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ABSTRACT

Most materials currently used for food packaging are non-degradable, generating environmental problems. Several biopolymers have been exploited to develop materials for eco-friendly food packaging. However, the use of biopolymers has been limited because of their usually poor mechanical and barrier properties, which may be improved by adding reinforcing compounds (fillers), forming composites. Most reinforced materials present poor matrix–filler interactions, which tend to improve with decreasing filler dimensions. The use of fillers with at least one nanoscale dimension (nanoparticles) produces nanocomposites. Nanoparticles have proportionally larger surface area than their microscale counterparts, which favors the filler–matrix interactions and the performance of the resulting material. Besides nanoreinforcements, nanoparticles can have other functions when added to a polymer, such as antimicrobial activity, enzyme immobilization, biosensing, etc. The main kinds of nanoparticles which have been studied for use in food packaging systems are overviewed, as well as their effects and applications.
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1. Introduction

“Nano-” denotes nanometer (10^-9 m). The concept of nanotechnology was introduced by Richard Feynman in 1959 at a meeting of the American Physical Society (Khademhosseini & Langer, 2006). Since then, nanotechnology has developed into a multidisciplinary field of applied science and technology. Nanotechnology is the ability to work on a scale of about 1–100 nm in order to understand, create, characterize and use material structures, devices and systems with new properties derived from their nanostructures (Roco, 2003). Because of their size, nanoparticles have proportionally larger surface area and consequently more surface atoms than their microscale counterpart. In the nanoscale range, materials may present different electronic properties, which in turn affects its optical, catalytic and other reactive properties (Boccuni, Rondinone, Petyx, & Iavicoli, 2008; Kahn, 2006).

All biological and man-made systems have the first level of organization at the nanoscale. By using nanotechnology techniques, it is possible to assemble molecules into objects, along several length scales, and to disassemble objects into molecules, as nature already does (Roco, 2003).

Two building strategies are currently used in nanotechnology: a “top-down” approach and the “bottom-up” approach. The commercial scale production of nanomaterials currently involves basically the “top-down” approach, in which nanometric structures are obtained by size reduction of bulk materials, by using milling, nanolithography, or precision engineering. Size usually relates to functionality of food materials, smaller sizes meaning a bigger surface area, desirable for several purposes. The newer “bottom-up” approach, on the other hand, allows nanostructures to be built from individual atoms or molecules capable of self-assembling (Foster & Konrad, 2003; Moraru et al., 2003). Self-assembly relies on balancing attraction and repulsion forces between a pair of molecules as building blocks to form more functional supramolecular structures (Sanguansri & Augustin, 2006).

Nowadays, most materials used for food packaging are practically undegradable, representing a serious global environmental problem. New bio-based materials have been exploited to develop edible and biodegradable films as a big effort to extend shelf life of food while reducing packaging waste (Tharanathan, 2003). However, the use of edible and biodegradable polymers has been limited because of problems related to performance (such as brittleness, poor gas and moisture barrier). Starch, as an example, has received considerable attention as a biodegradable thermoplastic polymer. However, it has a poor performance by itself because of its water sensitivity and limited mechanical properties (Vaidya & Bhattacharya, 1994) with high brittleness, which is related to the anarchical growth of amylose crystals with time (Dufresne & Vignon, 1998). The application of nanotechnology to these polymers may open new possibilities for improving not only the properties but also the cost-price-efﬁciency (Sorrentino, Gorrasi, & Vittoria, 2007).

Several composites have been developed by adding reinforcing compounds to polymers to enhance their thermal, mechanical and barrier properties. Most of these reinforced materials present poor interactions at the interface of both components. Macroscopic reinforcing components usually contain defects, which become less important as the particles of the reinforcing component are smaller (Ludueña, Alvarez, & Vasquez, 2007).

