## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

**Open Access** 

# Biotechnological potential of rhizobial metabolites to enhance the performance of *Bradyrhizobium* spp. and *Azospirillum brasilense* inoculants with soybean and maize

Bettina Berquó Marks<sup>1,2</sup>, Manuel Megías<sup>3</sup>, Marco Antonio Nogueira<sup>1,2</sup> and Mariangela Hungria<sup>1,2\*</sup>

### Abstract

Agricultural sustainability may represent the greatest encumbrance to increasing food production. On the other hand, as a component of sustainability, replacement of chemical fertilizers by bio-fertilizers has the potential to lower costs for farmers, to increase yields, and to mitigate greenhouse-gas emissions and pollution of water and soil. Rhizobia and plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) have been broadly used in agriculture, and advances in our understanding of plant-bacteria interactions have been achieved; however, the use of signaling molecules to enhance crop performance is still modest. In this study, we evaluated the effects of concentrated metabolites (CM) from two strains of rhizobia—Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens USDA 110<sup>T</sup> (BD1) and Rhizobium tropici CIAT 899<sup>T</sup> (RT1)—at two concentrations of active compounds (10<sup>-8</sup> and 10<sup>-9</sup> M)—on the performances of two major plant-microbe interactions, of Bradyrhizobium spp.-soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.) and Azospirillum brasilensemaize (Zea mays L.). For soybean, one greenhouse and two field experiments were performed and effects of addition of CM from the homologous and heterologous strains, and of the flavonoid genistein were investigated. For maize, three field experiments were performed to examine the effects of CM from RT1. For soybean, compared to the treatment inoculated exclusively with Bradyrhizobium, benefits were achieved with the addition of CM-BD1; at 10<sup>-9</sup> M, grain yield was increased by an average of 4.8%. For maize, the best result was obtained with the addition of CM-RT1, also at  $10^{-9}$  M, increasing grain yield by an average of 11.4%. These benefits might be related to a combination of effects attributed to secondary compounds produced by the rhizobial strains, including exopolysaccharides (EPSs), plant hormones and lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCOs). The results emphasize the biotechnological potential of using secondary metabolites of rhizobia together with inoculants containing both rhizobia and PGPR to improve the growth and yield of grain crops.

Keywords: Azospirillum, Bradyrhizobium, Glycine max, LCO, Rhizobium, Zea mays

### Introduction

Sustainability probably represents the greatest challenge to increase food production. Year after year, the agricultural sector is forced to adopt new technologies to maintain high yields—without clearing new land for agriculture—and to minimize degradation of land that is occurring worldwide. Since the Green Revolution, the use of chemical fertilizers

\* Correspondence: mariangela.hungria@embrapa.br

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



has played a key role in increasing yields; however, costs are often a major limitation to farmers in developing and poor countries, whereas, for developed countries, pollution of water and soil by fertilizers and greenhouse-gas emissions are sources of concern.

Bio-fertilizers can help meet the demands of sustainable, productive agriculture at low cost. Rhizobial inoculants have been applied to legume crops for over 120 years as bio-fertilizers, and inoculants carrying plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) have been used in agriculture for over half a century (Okon and Labandera-Gonzalez 1994; Bashan and Bashan 2005;

© 2013 Marks et al.; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Embrapa Soja, C.P. 231, 86001-970, Londrina, Paraná, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Cx. Postal 60001, 86051-990, Londrina, Paraná, Brazil

Hungria et al. 2005; Ormeño-Orrillo et al. 2012a). Considered safe, inoculants have been the focus of hundreds of basic and applied studies.

For rhizobial inoculants, a molecular dialogue between the host plant and the bacterium results in root nodulation and nitrogen fixation, involving plant flavonoids and bacterial nodulation (Nod) factors, identified as lipochitooligosaccharides (LCOs) (Schultze and Kondorosi 1996; Hungria and Stacey 1997; Perret et al. 2000; Oldroyd and Downie 2008; Ferguson et al. 2010); however, the roles of other molecules, such as those related to type-III secretion systems and exopolysaccharides (EPSs) (Perret et al. 2000; Fauvart and Michiels 2008; Downie 2010) have also been emphasized.

A broad range of beneficial effects has been reported for PGPR, including biological nitrogen fixation (Ashraf et al. 2011), phosphate solubilization (Rodriguez et al. 2004), and production of hormones, such as auxins, cytokinins, gibberelins and ethylene (Tien et al. 1979; Bottini et al. 1989; Strzelczyk et al. 1994) and control of pathogens (Araújo et al. 2005; Hernandez-Rodriguez et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2009), among others. However, our understanding of the molecular interactions of host plants with PGPR is still modest.

Despite results showing benefits of specific molecules to the performance both of rhizobia, e.g. by a supply of the flavonoids to soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) and common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) (Hungria and Phillips 1993; Hungria and Stacey 1997), and of PGPR, e.g. by a supply of crude or formulated metabolites of *Bacillus subtilis* (Araújo and Hungria 1999), the use of molecules to enhance crop performance under field conditions is incipient, highlighting the imbalance between basic knowledge and exploitation of biotechnological products in agriculture.

One exception is commercially available inoculants for soybean crops carrying Nod factors (Supanjani et al. 2005; Smith et al. 2012); however, responses in the field have often been slight and/or erratic, or dependent on specific conditions (Leibovitch et al. 2002). It could be that the problem lies in applying single molecules and that improved results may accrue with crude or formulated metabolites carrying several molecules (e.g., Araújo and Hungria 1999).

In this study, we evaluated the use of concentrated rhizobial metabolites on the performances of the two major grain crops that are frequently inoculated in South America, the *Bradyrhizobium* spp.-soybean and *Azospirillum brasilense*maize (*Zea mays* L.) associations.

### Materials and methods

### **Bacterial strains**

For maize (Zea mays L.), liquid inoculants were prepared with Azospirillum brasilense strains Ab-V5 and Ab-V6, each at a concentration of  $2 \times 10^8$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>. These strains, identified in a previous selection program (Hungria et al. 2010), are broadly used in commercial inoculants in Brazil.

For soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.], liquid inoculants were prepared with *Bradyrhizobium* strains CPAC 15 (=SEMIA 5079) and CPAC 7 (=SEMIA 5080), the combination most used in commercial inoculants in Brazil (Hungria et al. 2006), each at a concentration of  $5 \times 10^9$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>. Recently, strains belonging to *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* have been split into two species, *B. japonicum* and *B. diazoefficiens*. CPAC 15 is still classified as *B. japonicum*, but CPAC 7 now belongs to *B. diazoefficiens*, and the type strain for this new species is USDA  $110^T$  (Delamuta et al. 2013).

