## Leaf, pod and whole plant tannin contents in pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp)

R. Godoy, P.M. Santos<sup>1</sup> and F.H.D. Souza

Embrapa Pecuária Sudeste - Caixa Postal 339 13560-970 São Carlos, SP Brazil, Email: godoy@cppse.embrapa.br

Keywords: forage digestibility, palatability, tannin evaluation, leguminous crop

**Introduction** Tannin content is an important characteristic of leguminous crops and it has been used as a selection criterion in pigeon-pea improvement programmes (Godoy *et al.*, 1994). In south-eastern Brazil, pigeon pea is often consumed by bovines in the dry season (from April though October), after flowering occurs, and is recommended in some cases, specifically for that time of the year (Lourenço *et al.*, 1994). Since tannin content is being used as a selection criterion and the animals in the dry season preferentially eat pods and leaves, an experiment was conducted to compare whole plant, leaf and pod tannin content.

Materials and methods Tannin contents of the whole plant, leaf and pod were determined according to the Folin-Denis method (Burns, 1963). The trials were planted in December 1998 at five locations in the State of São Paulo, Brazil, with seventeen pure pigeon pea lines and three cultivars. Cuts were taken in the months shown in Table 1. Plants were cut at 40 cm and the material was dried and ground. Data for two lines, which survived less than a year, were not considered. Principal components and Pearson correlation analysis were performed as described, respectively, in the SAS princomp and corr procedures (SAS, 1999-2001), using the three tannin contents as variables. Average whole plant and leaf data from each location and time of harvest were plotted.

**Results** The principal components analysis showed that the tannin contents of the whole plant and leaf were responsible for 91 per cent of the observed variance and the Pearson correlation coefficient between those variables was found to be highly significant, indicating that all those data follow similar patterns. Besides, as shown in Table 1, in all locations, leaf and whole plant tannin contents follow very similar patterns, indicating that, if tannin content is used as a selection criterion, there is no need to determine it in all plant parts.

Table 1 Content of tannins in leaf, pod and whole plants when grown at five locations and cut at different dates

Locations Cutting time		Itapui		Jaboticabal		Pirassununga		Pratânia		São Carlos	
		Mean	SE								
April 1999	Plant Leaf Pod	1.46	0.18	1.88	0.34	1.64	0.23	1.80	0.27	2.32	0.31
August 1999	Plant Leaf Pod	2.31 3.36 2.48	0.47 1.07 0.54	2.56 3.46 2.15	0.55 0.97 0.54	3.40 4.36 3.69	0.67 1.27 1.18	2.10 3.09 2.13	0.43 0.62 0.44	2.85 5.78 2.31	0.68 1.23 0.54
Jan. 2000	Plant Leaf Pod	1.81 2.83 1.19	0.39 0.94 0.38	2.49 3.01 1.41	0.38 0.45 0.11	2.32 2.71 0.94	0.33 0.41 0.21	2.20 2.62 1.69	0.31 0.41 0.50	1.90 2.45 1.46	0.31 0.32 0.45
May 2000	Plant Leaf Pod	3.15 4.19 4.71	0.43 1.15 1.62	3.29 3.91 2.80	1.16 1.46 1.96	2.80 3.64 4.77	0.41 0.96 1.67	2.10 3.18 5.44	0.35 0.59 1.82	4.93 7.13 4.63	1.31 1.71 1.34
Dec. 2000	Plant Leaf Pod					2.92 3.31 0.94	0.68 0.93 0.33			3.04 4.34 1.56	0.72 1.02 0.34
June 2001	Plant Leaf Pod					3.29 4.80 3.58	0.76 1.28 1.13			2.60 3.30 2.44	0.58 0.60 0.60

**Conclusions** If tannin contents are used as selection criteria there is no need to separate plant parts. Samples of the whole plant can be used, saving a considerable amount of labour and laboratory work.

## References

Burns, R.E. (1963). Methods of tannin analysis for forage crop evaluation. Technical Bulletin N.S., 32. Georgia Agriculture Experimental Station, 11pp.

Godoy, R, L.A.R. Batista & G.F. Negreiros (1994). Avaliação agronômica e seleção de guandu forrageiro (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp). *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 23, 730-742.

Lourenço, A.J. & E. Matsui (1994). Composição botânica da forragem disponível e da dieta selecionada por bovinos em pastos de capim-colonião consorciado com centrosema e ou galactia com acesso ao banco de proteína de guandu. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia, 23, 100-109.

SAS Institute, SAS/STAT 1999-2001. User's guide: statistics, version 8, v.2, SAS Cary, NC, USA.