Fett, R., & Block, J. M. (2009). Phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of pecan [*Carya illinoinensis* (Wangenh) C. Koch] shell extracts. *Brazilian Journal of Food Technology*, 12, 323–332.
- Price, M. L., Van Scoyoc, S., & Butler, L. G. (1978). A critical evaluation of the vanillin reaction as an assay for tannin in sorghum. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 26, 1214–1218.
- Prince, P. S. M., Rajakumar, S., & Dhanasekar, K. (2011). Protective effects of vanillic acid on electrocardiogram, lipid peroxidation, antioxidants, proinflammatory markers and histopathology in isoproterenol induced cardiotoxic rats. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 668, 233–240.
- Re, R., Pellegrini, N., Proteggente, A., Pannala, A., Yang, M., & Rice-Evans, C. (1999). Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 26, 1231–1237.
- Robbins, K. S., Gong, Y., Wells, M. L., Greenspan, P., & Pegg, R. B. (2015). Reprint of "investigation of the antioxidant capacity and phenolic constituents of U.S. pecans". *Journal of Functional Foods*, 18, 1002–1013.
- Rosillo, M. A., Sánchez-Hidalgo, M., Cárdeno, A., Aparicio-Soto, M., Sánchez-Fidalgo, S., Villegas, I., & de la Lastra, C. A. (2012). Dietary supplementation of an ellagic acidenriched pomegranate extract attenuates chronic colonic inflammation in rats.

Pharmacological Research, 66, 235-242.

- Schulz, M., Borges, G. S. C. B., Gonzaga, L. V., Seraglio, S. K. T. S., Olivo, I. S., Azevedo, M. S., ... Fett, R. (2015). Chemical composition, bioactive compounds and antioxidant capacity of juçara fruit (*Euterpeedulis Martius*) during ripening. *Food Research International*, 77, 125–131.
- Shahidi, F., & Ambigaipalan, P. (2015). Phenolics and polyphenolics in foods, beverages and spices: Antioxidant activity and health effects – A review. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 18, 820–897.
- Silva, L. L., Gomes, B. S., Sousa-Neto, B. P., Oliveira, J. P. C., Ferreira, E. L. F., Chaves, M. H., & Oliveira, F. A. (2012). Effects of *Lecythis pisonis* Camb. (Lecythidaceae) in a mouse model of pruritus. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 139, 90–97.
- Singleton, V. L., & Rossi, J. A. (1965). Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 16, 144–158.
- Suzuki-Sugihara, N., Kishimoto, Y., Saita, E., Taguchi, C., Kobayashi, M., Ichitani, M., ... Kondo, K. (2016). Green tea catechins prevent low-density lipoprotein oxidation via their accumulation in low-density lipoprotein particles in humans. *Nutrition Research*, 36, 16–23.
- Taş, N. G., & Gökmen, V. (2017). Phenolic compounds in natural and roasted nuts and their skins: A brief review. Current Opinion in Food Science, 14, 103–109.
- Teixeira, G. L., Ávila, S., Silveira, J. L. M., Ribani, M., & Ribani, R. H. (2018). Chemical, thermal and rheological properties and stability of Sapucaia (*Lecythis pisonis*) nut oils. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 131, 2105–2121.
- Vallilo, M. I., Tavares, M., Aued-Pimentel, S., Campos, N. C., & Moita Neto, J. M. (1999). Lecythis pisonis camb. nuts: Oil characterization, fatty acids and minerals. Food Chemistry, 66, 197–200.
- Villarreal-Lozoya, J. E., Lombardini, L., & Cisneros-Zevallos, L. (2007). Phytochemical constituents and antioxidant capacity of different pecan [*Carya illinoinensis* (Wangenh.) K. Koch] cultivars. *Food Chemistry*, 102, 1241–1249.
- Wickens, G. E. (1995). Edible nuts. Roma: FAO. (non wood forest products, 5). Rome: Food and agriculture Organization of the United Nations69–71.