For the production of concentrated metabolites (CM), a search was performed among more than fifty strains of bacteria in the culture collections of the Universidad de Sevilla and of Embrapa Soja. Several properties potentially beneficial for plant growth were investigated, including the production of plant hormones (indole acetic acid, cytokinin, gibberelin), production of EPSs, and capacity to enhance soybean nodulation under controlled conditions. Two strains were identified: *Rhizobium tropici* CIAT 899<sup>T</sup> and *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110<sup>T</sup>, here named RT1 and BD1, respectively.

### Concentrated metabolites (CM)

CM were produced from RT1 and BD1, grown under conditions that enhance production of molecules beneficial to plant growth, as described before (Dardanelli et al. 2012). Metabolites were lyophilized, and effects of protectors, such as carbon methyl cellulose (CMC), bovine serum albumin (BSA) and milk powder were investigated. The process of producing the concentrated metabolites maintaining more than 90% of the original properties is now under registration. Shelf-life of the lyophilized CM was confirmed for 24 months, when the activity corresponded to 90% of that of the fresh metabolites.

### Seed inoculation

Prior to sowing, CM were re-suspended in a mixture of acetonitrile and water at concentrations of 0.1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> and 1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of inoculant, corresponding to approximately  $10^{-9}$  and  $10^{-8}$  M, respectively. Inoculants for soybean (with *B. japonicum* and *B. diazoefficiens*) and for maize (with *A. brasilense*) were tested with and without CM. For soybean, homologous and heterologous CM were used, i.e., BD1 and RT1, respectively, while for maize only RT1 was evaluated.

Inoculants were applied to supply approximately  $1.2 \times 10^5$  cells of *A. brasilense* per seed of maize, and of

 $1.2 \times 10^6$  cells of *Bradyrhizobium* spp. per seed of soybean, as recommended for both crops in Brazil.

For the greenhouse experiments, soybean seeds were surface-sterilized (Vincent 1970), and then inoculated by mixing the liquid inoculants with the seeds. For the field experiments, soybean and maize seeds were not surface sterilized and the inoculants were mixed with the seeds immediately before sowing. CM were added to the inoculants before seed inoculation.

### Greenhouse experiment

Differences in soybean nodulation may be difficult to detect in soils with indigenous or naturalized populations of compatible bradyrhizobia, as is the case for most soils in Brazil cropped with this legume. Therefore, for the soybean, one experiment was also performed under greenhouse conditions, using modified Leonard jars (Vincent 1970) containing sterilized substrate, consisting of a mixture of sand and pulverized coal (1:1, v/v) with application of N-free nutrient solution (Andrade and Hamakawa 1994).

The experiment consisted of seven treatments, including a non-inoculated control and all the others inoculated with *B. japonicum* and *B. diazoefficiens* strains CPAC 15 and CPAC 7, respectively, supplied or not with genistein (5  $\mu$ M), or with CM-BD1 or CM-RT1, at 0.1 or 1.0 mL L<sup>-1</sup>. The jars were arranged in a completely randomized block design with six replicates. Four seeds of soybean cultivar BRS 245 were sown per jar and thinned to two plants five days after emergence. Mean temperatures during the experiments were of 28/23°C (day/night), and the N-free nutrient solution was applied as needed.

Plants were harvested at 45 days after emergence. Shoots were detached at the cotyledonary nodes, roots were washed, and nodules were removed and counted. Weight of shoots, roots and nodules were determined after drying to constant weight at 65°C (approximately 72 h). Shoots were ground (20 mesh) and total N was determined by Kjeldahl's digestion method followed by the indophenol-blue colorimetric assay (Feije and Anger 1972).

### **Field experiments**

### Site descriptions

Two field experiments were conducted with soybean and three with maize in the summer cropping season of 2011/ 2012. The soybean experiments were performed in Bonito, State of Mato Grosso do Sul (central-west region), and Ponta Grossa, State of Paraná (southern region). Maize experiments were performed in Bonito, Ponta Grossa, and Três Lagoas, State of Mato Grosso do Sul.

In Bonito (21°07' S and 56°28' W) the area is at an altitude of 290 m and the soil is classified as Latossolo Vermelho Distrófico (Brazilian classification) (Typic

Haplustox, Soil Taxonomy, USDA). The climate is classified as Aw (Köppen's classification) (tropical with dry winter). In Ponta Grossa (25°13' S and 50°1' W), at 880 m altitude, the climate is classified as *Cfb* (temperate with mild summer). The trials were performed on a Latossolo Vermelho Distrófico (Brazilian classification) (Typic Hapludox, Soil Taxonomy, USDA). In Três Lagoas, altitude 310 m, the climate is Aw and the soil is also a Latossolo Vermelho Distrófico (Typic Haplustox).

At each site, two months before the experiments were planted, twenty soil samples (0–20 cm depth) were taken to evaluate chemical properties (Pavan et al. 1992), as described before (Hungria et al. 2006). For chemical analyses, the samples were previously dried (60°C for 48 h) and sieved (2 mm). Soil texture was determined after Embrapa (1997).

Soil chemical properties and granulometric fractions at each site are shown in Table 1. About fifty days before starting the experiment, lime was applied to alleviate acidity, based on soil pH values. The amount of applied lime was estimated for a base saturation of 70% to increase the pH to approximately 5.5.

In the experiments with soybean, the soil soybeanbradyrhizobial population was estimated using the most probable number (MPN) technique (Vincent 1970) and the statistical tables of Andrade and Hamakawa (1994) with soybean plants of cultivar BMX Potência RR<sup>®</sup> (BRASMAX) as trap host (Table 2).

### Treatments and experimental design

For soybean, seven treatments were evaluated, consisting of a non-inoculated control and six treatments inoculated with *B. diazoefficiens* strain CPAC 7 + *B. japonicum* strain CPAC 15, supplied or not with genistein (5  $\mu$ M), and CM of the homologous (CM-BD1) or heterologous (CM-RJ1) species, at the 0.1 and 1.0 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of inoculant (10<sup>-9</sup> and 10<sup>-8</sup> M, respectively). The cultivar used was BMX Potência RR<sup>®</sup>.

