Books

CSIRO PUBLISHING

Journals Multimedia

About Us Shopping Cart

You are here: Journals > Reproduction, Fertility and Development

Reproduction, Fertility and Development

Vertebrate Reproductive Science & Technology

Search

1	
This Journal	GO
Advanced Search	

- Journal Home
 About the Journal
 Editorial Board
 Contacts
- Content
 All Issues
 Papers In Press
 Special Issues
 Sample Issue
- For Authors
 General Information
 Instructions to Authors
 Submit Article
 Open Access
- For Referees
 General Information
 Review Article
- For Subscribers
 Subscription Prices
 Customer Service
 Print Publication Dates

Early Alert

Subscribe to our email Early Alert or Steeds for the latest journal papers.



53 EFFECTS OF DEMECOLCINE ON MICROTUBULE COMPOSITION AND CHEMICALLY ASSISTED ENUCLEATION OF BOVINE OOCYTES

N. Z. Saraiva, F. Perecin, S. C. Méo, C. R. Ferreira, T. A. D. Tetzner, C. S. Oliveira and J. M. Garcia

Abstract

The developmental competence of enucleated oocytes is a key factor that determines the overall success of animal cloning. Enucleation is an invasive procedure in traditional nuclear transfer (NT). The objective of this work was to evaluate the effects of demecolcine, a microtubule-depolymerizing agent, on metaphase II (MII) bovine oocytes and to verify the capacity of embryonic development after NT using chemically assisted enucleation. In the first experiment, oocvtes after 21 h of IVM were exposed for 2 h to several concentrations of demecolcine; 0 (control), 0.025, 0.05, 0.2, and 0.4 µg mL⁻¹, and evaluated in relation to membrane protrusion formation. After the best concentration of demecolcine was determined, the nuclear and microtubular dynamics of the treated oocytes were evaluated by immunofluorescence microscopy of tubulin and chromatin (Liu et al. 1998 Biol. Reprod. 5, 537-545) in a second experiment. The results were analyzed by Duncan and Tukey tests in Experiments I and II, respectively, and a level of 5% significance was used. In Experiment III, embryonic development following NT was determined using adult donor cells, derived from a skin biopsy. After 19 h of IVM, oocytes were exposed to demecolcine (0.05 µg mL⁻¹) for 2 h, and enucleation was performed in oocytes that presented membrane protrusions. Samples of cytoplasts were stained with Hoechst 33342 for 10 min (10 µg mL⁻¹) for evaluation of enucleation effectiveness. The remaining oocytes were reconstituted by NT, fused (two pulses of 2.0 kV cm⁻¹ for 20 µs each, in 0.28 M mannitol solution), chemically activated (5 mM ionomycin for 5 min and 2 mM 6-DMAP for 4 h), and cultured in SOF medium with 2.5% FCS and 0.5% BSA. The 0.05 µg mL⁻¹ concentration resulted in greater protrusion rates (55.1%; 211/388) in comparison to 0, 0.025, 0.2, and 0.4 µg mL⁻¹ concentrations (0, 42.6, 45.1, and 39.3%, respectively). In Experiment II, at the beginning of treatment, the majority of the oocytes were in MII (40.7%) or anaphase I/telophase I (AI/TI; 22.4%). Effects of demecolcine occurred within only 0.5 h of treatment, with a significant increase in oocytes with complete depletion of microtubules (21.8%; initial average: 1.9%) and a reduction in the proportion at MII (13.5%) and AI/TI (8.2%). New polymerization of microtubules was observed when treated oocytes were then cultured in drug-free medium for 6 h (42.4% oocytes with two evident sets of microtubules). In Experiment III, we verified the effectiveness of the chemically assisted enucleation technique (90.6%; 77/85). We evaluated 515 oocytes, of which 219 (42.5%) had protrusions and were enucleated After losses in the NT procedure and fusion, 58 reconstructed 1-cells were cultured resulting in cleavage and blastocyst rates of 84.5% (49/58) and 27.6% (16/58), respectively, with great variation in blastocyst production between the three replicates (12.5% to 47%). In conclusion, demecolcine can be used at lower concentrations than those used routinely, and the chemically assisted enucleation method has proven highly efficient and does not appear to inhibit embryonic development in the bovine.

This work was supported financially by FAPESP.

Reproduction, Fertility and Development 20 (1) 107 - 108

http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/RDv20n1Ab53

© CSIRO 2008

Top Print Email this page

Legal & Privacy | Contact Us | Help



© CSIRO 1996-2011



View

- Issue Contents
- Export Citation
- Fools ► Print
- Email this page