



Embrapa



PFBR

**Pesquisa Florestal Brasileira
Brazilian Journal of Forestry Research**

v. 39, e201902043
Special issue, 2019
ISSN 1809-3647

Editor-Chief

Patrícia Póvoa de Mattos
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Co-editors

Alvaro Figueredo dos Santos
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Annete Bonnet
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Carolin Córdova Sáez
Universidad de Concepcion, Concepción, Chile

Cristiane Fioravante Reis
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Daniel Burckhardt
Naturhistorisches Museum, Switzerland

Eugenio Alfredo Sanfuentes von Stowasser
Universidad de Concepción, Centro de Biotecnología, Concepción, Chile

Francides Gomes da Silva Júnior
Universidade de São Paulo, Campus Luiz de Queiroz, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

Hugo Enrique Fassola
Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria Estación Experimental Agropecuaria Montecarlo (INTA-EEA Montecarlo), Misiones, Argentina

Guilherme Schnell e Schuhli
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Jose Elidney Pinto Junior
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Krisle da Silva
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Marcelo Francia Arco-Verde
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Marilice Cordeiro Garrastaza
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Peter Michael Spathelf
University for Sustainable Development Eberswalde (FH), Eberswalde, Germany

Valderês Aparecida de Sousa
Embrapa Florestas, Colombo, PR, Brazil

Associate Editors

Afonso Figueiredo Filho
Universidade Estadual do Centro-Oeste, Departamento de Engenharia Florestal, Irati, PR, Brazil

Aloisio Xavier
Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Departamento de Engenharia Florestal, Viçosa, MG, Brazil

Eduardo Mansur
International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO), Yokohama, Japan

Gledson Vígiano Bianconi
Instituto Federal do Paraná, Campus Pinhais, Brazil

Heinrich Spiecker
University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

John Parrotta
US Forest Service, Research & Development, Virginia, United States

Ivan Tomaselli
STCP Engenharia de Projetos Ltda, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Jorge Alberto Gazel Yared
Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia, Belém, PA, Brazil

José Aníbal Palavecino
Universidad Nacional de Misiones, Eldorado, Misiones, Argentina

José Rente Nascimento
International Consultant, United States

Laercio Couto
Centro Brasileiro Para Conservação da Natureza e Desenvolvimento Sustentável (CBCN), Viçosa, MG, Brazil

Leif Nutto
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Instituto Para Utilização da Madeira e das Ciências, Freiburg, Germany

Manoel Malheiros Tourinho
Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia, Instituto Socioambiental e dos Recursos Hídricos - ISARH, Belém, PA, Brazil

Marcus Vinicio Neves d'Oliveira
Embrapa Acre, Rio Branco, AC, Brazil

Pablo Christian Cruz Johnson
Centro de Investigación de Estudios de Recursos Naturales, Santiago, Chile

Ricardo Cesar Larrobla
Consultor independente, Maldonado, Uruguay

Sebastião do Amaral Machado
Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Versides Sebastião de Moraes e Silva
Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Faculdade de Engenharia Florestal, Cuiabá, MT, Brazil

Secretaria

Elisabete Marques Oaida
Embrapa Floresta, Colombo, Brazil

Editores

Cristina Mosol
Curitiba, Brazil

Normalização Bibliográfica

Francisca Rasche
Embrapa Floresta, Colombo, Brazil



Congress Scientific Committee (CSC)

CSC Chair

Jerry Vanclay
Southern Cross University, Australia

CSC Members

Pil Sun Park
Division 1
Seoul National University, South Korea

Santiago González-Martínez
Division 2
French National Institute for Agricultural Research-INRA, France

Woodam Chung
Division 3
Oregon State University, USA

Donald Hodges
Division 4
University of Tennessee, USA

Pekka Saranpää
Division 5
Natural Resources Institute Finland / Luonnonvarakeskus-Luke, Finland

Cecil Konijnendijk
Division 6
University of British Columbia, Canada

Eckehard Brockerhoff
Division 7
Scion Crown Research Institute-CRI, New Zealand

Alexia Stokes
Division 8
French National Institute for Agricultural Research-INRA, France

Sandra Luque
Division 8
National Research Institute of Science and Technology for Environment and Agriculture-IRSTEA, France

Daniela Kleinschmit
Division 9
University of Freiburg, Germany

Björn Hånell
Vice-President Divisions
Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden

John Parrotta
Vice-President Task Forces, Special Programmes, Projects and IUFRO-LED Initiatives
US Forest Service, USA

Dolores Pavlovic
Student Representative
International Forestry Students Association

Joseph Cobbinah
Africa Representative
University of Ghana, Africa

Manuel Guariguata
Latin America Representative
Center for International Forestry Research-CIFOR, Peru

Patrícia Pova de Mattos
COC Representative
Embrapa Florestas, Brazil

The abstracts in this Special Issue are the sole responsibility of their authors. The statements and opinions they contain, as well as mentions of any machinery, equipment, products, or techniques, do not constitute endorsement by the Organizing Committee or the institutions involved in the 25th IUFRO World Congress. The editors and event organizers are not responsible for spelling, grammar errors, content, in these abstracts, or for any inaccuracies or ambiguity in the identification or affiliation of their authors.

Pesquisa florestal brasileira = Brazilian journal of forestry research. - v. 39, e 201902043, Special issue (2019) - Colombo : Embrapa Florestas, 2019.

Continuous publishing since 2018-
Published online: <<http://www.cnpf.embrapa.br/pfb/>>.
Special issue: Abstracts of the XXV IUFRO World Congress: Forest Research and Cooperation for Sustainable Development.

ISSN 1809-3647 (print)
ISSN 1983-2605 (online)

1. Forest – Journal - Brazil. 2. Forestry research. 3. Sustainable development. I. Embrapa Florestas.

Francisca Rasche CRB 9-1204

CDD 634.905
© Embrapa, 2019

Pesquisa Florestal Brasileira /Brazilian Journal of Forestry Research

**Forest Research and Cooperation
for Sustainable Development**

XXV IUFRO World Congress, 29 sept - 5 October 2019,

Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Abstracts

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fundamental_analysis]) to intangible (such as selective divestment [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Divestment]). This study presents the portfolio optimization for the university forest enterprise in Czech Republic. Significant steps to achieve increased business profits were set in cooperation with business management as well as indicators of their achievement.

Working across disciplines to incorporate values of the public in an integrated decision support system (DSS)

Rebecca Ford¹, Andrea Rawluk¹, Kathryn Williams¹

¹The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia (fordr@unimelb.edu.au; andrea.rawluk@unimelb.edu.au; kjhw@unimelb.edu.au)

Forest managers are challenged with making decisions within complex social-ecological systems. Values (qualities and outcomes that are important to people) are a way of representing social parts of systems in decision-making. However, it is challenging to incorporate such values, with their social and political implications, into decision-making based in biophysical science and quantitative modelling. In this project, we used an action research methodology to contribute to, and observe, the early integration of a social concept of values into a biophysical DSS. Fifteen researchers at the University of Melbourne are developing the DSS to integrate their findings from many years studying biodiversity, carbon, water, fire and social values. As participants in this process, we identified key points where an imperative for integration across disciplines was perceived and then observed what followed. These points included a need to co-develop a definition of the term 'values' to enable decisions about what would be included within the scope of the DSS and a similar need to co-develop a definition of 'scenarios', to enable specification of scenarios for model testing. Development of these definitions began when team members realised they understood terms differently. They then needed to articulate and debate their different understandings, before integrated project definitions could be agreed. Some values of the public (identified through social research), such as experience of nature, were able to be incorporated together with ecosystem services and policy objectives to define an overall structure for the DSS. Other social values were not yet able to be adequately quantified.

C4s: ASSESSING AND MODELLING NON-TIMBER FOREST PRODUCTS

Resin yield from the wound tapping method, prior to timber harvesting in *Pinus pinaster* Ait. stands in Galicia (NW Spain)


Alberto García-Méijome¹, María José Rozados Lorenzo¹, Enrique Martínez Chamorro¹, Edgar Fernández Blanco², Esteban Gómez-García¹ 

¹Centro de Investigación Forestal de Lourizán. Xunta de Galicia, Pontevedra, Spain; ²Resinas Fernández, Vilagarcía, Spain

(alberto.garcia.meijome@xunta.gal; maria.jose.rozados.lorenzo@xunta.gal; enrique.martinez.chamorro@xunta.gal; edgarfdez@hotmail.com; esteban.gomez.garcia@xunta.gal)

Studies of the wound tapping method plus chemical stimulation, carried out in Galicia between 1950 and 1970, reported a mean resin production of 2-3 kg per tree for *Pinus pinaster* Ait. stands. The crisis in the sector and the lack of tradition of resin extraction in the region paralyzed these studies and promotion of the methods. However, interest in resin production has been reactivated in Galicia if production of quality timber can be guaranteed. Since 2015, the Lourizán Forestry Research Centre has investigated resin production in research plots located in pine stands due to be harvested in the near future. The objective is to estimate resin production in relation to the wound size and the wound number per tree, and evaluate the influence caused by resin tapping on timber destined for sawmills. The overall purpose of the research is to develop a method of resin extraction that is compatible with harvesting quality timber.