The experiment with maize consisted of six treatments. Three treatments were non-inoculated and receiving 0, 75 and 100% of the dose of N-fertilizer at the V4 stage, as specified in the field management item. The other three treatments received 75% of the dose of N-fertilizer, were inoculated with *A. brasilense* strains Ab-V5 and Ab-V6 and received or not CM of *R. tropici* (CM-RT1) at 0.1 and 1.0 mL L<sup>-1</sup> (10<sup>-9</sup> and 10<sup>-8</sup> M, respectively). The hybrid maize used was DKB 350 YG (DEKALB).

The experimental design was a completely randomized block with six replicates. For soybean, each plot had eight rows, spaced by 0.5 m, with 4 m (width) × 6 m (length) (24 m<sup>2</sup>). For maize, the plots had six rows, spaced 0.8 m, with 4.8 m (width) × 6 m (length) (28.8 m<sup>2</sup>). Plots were separated by at least 1.0 m and,

Site	Chemical									Granulometry				
	pH <sup>1</sup>	Р	H + Al	AI	К	Ca + Mg	SB <sup>2</sup>	CEC <sup>2</sup>	<b>BS</b> <sup>2</sup>	с	Clay	Silt	Sand	
	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	mgdm <sup>-3</sup>		cmol <sub>c</sub> dm <sup>-3</sup> %						g dm <sup>-3</sup>		-— g kg <sup>-1</sup> —-		
Bonito	4.22	1.94	8.42	1.02	0.45	3.98	4.43	12.85	34	7.7	162	266	572	
Ponta Grossa	5.68	2.55	3.63	0.00	0.11	4.55	4.66	8.29	56	21.7	238	30	732	
Três Lagoas	5.23	7.04	2.95	0.00	0.08	1.93	2.01	4.95	40	25.7	86	44	870	

Table 1 Chemical and granulometric properties (0-20 cm) of the soils where the field experiments were performed

<sup>1</sup>Before addition of lime.

<sup>2</sup>SB, sum of bases; CEC, cation exchange capacity; BS, bases saturation = [(K + Ca + Mg)/Tcec] × 100, where Tcec = K + Ca + Mg + total acidity at pH 7.0 (H + Al).

where necessary, small terraces of approximately 1.5 m width were built to prevent contamination by superficial run-off containing bacteria or fertilizer, caused by heavy rains that often occur in the summer season.

### **Field management**

Densities were of about 300,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> for soybean and of 60,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> for maize. For both crops, 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N-P-K (0-28-20) were applied in-furrow immediately before sowing. For soybean, no N-fertilizer was applied, and at V4 stage [four nodes on the main stem with fully developed leaves, beginning with the unifoliolated node (Fehr and Caviness 1977), approximately 30 days after emergence] plants received 20 g ha<sup>-1</sup> of Mo (as Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O) and 2 g ha<sup>-1</sup> of Co (as CoCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O) as foliar spray. For maize, 24 kg of N ha<sup>-1</sup> (urea) were applied to all treatments at sowing, infurrow, and, 30 days after emergence, plants received 0, 75 or 100% of the recommended dose for the crop, of 90 kg of N ha<sup>-1</sup> (urea), broadcast.

For both crops, herbicides were used equally in all treatments, while insects were controlled with biological and chemical insecticides. Sowing and harvesting days and harvested area to evaluate grain yield in each experiment are shown in Table 2.

### Plant sampling, harvesting and analyses

For the soybean, at the V4 stage (Fehr and Caviness 1977) six plants were randomly collected per plot (avoiding central rows, to be used for determination of grain yield) for evaluation of nodulation [nodule number (NN) and nodule dry weight (NDW) per plant]. At R2 stage (full bloom), another six plants were collected for

Table 2 Agronomic information about the field trails

evaluation of shoot dry weight (SDW) and total N in shoot (TNS). Dry weight was determined as described for the greenhouse experiment. The early evaluation of nodulation at V4 indicates effects of inoculation, since nodules formed later result from infection also by the indigenous rhizobial population. Shoots were ground (20 mesh) and TNS determined after Kjeldahl's digestion method, as described for the greenhouse experiment.

Maize plants were harvested at V4 stage (Iowa State University 1993) (fourth leaf fully expanded, approximately 35 days after emergence) for evaluation of SDW and TNS.

Grain yields of soybean and maize were determined at physiological maturity by harvesting a central area of each plot (Table 2). Grains were cleaned and weighed, with moisture content corrected to 13%.

### Statistical analyses

Data from each experiment were first submitted to tests of normality and homogeneity of variances for each variable and then to analysis of variance (ANOVA). When confirming a statistically significant value in the F test ( $p \le 0.05$ ), a *post hoc* test (Duncan's multiple-range test at  $p \le 0.05$ ) was used as a multiple comparison procedure (SAS 1999).

### Results

Effects of inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* spp. and of the supply of genistein or CM of rhizobia on soybean nodulation, growth and grain yield

Under greenhouse-controlled conditions and with a sterile substrate, soybean nodulation was significantly improved when, in addition to the inoculation with *B. diazoefficiens* + *B. japonicum*, seeds were supplied with

Site	Crop	Soybean bradyrhizobia population (CFU g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	Cultivar/Hybrid	Sowing	Harvest	Harvested area
Bonito	Soybean	<10	BMX Potência RR	10/27/2011	03/20/2010	7.5 m <sup>2</sup>
	Maize	not evaluated	DKB 350 YG	10/28/2011	03/20/2012	8.4 m <sup>2</sup>
Ponta Grossa	Soybean	$9.32 \times 10^{2}$	BMX Potência RR	11/23/2011	04/03/2012	7.5 m <sup>2</sup>
	Maize	not evaluated	DKB 350 YG	11/24/2011	05/30/2012	8.4 m <sup>2</sup>
Três Lagoas	Maize	not evaluated	DKB 350 YG	11/03/2011	02/29/2012	8.4 m <sup>2</sup>

genistein (5  $\mu$ M), CM of the homologous strain (CM-BD1) (Table 3). The best performance was achieved with the addition of 1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> CM-BD1, statistically increasing nodule number (NN) and dry weight (NDW) by 21% and 12%, respectively, in comparison to sole inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium*. CM-BD1 (1 mL L<sup>-1</sup>) also resulted in higher values, although without statistical difference, of shoot and root dry weight (SDW, RDW), N content and total N in shoots (NC, TNS). In contrast, the CM of the heterologous strains (CM-RT1) did not improve nodulation (Table 3).