Polymer composites are mixtures of polymers with inorganic or organic fillers with certain geometries (fibers, flakes, spheres, particles). The use of fillers which have at least one dimension in the nanometric range (nanoparticles) produces polymer nanocomposites (Alexandre & Dubois, 2000). Three types of fillers can be distinguished, depending on how many dimensions are in the nanometric range. Iso-dimensional nanoparticles, such as spherical silica nanoparticles or semiconductor nanoclusters, have three nanometric dimensions. Nanotubes or whiskers are elongated structures in which two dimensions are in the nanometer scale and the third is larger. When only one dimension is in the nanometer range, the composites are known as polymer-layered crystal nanocomposites, almost exclusively obtained by the intercalation of the polymer (or a monomer subsequently polymerized) inside the galleries of layered host crystals (Alexandre & Dubois, 2000).

A uniform dispersion of nanoparticles leads to a very large matrix/filler interfacial area, which changes the molecular mobility, the relaxation behavior and the consequent thermal and mechanical properties of the material. Fillers with a high ratio of the largest to the smallest dimension (i.e., aspect ratio) are particularly interesting because of their high specific surface area, providing better reinforcing effects (Azizi Samir, Alloin, & Dufresne, 2005; Dalmas, Cavaillé, Gauthier, Chazeau, & Dendievel, 2007; Dubief, Samain, & Dufresne, 1999). In addition to the effects of the nanoreinforcements themselves, an interphase region of altered mobility surrounding each nanoparticle is induced by well dispersed nanoparticles, resulting in a percolating interphase network in the composite and playing an important role in improving the nanocomposite properties (Qiao & Brinson, 2009). According to Jordan, Jacob, Tannenbaum, Sharaf, and Jasiuk (2005), for a constant filler content, a reduction in particle size increases the number of filler particles, bringing them closer to one another; thus, the interface layers from adjacent particles overlap, altering the bulk properties significantly.

Besides reinforcing nanoparticles, whose main role is to improve mechanical and barrier properties of the packaging materials, there are several types of nanostructures responsible for other functions, sometimes providing active or “smart” properties to the packaging system such as antimicrobial activity, enzyme immobilization, biosensing, etc. The most studied nanoparticles will be presented according to their primary functions/applications in food packaging systems. Some particles can have multiple applications, and sometimes the applications can overlap, such as some immobilized enzymes which can act as antimicrobial components, oxygen scavengers and/or biosensors.

2. Nanoreinforcements

2.1. Clays and silicates

2.1.1. Structure, properties and types of composites

Although several nanoparticles have been recognized as possible additives to enhance polymer performance, the packaging...
industry has focused its attention mainly on layered inorganic solids like clays and silicates, due to their availability, low cost, significant enhancements and relative simple processability. The concept of polymer–clay nanocomposites (PCN) was developed in the late 1980s, and firstly commercialized by Toyota (Collister, 2002), but only since the late 1990s researches have been published on development of PCN for food packaging (Ray, Easteal, Quek, & Chen, 2006). The layered silicates commonly used in nanocomposites consist of two-dimensional layers, which are 1 nm thick and several microns long depending on the particular silicate. Its presence in polymer formulations increases the tortuosity of the diffusive path for a penetrant molecule (Fig. 1), providing excellent barrier properties (Bharadwaj et al., 2002; Cabedo, Giménez, Lagaron, Gavara, & Saura, 2004; Mirzadeh & Kokabi, 2007).

In contrast with the tactoid structure predominating in microcomposites (conventional composites), in which the polymer and the clay tactoids remain immiscible, resulting in agglomeration of the clay in the matrix and poor macroscopic properties of the material (Alexandre et al., 2009; Ludueña et al., 2007), the interaction between layered silicates and polymer chains may produce two types of ideal nanoscale composites (Fig. 2). The intercalated nanocomposites result from the penetration of polymers chains into the interlayer region of the clay, resulting in an ordered multilayer structure with alternating polymer/inorganic layers at a repeated distance of a few nanometers (Weiss, Takhistov, & McClements, 2006). The exfoliated nanocomposites involve extensive polymer penetration, with the clay layers delaminated and randomly dispersed in the polymer matrix (Ludueña et al., 2007). Exfoliated nanocomposites have been reported to exhibit the best properties due to the optimal interaction between clay and polymer (Adame & Beall, 2009; Alexandre et al., 2009; Osman, Rupp, & Suter, 2005).

