

Research Article

QTL affecting body weight in a candidate region of cattle chromosome 5

Mariana B.B. Machado¹, Maurício M. Alencar², Andréa P. Pereira¹, Henrique N. Oliveira³, Eduardo Casas⁴, Luis L. Coutinho⁵ and Luciana C.A. Regitano²

¹Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Departamento de Genética e Evolução, São Carlos, SP, Brasil. ²Embrapa Pecuária Sudeste, São Carlos, SP, Brasil.

³Universidade Estadual de São Paulo, Departamento de Reprodução e Nutrição Animal,

Botucatu, SP, Brasil.

⁴U.S. Meat Animal Research Center, ARS, USDA, Clay Center, NE, USA.

⁵Universidade de São Paulo, Departamento de Produção Animal, Piracicaba, SP, Brasil.

Abstract

The objective of this work was to identify QTLs for liveweight in a candidate region of bovine chromosome 5. Half-sib families from two lines, one traditional and the other new, of Canchim beef cattle (5/8 Charolais + 3/8 Zebu) were genotyped for four microsatellite markers, including the microsatellite in the IGF-1 (insulin-like growth factor-1) promoter region. Significant differences in allele distribution between the two lines were found for three markers. Interval mapping analyses in this region indicated the presence of a QTL controlling birth weight (p < 0.05) and of a QTL influencing breeding value for yearling weight (p < 0.01) in the newer line of the breed. The previously identified interaction between the IGF-1 genotype and genetic group strengthens the hypothesis of a linked QTL rather than an IGF-1 effect on growth traits in the Canchim cattle.

Key words: QTL, growth traits, microsatellite, bovine.

Received: November 7, 2002; Accepted: May 30, 2003.

Introduction

Crosses between cattle breeds exploit complementarity and the benefits of heterosis to allow the formation of new breeds with suitable traits for a particular environment. In Brazil, the Canchim breed combines the precocity and productivity of Charolais beef cattle with the rusticity and adaptability to the tropics of Zebu cattle, and has been used for meat production since its formation in the 1950s. This breed is an important alternative for low-tomoderate input beef cattle production systems in the tropics.

Liveweight at different ages is a good selection criterion for growth in beef cattle. A set of loci with small individual effects known as quantitative traits loci, or QTLs, control these traits and may have pleiotropic effects on the variation in carcass composition and meat quality that cannot be measured before slaughter of the animal. The recent development of polymorphic markers and bovine linkage maps (Bishop *et al.*, 1994; Barendse *et al.*, 1994, 1997;

Send correspondence to Luciana Correia de Almeida Regitano. Embrapa Pecuária Sudeste, Caixa Postal 339, 13560-970 São Carlos, SP, Brazil. E-mail: luciana@cppse.embrapa.br. Kappes *et al.*, 1997) has made the detection of QTLs that affect commercially relevant traits possible. The combined use of marker-QTL linkage and breeding values can significantly increase the efficiency of animal selection, and thereby increase the genetic progress in a given period of time.

Bovine chromosome 5 harbors QTLs that influence milk production (Kalm *et al.*, 1998), reproductivon (Kirkpatrick *et al.*, 2000; Kappes *et al.*, 2000; Lien *et al.*, 2000) and growth and carcass traits (Moody *et al.*, 1996; Davis *et al.*, 1998; Stone *et al.*, 1999; Casas *et al.*, 2000, 2002; Pereira, 2002; Li *et al.*, 2002). The location of some of these QTLs approaches the position of the IGF-1 (insulin-like growth factor) gene and, since IGF-1 plays a fundamental role in regulation growth and development, this gene is considered a strong candidate for the QTL effect. However, several other genes known to affect tissue growth and differentiation lie near the same region and could also be considered potential candidates.

In this work, we used interval mapping in a region of chromosome 5 to demonstrate the presence of QTLs that control liveweight in Canchim beef cattle.