In the field trial carried out in Bonito, high NDW at the V4 stage and the highest grain yield were achieved with the addition of 0.1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> CM-BD1 (Table 4). Grain yield increase in this treatment was significantly higher in comparison to both the non-inoculated control nodulated by naturalized bradyrhizobial strains (205 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> or 7.6%), and the treatment inoculated only with *Bradyrhizobium* (203 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> or 7.5%). At 1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of CM-BD1, the increase in grain yield in comparison to the treatment with sole inoculation with *B. japonicum* was of 47 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (1.7%), statistically non-significant. Interestingly, the highest SDW at R2 stage was achieved with CB-RT1, also at the lower dose, but a strong inhibitory effect was observed with the higher dose of 1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> (Table 4).

In Ponta Grossa, highest NDW at V4 was obtained with both doses of CM-BD1, but with no statistical difference in comparison to the naturalized population and to the control inoculated solely with *Bradyrhizobium* (Table 4). Additionally, although without statistical difference, an increase of 169 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (5.2%) was observed in grain yield in the treatment receiving 1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of CM-BD1, and of 84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (2.6%) at the lower dose of 0.1 mL L<sup>-1</sup>. In this experiment, the addition of genistein also improved yield of inoculated plants by 146 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (4.5%), but the effect was not statistically significant. A decrease in SDW at R2 stage was observed with the supply of heterologous CM-RT1, but no differences in total N accumulation in shoots was observed (Table 4).

When the experiments were analyzed together, considering the treatment inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium* as the control, the addition of the lower dose of active compounds (0.1 mL L<sup>-1</sup>) resulted in increases of 29.0% in NN ( $p \le 0.05$ , Duncan's test) and of 143.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (4.8%) in grain yield ( $p \le 0.09$ , Duncan's test).

Effects of inoculation with *A. brasilense* and of CM of rhizobia on maize growth and grain yield

Under field conditions, in Bonito, at V4 stage, no differences were observed in SDW, but the highest N content (TNS) (although not differing statistically) was achieved with the CM-RT1 at the lower concentration (0.1 mL L<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 5). At physiological maturity, the comparison of the treatments receiving 75% of N revealed that plants inoculated with *A. brasilense* and supplied with 0.1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of CM-RT1 resulted in a significant increase in grain yield (1,045 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, or 19%) and, although not statistically significant, of 936 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (17%) in relation to the inoculated treatment without CM-RT1 (Table 5); for this last comparison, differences were significant at  $p \le 0.07$  (Duncan's test).

In Ponta Grossa, the best performance was achieved again in the treatment inoculated with *A. brasilense* supplied with CM-RT1 (0.1 mL L<sup>-1</sup>), resulting in higher TNS at V4, statistically similar to the non-inoculated control receiving 100% of N-fertilizer (Table 5). In the comparison of the treatments receiving 75% of N-fertilizer, the highest grain yield was also observed in the treatment inoculated with *A. brasilense* supplied with 0.1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of CM-RT1 (Table 5).

A severe drought in Três Lagoas inhibited plant growth and reduced grain yield. Under these conditions,

Treatment	NN	NDW	SDW	RDW	NC	TNS	
	(# pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(g pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(g pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg N g <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg N pl <sup>−1</sup> )	
Non-inoculated control	zero <sup>2</sup>	zero	0.81 b	0.51 b	6.57 b	5.19 b	
Inoculated with Bradyrhizobium	38.0 b	162 a	1.31 a	0.77 a	19.38 a	25.5a	
Inoculated + genistein	45.2 a	169 a	1.29 a	0.72 a	21.28 a	28.3 a	
Inoculated + CM-BD1 (1 mL $L^{-1}$ ) <sup>1</sup>	45.9 a	180 a	1.43 a	0.84 a	21.57 a	29.3 a	
Inoculated + CM-BD1 (0.1 mL $L^{-1}$ )	42.5 a	140 a	1.22 b	0.63 ab	19.07 a	23.7 a	
Inoculated + CM-RT1 (1 mL $L^{-1}$ )	33.2 b	138 a	1.23 b	0.66 ab	20.65 a	25.3 a	
Inoculated + CM-RT1 (0.1 mL $L^{-1}$ )	32.5 b	128 a	1.14 a	0.61 ab	19.81 a	22.9 a	

Table 3 Nodulation [nodule number (NN) and dry weight (NDW)], plant growth [shoot and root dry weight (SDW, RDW)], N concentration (NC) and total N accumulated in shoots (TNS) of soybean cultivar BRS 245 inoculated or not with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* CPAC 15 + *B. diazoefficiens* CPAC 7 and supplemented or not with genistein (5 μM) or concentrated metabolites (CM) of *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 (BD1) or *Rhizobium tropici* CIAT 899 (RT1)

<sup>1</sup> 0.1 and 1.0 mL L<sup>-1</sup> correspond to approximately 10<sup>-9</sup> and 10<sup>-8</sup> M of active compounds, respectively.

<sup>2</sup> Data represent the means of six replicates and when followed by different letters within the same column are significantly different ( $p \le 0.05$ , Duncan's test). Experiment performed in Leonard jars, with sterile substrate and receiving N-free nutrient solution, under greenhouse conditions. Plants were harvested at 45 days after emergence.

Table 4 Nodulation [nodule number (NN) and dry weight (NDW)], shoot dry weight (SDW), N concentration (NC) and total N accumulated in shoots (TNS) and grain yield of soybean cultivar BMX Potência RR inoculated or not with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* CPAC 15 + *B. diazoefficiens* CPAC 7 and supplemented or not with genistein (5 µM) or concentrated metabolites (CM) of *B. diazoefficiens* USDA 110 (BD1) or *Rhizobium tropici* CIAT 899 (RT1). Experiments performed in two field sites in Brazil

