Tithonia diversifolia for ruminant nutrition

Rogerio M Mauricio ^A, Rafael S Ribeiro ^A, Sylvia R Silveira ^A, Person L Silva ^A, Leonardo Calsavara ^A, Luiz G R Pereira ^B and Domingos S Paciullo ^B

^A Bio-Engineering Department, UFSJ, Pç Dom Helvécio, 74, CEP 36301-160, São João Del Rei – MG, Brazil ^B EMBRAPA, Dairy cattle, Brazil

Contact email: rogeriomauricio@ufsj.edu.br

Keywords: Tithonia diversifolia, methane, in vitro, ruminant nutrition, forage.

Introduction

India and Brazil lead the world ranking of livestock enteric methane emissions (FAO 2006). According to FAO (2006), Brazil (9.6 Tg of CH₄/year) is the highest emitter of methane from cattle, followed by India (8.6 Tg of CH₄/year) and the USA (5.1 Tg of CH₄/year). In livestock, methane (CH₄) formed from enteric fermentation of carbohydrates is primarily responsible for the emissions in the sector. Regarding livestock methane emission, Delgado et al. (2012) evaluated 20 tree and shrub species using in vitro technique and demonstrated a reducing effect on the amount of methane when Tithonia diversifolia was compared with, for example, Cynodon nlemfuensis grass. Tithonia diversifolia belongs to the division -Sphermatophyta; class - Eudicotiledoneae; sub-class -Metaclamídeas; Order - Campanulate; Family - Asteraceae; Genre - Tithonia, and Species - Tithonia diversifolia (Hemsl.), Gray (Souza 2008). Tithonia diversifolia can be very useful in animal nutrition (Fig. 1) by increasing the protein content of animal diet at low cost (Murgueitio et al. 2010) as well as in the recovery of degraded soils for it grows in areas with low levels of fertility and has high ability to absorb phosphorus, even if it is unavailable to other forage species (Kwabiah et al. 2003). The objective of this study was to assess the nutritional qualities, including quantification of enteric methane generated during in vitro ruminal fermentation, of Tithonia diversifolia as an alternative forage for ruminant nutrition in the tropics.




Figure 1. T. diversifolia intercropped with Cynodon nlenfuensis (Cipav-Colombia).

Methods

T. diversifolia forage material was evaluated at two developmental stages (booting and pre-flowering) in 5 levels of inclusion with Brachiaria brizantha cv Marandu (0, 25, 50, 75 and 100%). The mixtures samples were analyzed for chemical composition (NDF, ADF, hemicelluloses and CP) according to AOAC (1999), in vitro fermentation kinetics parameters (Mauricio et al. 1999) and VFA and methane production were determined by gas chromatography. Experimental design was randomized and statistical procedure was performed using the Tukey test at 5% probability.

Results

T. diversifolia collected between booting and pre-flowering stages showed similarity in all of the chemical analyzes performed. B. brizantha used as control (Table 1) showed 126.6 g/kg DM of CP and 286.3 g/kg DM of hemicelluloses. Comparing both forages, we observed lower NDF and higher CP (166.1 g/kg DM) in T. diversifolia. Regarding the in vitro fermentation parameters, VFA and methane production, it was found that the treatments with 50% inclusion of T. diversifolia had similar degradability to the treatment with 100% Braquiaria (P>0.05), lower gas production rate (P>0.05), lower acetate/propionate ratio (P<0.05), and consequently lower methane emission (Table 1).

Conclusion

The inclusion of 50% of *T. diversifolia* with *Braquiaria* brizantha cv Marandu showed the most promising results as a forage to contribute to the mitigation of enteric methane production. It was probably related to the lower NDF concentration that will end in lower Ac/Pr relationship which promotes lower gas production (CO₂ and CH₄) compared to Braquiaria. However, indigestible NDF (iNDF) and no-fiber carbohydrate (NFC) analysis will better explain the stoichiometry of *T. diversifolia* fermentation.

Acknowledgments

Federal University of Sao Joao Del-Rei (UFSJ), Bio-Engineering Department (DEPEB), Bio-Engineering Post Graduation Program (PPBE), National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), Foundation for Research Support of the State of Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG).