Material and Methods

Animals

Paternal half-sib families from two Canchim lines were analyzed. The traditional line was formed through alternate crosses of Charolais with Guzerat, Nellore and Indubrazil (Bos indicus) breeds, mainly the latter one. These crosses were started in 1940. The first Canchim (5/8 Charolais + 3/8 Zebu; 62.5% Charolais + 37.5% Zebu) calves, the result of mating two 5/8 Charolais + 3/8 Zebu animals, were born in 1953. This line has been maintained as a closed line since its formation. Fifteen paternal half-sib families (307 offspring) of this line constituted genetic group 1 (GG1) in this study. In 1986, a new Canchim line was started by mating Canchim bulls to Nellore cows, then inseminating the crossbred Canchim-Nellore cows with semen from Charolais bulls, followed by mating of the 1/2 Charolais + 1/4 Canchim + 1/4 Nellore animals amongst themselves to produce Canchim animals that were approximately 65.7% Charolais + 34.3% Nellore. Ten sire progenies (378 animals) of this new Canchim line constituted the genetic group 2 (GG2) in this study. All of the animals belonged to the Canchim herd at the Embrapa Southeastern Cattle Research Center in São Carlos, SP, and were kept in pasture with mineral supplementation.

Phenotypic measurements

Records of birth (BW), weaning (WW) and yearling (YW) weight, as well as their respective breeding values, were analyzed. Prior to statistical analysis, weaning and yearling weights were adjusted to 240 and 365 days of age using the average daily gains from birth to weaning and from weaning to yearling, respectively. Breeding values for birth, weaning and yearling weights were obtained by the derivative-free restricted maximum likelihood method, using an animal model that included the fixed effects of contemporary group (year of birth, season of birth, sex of calf) and the random additive direct effect, the additive maternal effect, and the maternal permanent environmental effect. All measurements were supplied by the Canchim breeding program of the Embrapa Southeastern Cattle Research Center.

DNA extraction and heterozygosity of the sires:

Sire DNA was extracted from semen as described by Regitano (2001). DNA was amplified for ten microsatellite loci on bovine chromosome 5 adjacent to the IGF-1 gene. The microsatellites tested and their position in the map are shown in Figure 1. The primers, amplification conditions and other marker information were obtained from the website http://marc.usda.gov, with the exception of microsatellite TEXAN15, which was described in Burns *et al.* (1995). The amplified products were separated by electrophoresis in 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels at 45 W for 3 or 4 h, depending on the expected allele sizes. The gels were silver stained (Comincini *et al.*, 1995), and



Figure 1 - Approximate location of the molecular markers tested in the genotypic analysis of the sires. The top of the vertical line corresponds to the centromeric extremity of chromosome 5. *Selected markers for halfsib analysis. **Candidate IGF-1 gene. ***The position of marker TEXAN15 was inferred by comparison with common markers of the MARC and Texas A&M University maps.

the allele sizes were estimated by comparison to a 10 bp ladder of molecular weight markers. Microsatellite loci that did not show a satisfactory pattern of amplification or which were uninformative for too many sires were excluded from the analyses. The remaining microsatellite loci (ILST066, IGF-1, TEXAN15, and BMS1248) were used for the analysis.

DNA extraction and progeny genotyping

Progeny DNA was extracted from blood samples as described in Regitano (2001). Microsatellite loci were amplified from 100 ng of DNA in a reaction volume of 12.5 µL containing 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.4, 0.2 µM of each dNTP, 0.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase and 0.4 µM of each primer for ILSTS066, IGF-1 and TEXAN15, and 0.2 µM of each primer for BMS1248. The forward primers for ILSTS066, TEXAN15 and BMS1248 were 5' labeled with fluorescein. Thermocycling conditions included an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, primer annealing for 30 s at 58 °C for loci ILSTS066, IGF-1 and BMS1248 and 56 °C for TEXAN15, and primer extension at 72 °C for 1 min. After 30 cycles, the amplified product was subjected to a final extension at 72 °C for 4 min. The amplification products were separated by electrophoresis in 4% denaturing polyacrylamide gels at 38 W for approximately 6 h in three application stages with average intervals of 2 h between applications, depending on the expected allele sizes, using an Automated Laser Fluorescence DNA Sequencer (A.L.F., Amersham Biosciences). The results were analyzed using the software Allele Locator (Amersham- Biosciences). Allele sizes were calculated using 50-to 500-base-pair external standards and a 100-base-pair internal standard. Microsatellite IGF-1 was analyzed by electrophoresis in 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels at 45 W for 4 h and 30 min and silver stained.