Treatments	Bonito							Ponta Grossa					
	V4		R2		Maturity	V4		R2			Maturity		
	NN	NDW	SDW	NC	TNS	Yield	NN	NDW	SDW	NC	TNS	Yield	
	(# pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(g pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg N g <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg N pl⁻¹)	(kg ha <sup>−1</sup> )	(# pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(g pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg N g <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg N pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>−1</sup> ) ̀	
Non-inoculated control	10.4 ab <sup>2</sup>	43.2 b	18.4 ab	20.2 a	371 b	2701 b	45.7a	163 ab	10.4 ab	36.5 a	379 a	3166 a	
Inoculated with Bradyrhizobium	15.1 ab	68.4 a	13.3 ab	20.4 a	271 с	2703 b	42.0 a	149 b	10.4 ab	35.1 ab	365 a	3191 a	
Inoculated + genistein	10.7 ab	43.6 b	14.4 ab	20.2 a	290 с	2737 ab	48.7a	171 ab	12.3 a	32.0 b	393 a	3337 a	
Inoculated + CM-BD1 (1 mL $L^{-1}$ ) <sup>1</sup>	18.2 a	65.4 a	17.4 ab	17.6 a	306 c	2750 ab	52.5 a	206 a	12.1 a	34.6 ab	418 a	3359 a	
Inoculated + CM-BD1 (0.1 mL $L^{-1}$ )	16.0 a	68.1 a	19.8 ab	17.6 a	348 b	2906 a	57.8 a	212 a	11.3 ab	34.6 ab	390 a	3275 a	
Inoculated + CM-RT1 (1 mL $L^{-1}$ )	7.3 b	25.1 c	10.4 b	16.8 a	174 d	2641 b	45.3 a	170 ab	9.2 ab	33.4 ab	307 a	3202 a	
Inoculated + CM-RT1 (0.1 mL $L^{-1}$ )	13.4 ab	69.9 a	23.4 a	18.1 a	423 a	2817 ab	46.8 a	162 ab	8.9 b	35.3 ab	314 a	3253 a	

<sup>1</sup> 0.1 and 1.0 mL L<sup>-1</sup> correspond to approximately 10<sup>-9</sup> and 10<sup>-8</sup> M of active compounds, respectively.

<sup>2</sup> Data represent the means of six replicates and when followed by different letters within the same column are significantly different ( $p \le 0.05$ , Duncan's test).

Table 5 Shoot dry weight (SDW) and total N accumulated in shoots (TNS) at V4 and grain yield at the maturity of maize hybrid DKB 350 YG inoculated or not *Azospirillum brasilense* strains Ab-V5 + Ab-V6 and supplemented or not with concentrated metabolites of *Rhizobium tropici* CIAT 899 (CM-RT1)

Treatment/Site	Bonito			Ponta G	rossa		Três Lagoas			
	V4			V4			V4			
	SDW	TNS	Yield	SDW	TNS	Yield	SDW	TNS	Yield	
	(g pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>−1</sup> )	(g pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>−1</sup> )	(g pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(mg pl <sup>−1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>−1</sup> )	
0%N	13.1 a	402 a	5452 b	17.2 c	255 c	5708 c	7.1 с	161 b	2444 b	
100%N	16.1 a	510 a	6184 ab	26.4 ab	558 ab	8483 a	9.7 a	252 a	2965 ab	
75% N	12.4 a	407 a	5516 b	24.2 ab	511 b	7964 ab	9.4 ab	243 a	3010 ab	
75% N + Inoculated with A. brasilense	14.0 a	412 a	5625 ab	22.1 bc	445 b	7208 b	7.9 bc	201 b	3319 a	
75% N + Inoculated with A. brasilense + CM-RT1 (1 mL $L^{-1}$ )	11.5a	399 a	6091 ab	25.5 ab	542 ab	7707 ab	7.0 c	172 b	2972 ab	
75% N + Inoculated with A. brasilense + CM-RT1 (0.1 mL $L^{-1}$ )	12.9 a	458 a	6561 a	29.5 a	669 a	8113 a	7.9 bc	199 b	3322 a	

<sup>1</sup> 0.1 and 1.0 mL L<sup>-1</sup> correspond to approximately 10<sup>-9</sup> and 10<sup>-8</sup> M of active compounds, respectively.

<sup>2</sup> Data represent the means of six replicates and when followed by different letters within the same column are significantly different ( $p \le 0.05$ , Duncan's test). All plants received 24 kg of N ha<sup>-1</sup> at sowing and 0, 75 or 100% of N (90 kg of N ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 30 days after emergence, broadcasted. Experiment performed in three field sites in Brazil.

plant biomass at V4 was improved by addition of the full dose of N-fertilizer (Table 5). However, at the final harvest, higher grain yields were observed in the plants inoculated with *A. brasilense*, with and without CM. Although not differing statistically from the non-inoculated control with 75% of N-fertilizer, these two treatments increased grain yield by an average of 300 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5).

Considering the overall analysis of the three field experiments, statistically significant increases were obtained with the supply of 0.1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> CM-RT1, of 614 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (11.4%), when compared to the treatment inoculated solely with *A. brasilense* and receiving 75% of N-fertilizer ( $p \le 0.05$ , Duncan's test).

### Discussion

Modern agriculture has increasingly focused on the use of microbial products as alternatives to chemical fertilizers. Benefits from this replacement include substantially lower costs for farmers, less pollution and land degradation, and reduced concerns regarding adverse side effects on human health (Crews and Peoples 2004; Peoples et al. 2009; Saharan and Nehra 2011; Bakker et al. 2012). It is noteworthy that extensive use of rhizobial inoculants in Brazil, mainly with soybean, provides N with a value equivalent to US\$ 7 billion in fertilizers (Hungria et al. 2006; Hungria et al. 2007). Several countries-including Brazil-benefit from the use of inoculants carrying PGPR, which may benefit crops by promoting uptake of nutrients and by increasing resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses, among other effects (Okon and Labandera-Gonzalez 1994; Bacon and Hinton 2002; Bashan et al. 2004; Bashan and Bashan 2005; Hungria et al. 2010; Saharan and Nehra 2011). Currently, in Brazil, about 25 million doses of rhizobial inoculant for soybean and 2 million doses of inoculant containing *A. brasilense* for maize and wheat crops are produced annually. However, despite improved understanding, particularly over the past two decades, of molecular signaling in the rhizobia-legume interaction (e.g. Geurst and Bisseling 2002; Brencic and Winans 2005; Ferguson et al. 2010), as well as of other signals involved in host-microbe interactions (Brencic and Winans 2005), transfer of this knowledge to effective commercial products is still incipient.

In our study, we investigated the effects of supplying inoculants carrying Bradyrhizobium spp. and A. brasilense strains with concentrated metabolites (CM) of selected rhizobial strains. For soybean, under greenhouse and sterile-substrate conditions we found that addition of the homologous concentrated metabolites (CM-BD1) at the higher dose (1 mL L<sup>-1</sup> of inoculant) increased nodule number in comparison to the treatment inoculated solely with Bradyrhizobium. Considering the field experiments individually, both doses of CM-BD1 (0.1 and 1.0 mL  $L^{-1}$ ) corresponding to  $10^{-9}$  and  $10^{-8}$  M of active compounds, respectively) resulted in improvements in plant growth. Considering both field experiments, the addition of the lower dose resulted in increases of 23.6% in NN, and of 4.8% in grain yield. Interesting, positive effects were observed only with the metabolites from the homologous species, and the higher dose of the heterologous RT1 negatively affected nodulation and plant growth.