Table 1. Content of neutral detergent fibre (NDF, g/kg DM), acid detergent fibre (ADF, g/kg DM), hemicelluloses (HEM, g/kg DM), crude protein (CP, g/kg DM), in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), volatile fatty acids (Acetate / propionate) and methane production (mg/g of DM degraded) of Tithonia diversifolia (2 stages = Booting and pre-flowering stages) in different levels of inclusion with Braquiaria brizantha cv Marandu. Means followed by different letters in each row (small letters; stages) and columns (capital letters; inclusion levels) differ statistically by the Tukey test at a 5% probability level.

Measurement	Stages	Inclusion levels of:					SED
		100	75	50	25	0	
NDF	Booting Stage	450.1 eA	498.5 dA	546.9 cA	595.4 bA	643.6 aA	17.7
	Pre-flowering	446.5 eA	495.9 dA	545.2 cA	594.5 bA	643.6 aA	
ADF	Booting Stage	386.3 aA	379.2 aA	372.0 aA	364.8 aA	357.9 aA	12.7
	Pre-flowering	383.5 aA	377.0 aA	370.5 aA	364.1 aA	357.9 aA	
HEM	Booting Stage	63.8 eA	119.4 dA	174.9 cA	230.6 bA	286.3 aA	10
	Pre-flowering	63.1 eA	118.8 dA	174.6 cA	230.4 bA	286.3 aA	
СР	Booting Stage	166.1 cA	156.3 bcA	146.5 abcA	136.7 abA	126.6 aA	9.9
	Pre-flowering	117.2 aB	119.7 aB	122.1 aB	124.5 aA	126.6 aA	
IVDMD	Booting Stage	465.2 cA	562.9 bA	578.4 abA	615.9 abA	646.0 aA	27.8
	Pre-flowering	489.0 bA	541.8 bA	585.7 abA	616.1 aA	646.0 aA	
Ac/Pr 6h	Booting Stage	3.1 aA	3.0 aA	2.8 aA	3.1 aA	2.9 aA	0.5
	Pre-flowering	3.6 aA	3.6 aA	2.6 aA	3.4 aA	2.9 aA	
Ac/Pr 12h	Booting Stage	4.6 aA	4.0 abA	2.8 bA	3.3 abA	3.9 abA	0.5
	Pre-flowering	4.2 aA	4.2 abA	2.8 bA	3.3 abA	3.5 abA	
Methane 6h	Booting Stage	6.1 aA	8.1 aA	3.2 aA	5.4 aA	6.9 aA	2
	Pre-flowering	7.0 aA	4.3 aA	5.2 aA	6.4 aA	6.9 aA	
Methane 12h	Booting Stage	5.0 abA	6.4 abA	3.0 bA	8.6 aA	8.2 abA	2
	Pre-flowering	9.8 aA	7.6 aA	6,8 aA	7.6 aA	8.2 aA	

References

AOAC (1990) Official Methods of Analysis. (15th ed.) Association of Official Analytical Chemists (Arlington, VA). Delgado DC, Galindo J, González R, González N, et al. (2012) Feeding of tropical trees and shrub foliages as a strategy to reduce ruminal methanogenesis: studies conducted in Cuba. Tropican Animal Health and Production 44, 1097–1104.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2006) FAO statistical databases. Rome.

Maurício RM, Mould F, Dhanoa M (1999) A semi-automated in vitro gas production technique for ruminants feedstuff

evaluation. Animal Feed Science and Technology 79, 321–330. Murgueitio EM, Trujillo FU, Salazar AFZ, Sarria WFG, et al. (2010) Reconversión Ganaderacon Sistemas Silvipastorilesen La Provincia de Chiriquí, Panamá. Cipav: Colômbia, p.163.

Souza VC (2008) Botânica Sistemática: Guia ilustrado para identificação das famílias de Fanerógamas nativas e exóticas no Brasil. 2nd Ed. Nova Odessa, SP: Instituto Plantarum.

Kwabiah AB, Palm CA, Stoskopf NC, Voroney R (2003) Response of soil microbial biomass dynamics to quality of plant materials with emphasis on P vailability. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 35, 207–216.



Sydney New South Wales Australia | 2013

Revitalising grasslands to sustain our communities

22ND CONGRESS PROCEEDINGS

DL Michalk, GD Millar, WB Badgery & KM Broadfoot (Editors)