Statistical analyses

Allele frequencies and variances: Allele frequencies were calculated for the entire sample and for each genetic group, according to Weir (1996), and were compared using a probability test for contingency tables (Raymond and Rousset, 1995a). The equilibrium probability associated to the genotypic frequencies observed was obtained by an exact test for the null hypothesis (H₀) of random union of gametes (Guo and Thompson, 1992) using the software Genepop (Raymond and Rousset, 1995b). Heterozygosity coefficients were estimated for each marker in the entire sample and in each genetic group. Average coefficients were calculated for each sample in order to assess their genetic variability.

Interval mapping: These analyses were done with the software QTL express through the site http://qtl.cap.ed. ac.uk/ (Seaton *et al.*, 2001), using the interval mapping for multiple markers in half-sib families, as described by Knott et al. (1996). A regression model included phenotypic data and the conditional probability of inheriting the gamete from the sire. The effects of sex, month and year of birth were included in the model for the analysis of birth, weaning and yearling weight. The age of the cow at calving was included as a covariate. These effects were not considered when analyzing breeding values for the traits. An F test ratio was calculated to test the presence of a QTL at 1 cM intervals. Significance thresholds were obtained through the permutation test (Churchill and Doerge, 1994). The empirical confidence interval for the QTL location was estimated by a bootstrap procedure (Visscher et al., 1996).

Results

Genotypic analysis of sires

This analysis was done to select markers adjacent to the IGF-1 gene that were informative in the largest number of sires, that did not yield spurious bands, and that allowed an appropriate coverage of the chromosomal segment. Microsatellites ILSTS066, TEXAN15, BMS1248 and the microsatellite in the IGF-1 promoter region (Kirkpatrick, 1992) were selected among the markers tested (Figure 1), and the analysis was limited to an interval of 15.5 cM.

Population parameters

Table 1 shows the allele frequencies for microsatellites ILSTS066, IGF-1, TEXAN15 and BMS1248 in the entire sample and for the genetic groups. Two alleles of

Markers Allele size ES GG1 GG2 (bp) ILSTS066 149 0.628 0.652 0.608 153 0.372 0.348 0.392 N = 21 1 1 IGF-1 0.105 0.091 225 0.124 227 0.261 0.372 0.175 229 0.564 0.460 0.643 231 0.070 0.044 0.091 N = 41 1 1 TEXAN15 201 0.067 0.092 0.046 207 0.344 0.400 0.298 217 0.386 0 2 9 5 0.461 0.203 0.207 0.196 Others N = 111 1 1 BMS1248 130 0.132 0.179 0.093 134 0.371 0.321 0.411 140 0.133 0.213 0.067 142 0.266 0.188 0.331

 Table 1 - Allele frequencies for ILSTS066, IGF-1, TEXAN15 and BMS1248 in the entire sample and within genetic groups.

Allele frequencies

ES, entire sample; GG1, genetic group 1; GG2 genetic group 2; N = Number of alleles.

0.097

1

Others

N = 10

0.099

1

0.098

1

the microsatellite ILSTS066, four alleles of IGF-1 and ten alleles of the microsatellite BMS1248 were detected in both genetic groups. Ten alleles of the microsatellite TEXAN15 were detected in genetic group 1 and 11 in genetic group 2. The p-values for the equilibrium test and heterozygosity coefficients for the entire sample and within the genetic groups, the average heterozygosity of the loci in each sample and the p-values for the comparison of allele frequencies between genetic groups are given in Table 2. The allele frequency distribution for the marker ILSTS066 did not differ significantly between the genetic groups, whereas IGF-1, TEXAN15 and BMS1248 loci showed highly significant differences in allele distribution. As expected, the heterozygosity value for ILSTS066 was lower than for IGF-1, TEXAN15 and BMS1248, which are multiallelic loci. The distribution of the genotypic frequencies for the ILSTS066 locus showed that the samples studied were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. However, genotypic disequilibrium was verified for the markers IGF-1, TEXAN15 and BMS1248.

QTL mapping

Although previous work suggested an effect of IGF-1 polymorphism on birth and yearling weight in this sample (Pereira, 2002), interval analysis of the complete data set

Table 2 - P-values for the equilibrium test, heterozygosity coefficients for the entire sample and within genetic groups, average heterozygosity for the loci in each sample, and P-values for differentiation test between genetic groups.