Secondary metabolites may provide an evolutionary advantage for survival of microbes in soil (Demain 1998), and they may also help in the establishment of symbiotic partnerships (Brencic and Winans 2005). Chemical analyses of the secondary metabolites contained in CM-BD1 and CM-RT1 indicated that the benefits may result mainly from LCOs, but also from EPSs and plant hormones.

EPSs play important roles at several stages of the development of the root-nodule symbiosis (Fraysse et al. 2003; Kirichenko et al. 2004; Becker et al. 2005; Downie 2010). Therefore, the addition of extra EPSs to inoculants may increase root infection. Furthermore, EPSs protect bacteria against stressful conditions, such as desiccation and osmotic and pH extremes, substantially increasing cell survival (Castellane and Lemos 2007); this feature may be critical for maintenance of bacterial viability in inoculants while on the shelf and after application to seeds or to the soil. Many plant-associated bacteria, including rhizobia, synthesize plant growth hormones, such as auxins (Tien et al. 1979; Ashraf et al. 2011), gibberelins (Bottini et al. 1989), cytokinins (Tien et al. 1979; Strzelczyk et al. 1994) and ethylene (Strzelczyk et al. 1994). Recently, genomic sequences of R. tropici strain CIAT 899<sup>T</sup> and Rhizobium sp. PRF 81 highlighted a variety of metabolic pathways related to plant-hormone synthesis (Ormeño-Orrillo et al. 2012b). Therefore, EPSs and plant hormones may have contributed to the observed increases in soybean performance and vield.

Lipo-chitooligosaccharides affect a number of physiological processes in the legume host plant, including root-hair curling and stimulation of division of cortical cells (Schultze and Kondorosi 1996; Hungria and Stacey 1997; Perret et al. 2000; Oldroyd and Downie 2008; Ferguson et al. 2010). It has also been demonstrated that LCOs effects resemble those of cytokinins, and of the inhibitors of the transport of auxins (Relic et al. 1993); interestingly, they also activate enzymes related to plant defense (Inui et al. 1997). Finally, other benefits attributed to LCOs require further exploration, e.g., increases in seed germination (Miransari and Smith 2009). We attribute the effects observed in our study to LCOs; they are consistent with the activity of these compounds at concentrations as low as 10<sup>-12</sup> M (Hungria and Stacey 1997). Activity at such low concentrations may be responsible for the differences observed between greenhouse and field experiments. In addition, it is known that the LCOs of *B. japonicum* are very specific, with a methyl-fucose moiety under the control of nodZ (López-Lara et al. 1996), which may explain the responses exclusively to homologous metabolites. Finally, in our study the addition of a plant molecular signal (genistein) was not as successful as the addition of LCOs, although the concentration applied (5  $\mu$ M) was much lower than doses previously reported for soybean and common bean (40 µM) (Hungria and Stacey 1997). The effects of adding CM to the maize crop surpassed those observed with soybean. Considering three field experiments, increases in grain yield by the addition of CM-RT1 at the lower dose  $(10^{-9} \text{ M})$  were of 11.4%. The increases may be attributable to EPSs and plant hormones, and in the latter case, it is known that the effects are strongly dependent on concentration, and can be inhibitory at higher concentrations (Arshad and Frankenberger 1991), which may explain the better performance at the lower concentration of CM. In addition, benefits can also be attributed to the LCOs, which may activate cell division in non-leguminous plants, such as tobacco (*Nicotiana* sp.) (Baier et al. 1999), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) (Staehelin et al. 1994), carrot (*Dacus carota*) (De Jong et al. 1993), and also maize (Khana et al. 2008). Often, the effects mimic those of plant hormones, such as cytokinins and auxins (Dyachok et al. 2000). Interestingly, under greenhouse conditions Souleimanov et al. (2002) reported increases in soybean and maize biomass seven days after the addition of LCO (at  $10^{-7}$  M) of *B. japonicum*.

In conclusion, the results from our study indicate biotechnological potential in the use of secondary metabolites of rhizobia—together with inoculants containing both rhizobia and PGPR—to improve growth and grain yields of crops of soybean and maize. Such improvements, which favor agricultural sustainability by bringing economic and environmental benefits, merit further investigation. It is noteworthy that commercial products containing the CM of our study are now registered in Brazil.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Acknowledgments

The study was partially supported by CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Brazil), Projects Microrganismos Facilitadores do Crescimento (557746/2009-4), Repensa (562008/2010-1) and Ciência sem Fronteira (400205/2012-2). Authors acknowledge Dr. Allan R. J. Eaglesham for suggestions on the manuscript. B.B. Marks acknowledges an MSc fellowship from CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) and M.A. Nogueira and M. Hungria are also research fellows from CNPq (308443/2009-8 and 300547/2010-2, respectively). Manuscript analyzed and approved for publication by the Editorial Board of Embrapa Soja as manuscript 003/2013.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Embrapa Soja, C.P. 231, 86001-970, Londrina, Paraná, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Cx. Postal 60001, 86051-990, Londrina, Paraná, Brazil. <sup>3</sup>Universidad de Sevilla, Departamento de Microbiología y Parasitología, Apdo Postal 874, 41080, Sevilla, Spain.

### Received: 14 January 2013 Accepted: 6 April 2013 Published: 17 April 2013

### References

- Andrade DS, Hamakawa PJ (1994) Estimativa do número de células de rizóbio no solo e inoculantes por infecção em planta. In: Araujo RS (ed) Hungria M. Manual de métodos empregados em estudos de microbiologia, Embrapa-SPI, Brasília, Brazil, pp 63–94
- Araújo FF, Hungria M (1999) Nodulação e rendimento de soja co-inoculada com Bacillus subtilis e Bradyrhizobium japonicum/B. elkanii. Pesq Agropec Bras 34(9):1633–1643
- Araújo FF, Henning AA, Hungria M (2005) Phytohormones and antibiotics produced by *Bacillus subtilis* and their effects on seed pathogenic fungi and on soybean root development. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 21(8–9):1637–1642