Oleoresin tapping with the borehole method in *Pinus pinaster* Ait. stands prior to timber extraction in Galicia (NW Spain)

Alberto García-Méijome¹, María José Rozados Lorenzo¹, Enrique Martínez Chamorro¹, Esteban Gómez-García¹ 

¹Centro de Investigación Forestal de Lourizán. Xunta de Galicia, Pontevedra, Spain (alberto.garcia.meijome@xunta.gal;

maria.jose.rozados.lorenzo@xunta.gal; enrique.martinez.chamorro@xunta.gal; esteban.gomez.garcia@xunta.gal)

The borehole method of resin extraction consists of making one or more perforations at the base of trees and collecting the oleoresin in closed containers. This method is compared with the wound tapping method, which is usually used in the Iberian Peninsula. The advantage of the borehole method is that the timber is not affected because the perforations are basal. In addition, the use of closed containers yields higher quality oleoresin and decreases the emission of volatile substances that can attract pests. The main limitation of the method is the rapid crystallization of resin around the perforation, which reduces the amount of oleoresin produced. The proposed improvements involve the application of organic stimulants to inhibit crystallization and thus increase the oleoresin yield, without altering the quality of the product. Early results indicate that this method may be a viable alternative to the wound tapping method, with quality as a goal.

Plant diversity associated with productive Brazil nut trees (*Bertholletia excelsa*) in the major producing regions of Amazonas, Brazil / Diversidade vegetal associada a castanhais produtivos nas principais regiões produtoras do Amazonas

Nadiele Pacheco^{1,2}, Nabor Pio¹, Kátia Emídio²

¹Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Manaus, Brasil; ²Instituto de Desenvolvimento Agropecuário e Florestal Sustentável do Estado do Amazonas, Manaus, Brasil; ²Embrapa Amazônia Ocidental, Manaus, Brasil (nadielep@gmail.com; nspio@ufam.edu.br; katia.emidio@embrapa.br)

Bertholletia excelsa Bonpl., conhecida como castanheira, é uma espécie florestal que produz um fruto chamado ouriço, coletado por populações tradicionais que comercializam suas amêndoas, castanha-do-brasil, como alternativa na composição da renda familiar. Avaliou-se a diversidade vegetal associada a castanheiras no Amazonas, por meio da comparação das diferenças florísticas entre e dentro de seis castanhais naturais. A pesquisa foi conduzida nas principais regiões produtoras do Amazonas: Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu Purus/Anóri, Propriedade do Jutica/Tefé, Comunidade Jatuarana/Manicoré, Sítio Gostoso/Amaturá, Comunidade Mufuá/Lábrea e Reserva Extrativista do Rio Unini/Barcelos. As diferenças florísticas foram analisadas por meio da análise Cluster, agrupamento UPGMA (Média não ponderada entre pares de grupos). Todos os indivíduos com DAP \geq 10 cm, vizinhos às castanheiras selecionadas, foram marcados e identificados em 15 parcelas circulares de 15 metros de raio em cada castanhal. As parcelas foram instaladas ao redor de castanheiras selecionadas a partir da classe de produção de frutos (5 de alta, 5 de média e 5 de baixa produtividade). Foram registrados 3039 indivíduos, com Jatuarana tendo o maior número de indivíduos (577). Os ambientes florestais apresentaram grande diversidade florística –diversidade alfa, medida pelo índice de Shannon-Weaver, que varia entre 3,65 a 4,19; porém estes valores não foram estatisticamente significativos (Kruskal Wallis) entre as localidades. No contexto das classes de produção não se observou um padrão de agrupamento das parcelas de mesma classe. Observou-se que os castanhais com maior similaridade florística são Sítio Gostoso e Mufuá; Unini como o mais diferente entre eles.