Markers	ES	GG1	GG2
	Equilibrium test		
-			
ILSTS066	1	0.177	0.242
IGF-1	0.209	0.478	0.025*
TEXAN15	0.000**	0.000**	0.041*
BMS1248	0.000**	0.000**	0.001**
	Heterozygosity		
ILSTS066	0.468	0.489	0.448
IGF-1	0.648	0.706	0.603
TEXAN15	0.713	0.702	0.722
BMS1248	0.755	0.705	0.701
Average heterozygosity	0.646	0.650	0.619
	Differentation test GG1 vs. GG2		
ILSTS066		0.121	
IGF-1	0.000**		
TEXAN15	0.000**		
BMS1248		0.000**	

ES, entire sample; GG1, genetic group 1; GG2, genetic group 2; *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01.

did not indicate the presence of a QTL for these traits. Since significant differences in the effect of IGF-1 on growth traits in the two Canchim genetic groups were reported by Pereira (2002), a second interval analysis was done within each genetic group. This analysis detected the presence of a QTL affecting birth weight (p < 0.05) and a QTL controlling yearling weight in genetic group 2, but not in group 1. The interval analyzed included 15.5 cM, which contained the markers ILSTS066, TEXAN15, BMS1248 and the microsatellite on the IGF-1 gene. The maximum F-statistic value was detected at 82.9 cM, between markers TEXAN15 and BMS1248 (Figure 2). A QTL influencing the breeding value for yearling weight (p < 0.01) was detected at 72.9 cM over the ILSTS066 locus (Figure 3). The empirical confidence interval for the location of QTLs was 15 cM. No QTLs were detected for weaning or yearling weight, or for the breeding values for birth and weaning weights.

Discussion

Population parameters

Allele frequency distributions for TEXAN15 and BMS1248 differed significantly among the genetic groups. These differences may have resulted from a combination of factors such as selection pressure, different frequencies in the founder animals and random genetic drift. In the case of



Figure 2 - F-statistic profile for body weight in the analyzed interval of 15.5 cM. The upper horizontal line represents a significance level of 0.01 and the lower represents a significance level of 0.05 (F-statistic = 2.25 at 82.9 cM). Arrowheads under the X-axis indicate the relative position of the markers on the chromosome, beginning at the centromeric extremity. From left to right: ILSTS066 (72.9 cM), IGF-1 (73 cM), TEXAN15 (77.2cM) and BMS1248 (88.4cM).



Figure 3 - F-statistic profile for breeding value for yearling weight in the analyzed interval of 15.5 cM. The upper horizontal line represents a significance level of 0.01 and the lower represents a significance level of 0.05 (F-statistic = 5.17 at 72.9 cM). Arrowheads under the X-axis indicate the relative position of the markers on the chromosome, beginning at the centromeric extremity. From left to right: ILSTS066 (72.9 cM), IGF-1 (73 cM), TEXAN15 (77.2cM) and BMS1248 (88.4cM).

the Canchim lines, the crosses used for their formation can explain the differences in genetic composition. Genetic group 1 (GG1) was formed in the 1950s by crosses between Charolais and a pool of *Bos indicus* breeds, and has been maintained closed since then. Genetic group 2 (GG2) was formed through crosses between Canchim, Nellore and Charolais in the 1980s in a system proposed to obtain Canchim. During the 30 years between the production of GG1 and GG2, Nellore, Charolais and Canchim breeds were intensively selected and improved by breeders. Thus, variations in the founder genetic composition could account for the differences seen among the genetic groups. Differences in genetic composition among lines are important for QTL detection studies, since a QTL influencing a characteristic in a certain population can have a different effect in another, because of epistatic interactions of QTL with the genetic background, or even no effect at all, depending on the presence of segregation at the QTL.

The observed average heterozygosities were greater than those reported by Tambasco (1998) for Nellore cattle and by Tambasco-Studart (2001) for Simmental cattle, thus reflecting greater genetic variability in synthetic breeds compared to pure ones. The mean heterozygosity values observed by Regitano (1997) in successive generations of the Canchim breed, using only microsatellite markers, were close to the those obtained here, indicating that despite the selection practiced across generations the genetic variability of the breed was maintained.