- Arshad M, Frankenberger WT (1991) Microbial production of plant hormones. Plant Soil 133:1–8
- Ashraf MA, Rasool M, Mirza MS (2011) Nitrogen fixation and indole acetic acid production potential of bacteria isolated from rhizosphere of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.). Adv Biol Res 5(6):348–355
- Bacon CW, Hinton DM (2002) Endophytic and biological control potential of *Bacillus mojavensis* and related species. Biol Control 23(3):274–284
- Baier R, Schiene K, Kohring B, Flaschel E, Niehaus K (1999) Alfafa and tobacco cells react differentially to chitin oligo-saccharides and *Sinorhizobium meliloti* nodulation factors. Planta 210:157–164
- Bakker MG, Manter DK, Sheflin AM, Weir TL, Vivanco JM (2012) Harnessing the rhizosphere microbiome through plant breeding and agricultural management. Plant Soil 360:1–13
- Bashan Y, Holguin G, De-Bashan LE (2004) *Azospirillum*-plant relations physiological, molecular, agricultural, and environmental advances (1997–2003). Can J Microbiol 50:521–577
- Bashan Y, Bashan LE (2005) Plant growth promoting. In: Hillel D (ed), 1st edn Encyclopedia of soils in the environment, Elsevier, Oxford, U.K, pp 103–115
- Becker A, Fraysse N, Sharypova L (2005) Recent advances in studies on structure and symbiosis-related function of rhizobial K-antigens and lipopolysaccharides. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 9:899–905
- Bottini R, Fulchieri M, Pearce D, Pharis R (1989) Identification of gibberelins A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>3</sub>, and iso-A<sub>3</sub> in cultures of *A. lipoferum*. Plant Physiol 90:45–47
- Brencic A, Winans SC (2005) Detection of and response to signals involved in host-microbe interactions by plant-associated bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 69(1):155–194
- Castellane TCL, Lemos EGM (2007) Composição de exopolissacarídeos produzidos por estirpes de rizóbios cultivados em diferentes fontes de carbono. Pesq Agropec Bras 42(10):1503–1506
- Crews TE, Peoples MB (2004) Legume versus fertilizer sources of nitrogen: ecological tradeoffs and human needs. Agric Ecosyst Environ 102:279–297
- Dardanelli MS, Córdoba FJF, Estévez J, Contreras R, Cubo MT, Rodríguez-Carvajal MA, Gil-Serrano AM, López-Baena FJ, Bellogín R, Manyani H, Ollero FJ, Megías M (2012) Changes in flavonoids secreted by *Phaseolus vulgaris* roots in the presence of salt and the plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium *Chryseobacterium balustinum*. Appl Soil Ecol 57:31–35
- De Jong AJ, Heidstra R, Spaink HP, Hartog MV, Meijer EA, Hendriks T, Schiavo FL, Terzi M, Bisseling T, van Kammen A, de Vries SC (1993) A plant somatic embryo mutant is rescued by rhizobial lipo-oligosaccharides. Plant Cell 5:615–620
- Delamuta JRR, Ribeiro RA, Ormeño-Orrilho E, Melo IS, Martínez-Romero E, Hungria M (2013) Polyphasic evidence supporting the reclassification of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* Group Ia strains as *Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens* sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.049130-0
- Demain AL (1998) Induction of microbial secondary metabolism. Internatl Microbiol 1:259–264
- Downie JA (2010) The roles of extracellular proteins, polysaccharides and signals in the interactions of rhizobia with legume roots. FEMS Microbiol Rev 34:150–170
- Dyachok JV, Tobin AE, Price NPJ, von Arnold S (2000) Rhizobial nod factors stimulate somatic embryo development in *Picea abies*. Plant Cell Rep 3:290–297
- Embrapa-Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Solos (1997) Manual de métodos de análise de solo, 2nd edn. Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Solos, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, p 212
- Fauvart M, Michiels J (2008) Rhizobial secreted proteins as determinants of host specificity in the rhizobium-legume symbiosis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 285(1):1–9
- Fehr WR, Caviness CE (1977) Stages of soybean development. Iowa State University, Ames
- Feije F, Anger V (1972) Spot test in inorganic analysis. Anal Chem Acta 149:363–367
- Ferguson BJ, Indrasumunar A, Hayashi S, Lin MH, Lin YH, Reid DE, Gresshoff PM (2010) Molecular analysis of legume nodule development and autoregulation. J Integr Plant Biol 52:61–76
- Fraysse N, Couderc F, Poinsot V (2003) Surface polysaccharide involvement in establishing the rhizobium-legume symbiosis. Eur J Biochem 270:1365–1380
- Geurst R, Bisseling T (2002) *Rhizobium* Nod factor perception and signalling. Plant Cell 14(Supplement):S239–S249
- Hernandez-Rodriguez A, Heydrich-Perez M, Acebo-Guerrero Y, Velazquez-Del Valle MG, Hernandez-Lauzardo NA (2008) Antagonistic activity of Cuban native rhizobacteria against *Fusarium verticillioides* (Sacc.) Nirenb. in maize (*Zea mays* L). Appl Soil Ecol 39(2):180–186