The genotypic disequilibrium observed for loci IGF-1, TEXAN15 and BMS1248 could have resulted from several events such as drift, the presence of null alleles, or linkage of these markers to QTLs under selection pressure. Marker TEXAN15 is located at 4.2 cM of candidate gene IGF-1 and marker BMS1248 at 15.4 cM. QTLs influencing growth and other traits have been reported in this interval (Moody et al., 1996; Davis et al., 1998; Stone et al., 1999; Casas et al., 2000; Pereira, 2002). Deviations in the allelic frequencies of microsatellite TEXAN15 were reported in a Nellore population selected for weight, suggesting its association with a QTL affecting this trait (Merzel et al., 1998). The distortion seen in the genotypic distribution of these three markers in the present work provides further evidence for the presence of a QTL in this chromosomal region. A strongest disequilibrium was found for the locus BMS1248, which agrees with the results for the QTL mapping of body weight discussed below.

QTL Mapping

The interval mapping results provided significant evidence for a QTL affecting birth weight at approximately 82.9 cM from the most centromeric marker, a distance of 9.9 cM from the IGF-1 locus (73 cM). Another QTL affecting breeding value for yearling weight mapped at 72.9 cM over ILSTS066 locus, a distance of 0.1 cM from the IGF-1 locus. In the latter case, the curve shape suggested a QTL location beyond the interval analyzed, towards the centromeric end. This QTL was therefore not considered significant and needs to be investigated further.

The effect of this chromosome 5 region on growth and carcass traits have been reported. A QTL for birth weight was detected in the 70 to 110 cM interval by Davis *et al.* (1998) in a crossbred population. QTLs controlling carcass traits were found by Stone *et al.* (1999) in *Bos taurus* x *Bos indicus* progenies and by Casas *et al.* (2000) in families segregating alternative forms of the myostatin gene. Casas *et al.* (2002) found significant QTLs for birth weight, muscular development and a suggestive QTL for marbling in crossbred progeny. Li *et al.* (2002) detected OTLs for birth weight at 20 to 30 cM and 65 to 75 cM intervals in a Bos taurus breed. An association between IGF-1 and weights was detected by Moody et al. (1996) in Hereford cattle and by Pereira (2002) for birth weight and yearling weight in the same Canchim samples investigated here. An association between IGF-1 and growth was reported in swine by Casas-Carrillo et al. (1997) and in mice by Horvat and Medrano (1995). This region of BTA5 is syntenic to a region of mouse chromosome 10 that contains the IGF-1 gene and the high growth (hg) locus, where a deletion causes the high growth phenotype. However, this deletion does not include the IGF-1 gene (Horvat and Medrano, 1995). According to Horvat and Medrano (2001), the molecular cause of overgrowth in mice is lack of the suppressor of cytokine signaling 2 (Socs2), mapped to the hg region. A Socs2 deficiency affects growth prenatally and postnatally, most likely by deregulating the GH/IGF1 axis. QTLs controlling early and late growth in mice were detected by Cheverud (2002) with the late growth region including the IGF-1 gene. According to Casas et al. (2000), further studies are needed to determine whether the same gene or genes are involved in the expression of these traits in other species.

Although the confidence interval for the locations of the two QTLs does not eliminate the possibility of a direct effect of IGF-1, the presence of a significant effect only for GG2 in the present work and an interaction between the IGF-1 genotype and the genetic group verified by Pereira (2002) strengthen the hypothesis that IGF-1 is not directly responsible for the observed effect. The wide confidence interval calculated for the QTL position may reflect the small family size. According to Martinez (2001), the statistical power for QTL detection is smaller in this situation than when few families with a greater number of descendents are used. Analysis of a greater number of families or subsequent generations could be helpful in defining a smaller interval for QTL location. The GG1 sample progenies were 52% smaller than those of GG2, thus reducing the power of QTL detection. This fact could explain the absence of a QTL effect on GG1. Compared with the results reported by Pereira (2002), a lower statistical significance was detected for the region affecting liveweights, which could be explained by the reduced sample size. In this work, half-sib families with no sire genotypic information were excluded, resulting in 3% and 18% reductions in the samples used for birth and yearling weight analyses, respectively. An additional reduction of 3.5% resulted from the exclusion of uninformative individuals from the progenies. According to Lander and Kruglyak (1995), all significant results at p < 0.05 should be reported. Positioning of the QTL between markers TEXAN15 and BMS1248 could also be influenced by the greater information content of these markers. The inclusion of more informative markers would complement the interval analyzed and strengthen the location of the detected QTL.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Andrea S. de Barros for technical support in the laboratory. This work was supported by FAPESP and Embrapa. M.B.B. Machado was the recipient of a scholarship from CNPq.

References

- Barendse W, Armitage SM, Kossarek LM, Shalom A, Kirkpatrick BW, Ryan AM, Clayton D *et al.* (1994) A genetic linkage map of the bovine genome. Nat Genet 6:227-235.
- Barendse W, Vaiman D, Kemp SJ, Sugimoto Y, Armitage SM, Williams JL, Sun HS *et al.* (1997) A medim-density genetic linkage map of the bovine genome. Mamm Genome 8:29-36.
- Bishop MD, Kappes SM, Keele JW, Stone RT, Sunden SLF, Hawkins GA, Solinas Toldo, S. *et al.* (1994) A genetic linkage map for cattle. Genetics 136:619-639.
- Burns BM, Taylor JF, Herring KL, Herring GAD, Holder MT, Collins JS, Guerra TM *et al.* (1995) Bovine microsatellite dinucleotide repeat polymorphisms at the TEXAN11, TEXAN12, TEXAN13, TEXAN14 and TEXAN15 loci. Anim Genet 26:201-202.
- Casas E, Keele JW, Shackelford SD, Stone RT and Koohmaraie M (2002) Quantitative trait loci for birth weight, longissimus muscle area, and marbling on bovine chromosome 5. 28th International Conference on Animal Genetics, Guttingen, Germany. Abstracts, International Society for Animal Genetics, p 163.
- Casas E, Shackelford SD, Keele JW, Stone RT, Kappes SM and Koohmaraie M (2000) Quantitative trait loci affecting growth and carcass composition of cattle segregating alternate forms of myostatin. J Anim Sci 78:560-569.
- Casas-Carrillo EP, Prill-Adams, A, Price SG, Clutter AC and Kirkpatrick B (1997) Relationship of growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor-1 genotypes with growth and carcass traits in swine. Anim Genet 28:88-93.
- Cheverud JM (2002) Quantitative trait loci affecting postnatal growth in mice. Proceedings 7th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Montpellier, France. Papers on CD-ROM. Session 15 on Developmental Genetics N 15-01.
- Churchill GA and Doerge RW (1994) Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. Genetics 138:963-971.
- Comincini S, Leone P and Redaelli L (1995) Characterization of bovine microsatellites by silver staining. J Anim Breed Genet 112:415-420.
- Davis SK, Hetzel DJS, Corbet NJ, Scacheri S, Lowden S, Renaud J, Mayne C *et al.* (1998) The mapping of quantitative trait loci for birth weight in a tropical beef herd. Proceedings 6th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Armidale, Australia. Papers 26:441-444.
- Guo SW and Thompson EA (1992) Permorming the Exact Test of Hardy-Weinberg Proportion for Multiple Alleles. Biometrics 48:361-372.
- Horvat S and Medrano F (1995) Interval mapping of high growth (hg), a major locus that increases weight gain in mice. Genetics 139:1737-1748.
- Horvat S and Medrano F (2001) Lack of Socs2 expression causes the high-growth phenotype in mice. Genomics 72:209-212.