- Hungria M, Campo RJ, Mendes IC (2007) A importância do processo de fixação biológica do nitrogênio para a cultura de soja: componente essencial para a competitividade do produto brasileiro. Embrapa Soja, Londrina, Brazil, p 80, Documentos 283
- Hungria M, Campo RJ, Mendes IC, Graham PH (2006) Contribution of biological nitrogen fixation to the N nutrition of grain crops in the tropics: the success of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) in South America. In: Singh RP, Shankar N, Jaiwal PK (eds) Nitrogen nutrition and sustainable plant productivity. Studium Press, LLC, Houston, Texas, USA, pp 43–93
- Hungria M, Campo RJ, Souza EM, Pedrosa FO (2010) Inoculation with selected strains of *Azospirillum brasilense* and *A. lipoferum* improves yields of maize and wheat in Brazil. Plant Soil 331:413–425
- Hungria M, Loureiro MF, Mendes IC, Campo RJ, Graham PH (2005) Inoculant preparation, production and application. In: Newton WE, Werner W, Newton WE (eds) Nitrogen fixation: Origins, applications and research progress, volume IV. Nitrogen fixation in agriculture, forestry, ecology and the environment, Springer, Dordrecht, Amsterdam, pp 223–254
- Hungria M, Phillips DA (1993) Effects of a seed color mutation on rhizobial nod-gene-inducing flavonoids and nodulation in common bean. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 6(4):418–422
- Hungria M, Stacey G (1997) Molecular signals exchanged between host plants and rhizobia: Basic aspects and potential application in agriculture. Soil Biol Biochem 29:819–830
- Inui H, Yamaguchi Y, Hirano S (1997) Elicitor actions of Nacetylchitooligosaccharides and laminarioligosaccharides for chitinase and L-phenylalanine ammonia-lyase induction in rice suspension culture. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 61:975–978
- lowa State University of Science and Technology, Cooperative Extension Service (1993) How a corn plant develops. Iowa State University (Special Report 48), Ames, Iowa
- Khana W, Prithiviraja B, Smith DL (2008) Nod factor [Nod Bj V (C18:1, MeFuc)] and lumichrome enhance photosynthesis and growth of corn and soybean. J Plant Physiol 165:1342–1351
- Kirichenko EV, Titova LV, Ya-Kots S (2004) The significance of exometabolites in the formation and operation of soybean-*Rhizobium* symbiosis. Appl Biochem Microbiol 40(5):490–493
- López-Lara IM, Bloktip L, Quinto C, Garcia ML, Stacey G, Bloemberg GV, Lamers GEM, Lugtenberg BJJ, Thomasoates JE, Spaink HP (1996) NodZ of *Bradyrhizobium* extends the nodulations host range of *Rhizobium* by adding a fucosyl residue to nodulation signals. Mol Microbiol 21:397–408
- Leibovitch S, Migner P, Zhang F, Smith DL (2002) Evaluation of the effect of SoyaSignal technology on soybean yield [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] under field conditions over 6 years in eastern Canada and northern United States. J Agron Crop Sci 187(4):281–292
- Miransari M, Smith D (2009) Rhizobial lipo-chitooligosaccharides and gibberellins enhance barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) seed germination. Biotechnol 8(2):270–275
- Okon Y, Labandera-Gonzalez CA (1994) Agronomic applications of *Azospirillum*: an evaluation of 20 years worldwide field inoculation. Soil Biol Biochem 26:1591–1601
- Oldroyd GED, Downie AJ (2008) Coordinating nodule morphogenesis with rhizobial infection in legumes. Annu Rev Plant Biol 59:519–546
- Ormeño-Orrillo E, Hungria M, Martinez-Romero E (2012a) Dinitrogen-fixing prokaryotes. In: Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer KH, Stackebrandt E (eds) The prokaryotes: biodiversity and ecology. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg
- Ormeño-Orrillo E, Menna P, Almeida LGP, Ollero FJ, Nicolás MF, Rodrigues EP, Nakatami AS, Batista JSS, Chueire LMO, Souza RC, Vasconcelos ATR, Megías M, Hungria M, Martínez-Romero E (2012b) Genomic basis of broad host range and environmental adaptability of *Rhizobium tropici* CIAT 899 and *Rhizobium* sp. PRF 81 which are used in inoculants for common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). BMC Genomics 13:735
- Pavan MA, Bloch MF, Zempulski HD, Miyazawa M, Zocoler DC (1992) Manual de análise química do solo e controle de qualidade. Instituto Agronômico do Paraná, Londrina, Brazil, p 40, Circular 76
- Peoples MB, Brockwell J, Herridge DF, Rochester IJ, Alves BJR, Urquiaga S, Boddey RM, Dakora FD, Bhattarai S, Maskey SL, Sampet C, Rerkasem B, Khan DF, Hauggaard-Nielsen H, Jensen ES (2009) The contributions of nitrogen-fixing crop legumes to the productivity of agricultural systems. Symbiosis 48(1–3):1–17
- Perret X, Staehelin C, Broughton WJ (2000) Molecular basis of symbiotic promiscuity. Microbiol and Mol Biology Rev 64:180–201

- Relic B, Talmont F, Korsinska J, Golinowski W, Prome JC, Broughton WJ (1993) Biological activity of *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234 Nod factors on *Macroptilium atropurpureum*. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 6:764–774
- Rodriguez H, Gonzalez T, Goire I, Bashan Y (2004) Gluconic acid production and phosphate solubilization by the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Azospirillum* spp. Naturwissenschaften 91:552–555
- Saharan BS, Nehra V (2011) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: a critical review. Life Sci Med Res 2011:LSMR-21
- SAS (SAS Institute Inc) (1999) Proprietary of software, Version 6, 4th edn. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA
- Schultze M, Kondorosi A (1996) The role of lipochitooligosaccharides in root nodule organogenesis and plant cell growth. Curr Opin Genet Dev 6(5):631–638
- Smith S, Gygi B, Habib A, Kang Y (2012) Basic and applied understanding of signal molecules from rhizobia (Lipo-chitooligosaccharides) contributes to better crop production. Soy2012 Speaker Abstracts. Available at: http://www. extension.iastate.edu/registration/events/soybean/speakeranchor.htm# speaker31
- Souleimanov A, Prithiviraj B, Smith DL (2002) The major Nod factor of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* promotes early growth of soybean and corn. J Exp Bot 53(376):1929–1934
- Staehelin C, Granado J, Muller J, Wiemeken A, Mellor RB, Felix G, Regenass M, Broughton WJ, Boller T (1994) Perception of *Rhizobium* nodulation factor by tomato cells and inactivation by root chitinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:2196–2200
- Strzelczyk E, Kamper M, Li C (1994) Cytocinin-like-substances and ethylene production by *Azospirillum* in media with different carbon sources. Microbiol Res 149:55–60
- Supanjani FM, Souleimanov A, Lee KD, Smith DL (2005) Stability and activity of the major Nod factor produced by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* following purification, sterilization, and storage. Crop Sci 45(4):1281–1285
- Tien TM, Gaskins MH, Hubbell DH (1979) Plant growth substances produced by *Azospirillum brasilense* and their effect on the growth of pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L). Appl Environ Microbiol 37:1016–1024
- Vincent JM (1970) Manual for the practical study of root nodule bacteria. Blackwell, Oxford, UK, International Biological Programme Handbook, 15
- Wang S, Huijun W, Junqing Q, Lingli M, Jun L, Yanfei X, Xuewen G (2009) Molecular mechanism of plant growth promotion and induced systemic resistance to tobacco mosaic virus by *Bacillus* spp. J Microbiol Biotechnol 19 (10):1250–1258

### doi:10.1186/2191-0855-3-21

**Cite this article as:** Marks *et al.*: Biotechnological potential of rhizobial metabolites to enhance the performance of *Bradyrhizobium* spp. and *Azospirillum brasilense* inoculants with soybean and maize. *AMB Express* 2013 **3**:21.

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>™</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com