- Casas E, Shackelford SD, Keele JW, Stone RT, Kappes SM and Koohmaraie M (2000) Quantitative trait loci affecting growth and carcass composition of cattle segregating alternate forms of myostatin. J Anim Sci 78:560-569.
- Kalm E, Reinsch N, Xu N, Thomsen H, Looft C, Grupe S, Brockmann G, Kuehn C *et al.* (1998) Mapping quantitative trait loci on cattle chromosome 2, 5, 10, 16, 18 and 23. Anim Genet 29 (suppl.1):62.
- Kappes SM, Bennett JW, Echternkamp SE, Gregory KE and Thallman RM (2000) Initial results of genomic scans for ovulation rate in a cattle population selected for increased twinning rate. J Anim Sci 78:3053-3059.
- Kappes SM, Keele JW, Stone RT, McGraw RA, Sonstegard TS, Smith TP, Lopez-Corrales NL, Beattie CW (1997) A second-generation linkage map of the bovine genome. Genome Res 7:235-249.
- Kirkpatrick BW (1992) Identification of a conserved microsatellite site in the porcine and bovine insulin-like growth factor-I gene 5' flank. Anim Genet 23:543-548.
- Kirkpatrick, BW, Becky MB and Gregory KE (2000) Mapping quantitative trait loci for bovine ovulation rate. Mamm Genome 11:136-139.
- Knott SA, Elsen JM and Haley CS (1996) Methods for multiple-marker mapping of quantitative trait loci in half-sib populations. Theor Appl Genet 93:71-80.
- Lander ES and Kruglyak L (1995) Genetic dissection of complex traits: guidelines for interpreting and reporting linkage results. Nat Genet 11:241-247.
- Li C, Basarab J, Snelling WM, Benkel B, Murdoch B, Kneelan J, Hansen C and Moore SS (2002) Identical by descent haplotype sharing analysis: application in fine mapping of QTLs for birth weight in commercial lines of *Bos taurus*. Proceedings 7th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Montpellier, France. Papers1 CD-ROM. Session 2 on Breeding ruminants for meat production. N 02-12.
- Lien S, Karlsen A, Klemetsdal G, Vage DI, Olsaker I, Kungland H, Aasland M *et al.* (2000) A primary screen of the bovine genome for quantitative trait loci affecting twinning rate. Mamm Genome 11:877-882.
- Martinez ML (2001) Métodos estatísticos para a detecção de locos de características quantitativas. In: Regitano LCA and Coutinho LL (eds) Biologia Molecular Aplicada à Produção Animal. Embrapa Informação Tecnológica, Brasília, pp 79-102.
- Merzel M, Etchegaray MAL, Silva NA, Packer IU, Razook AG, Figueiredo, LA, Vencovsky R and Coutinho LL (1998) Changes in allelic frequency of eight microsatellites in a Nellore herd selected for weight gain. Anais do 44° Congresso Nacional de Genética. Sociedade Brasileira de Genética, Águas de Lindóia, SP, Brasil, 1998, p 91.
- Moody DE, Pomp D, Newman S and McNeil MD (1996) Characterization of DNA polymorphisms in three populations of Hereford cattle and their associations with growth and maternal EPD in line 1 Herefords. J Anim Sci 74:1784-1793.
- Pereira AP (2002) Avaliação da influência dos genótipos de GH e IGF-1 no desempenho de bovinos da raça Canchim. MSc Dissertation, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, São Carlos, SP.
- Raymond M and Rousset F (1995a) An exact test for population differentiation. Evolution 49:1280-1283.

- Raymond M and Rousset F (1995b) GENEPOP (version 1.2): a population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J Heredity 86:248-249, July 1995.
- Regitano LCA (1997) Polimorfismo molecular em gerações de bovinos da raça Canchim. PhD Thesis, Escola Superior de Agricultura "Luiz de Queiroz", Universidade de São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP.
- Regitano LCA (2001) Extração de DNA para aplicação em reação de cadeia da polimerase. In: Regitano LCA and Coutinho LL (eds) Biologia Molecular Aplicada à Produção Animal. Embrapa Informação Tecnológica, Brasília, pp 179-186.
- Seaton G, Haley CS, Knott SA, Kearsey M and Visscher PM (2001) QTL express: http://qtl.cap.ed.ac.uk.
- Stone RT, Keele JW, Shackelford SD, Kappes SM and Koohmaraie M (1999) A primary screen of the bovine genome for quantitative trait loci affecting carcass and growth traits. J Anim Sci 77:1379-1384.

- Tambasco DD (1998) Similaridade genética multilocos entre parentais de bovinos e correlação com peso ao nascimento da progênie. MSc Dissertation, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, São Carlos, SP.
- Tambasco-Studart MT (2001) Caracterização molecular de bovinos da raça Simmental com base em microssatélites e RFLPs. MSc Disertation, Universidade Federal de São Carlos. São Carlos, SP.
- Visscher PM, Thompson R and Haley CS (1996) Confidence intervals in QTL mapping by bootstrapping. Genetics 143:1013-1020.
- Weir BS (1996) Genetic Data Analysis: Methods for Discrete Population Genetic Data. 2nd ed. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA 445 pp.

Editor: Sérgio Furtado dos Reis