The most widely studied type of clay fillers is montmorillonite (MMT), a hydrated alumina-silicate layered clay consisting of an edge-shared octahedral sheet of aluminum hydroxide between two silica tetrahedral layers (Weiss et al., 2006). The imbalance of the surface negative charges is compensated by exchangeable cations (typically Na⁺ and Ca²⁺). The parallel layers are linked together by weak electrostatic forces (Tan, Zhang, Szeto, & Liao, 2008). This type of clay is characterized by a moderate negative surface charge (cation exchange capacity, CEC), which is an important factor to define the equilibrium layer spacing. The charge of the layer is not locally constant as it varies from layer to layer and must rather be considered as an average value over the whole crystal (Alexandre & Dubois, 2000). MMT is an effective reinforcement filler, due to its high surface area and large aspect ratio (50–1000) (Uyama et al., 2003).

2.1.2. Improving compatibility of clays with polymers

The homogeneous dispersion of most clays in organic polymers is not easy due to the hydrophilicity of its surface (Kim, Lim, Park, & Lee, 2003). Organoclays, products from interactions between clay minerals and organic compounds, have found an important application in polymer nanocomposites. A proper organophilization is a key step for successful exfoliation of clay particles in most polymeric matrices. The organophilization reduces the energy of the clay and improves its compatibility with organic polymers (Paiva, Morales, & Díaz, 2008). Organoclays are cheaper than most other nanomaterials, since they come from readily available natural sources, and are produced in existing, full-scale production facilities (Markarian, 2005). Organomontmorillonite (oMMT) have been produced, for example, by exchanging inorganic cations of MMT with organic ammonium ions, improving compatibility of MMT with organic polymers (Osman, Ploetze, & Suter, 2003; Paul et al., 2003), leading to a more regular organization of the layers in the structures, and decreasing the water uptake by the nanocomposite (Picard, Gauthier, Gérard, & Espuche, 2007).

Surfactants can also be used to improve the dispersibility of the clay. Osman et al. (2005) used amphiphilic block and random polyethylene copolymers as surfactants to improve dispersion of oMMT in a polyethylene (PE) matrix; the surfactants were able to increase spacing between clay layers (d-spacing) to different extents, depending on the number of polar units in the copolymer molecule.

Park, Liang, Mohanty, Misra, and Drzal (2004) used maleic anhydride grafted cellulose acetate butyrate (CAB-g-MA) as compatibilizer for cellulose acetate nanocomposites with an oMMT. Nanocomposites with compatibilizer showed better exfoliated structure and better mechanical properties than the counterpart without compatibilizer.

2.1.3. Applications of clay nanocomposites

Since clay layers constitute a barrier to gases and water, forcing them to follow a tortuous path, the introduction of nanoclays into polymer biostructures has been shown to greatly improve barrier properties (Adame & Beall, 2009), minimizing one of the main limitations of biopolymer films. Indeed, many studies have reported the effectiveness of nanoclays in decreasing oxygen (Bharadwaj et al., 2002; Cabedo et al., 2004; Cava, Giménez, Gavara, & Lagaron, 2006; Koh et al., 2008; Lagaron et al., 2005; Lotti et al., 2008; Mangiacapra, Gorrasi, Sorrentino, & Vittoria, 2006; Peterson & Oksman, 2006a) and water vapor permeabilities (Bharadwaj, 2001; Jawahar & Balasubramanian, 2006; Lotti et al., 2008; Mangiacapra et al., 2006).

The most widely known theories to explain the improved barrier properties of polymer–clay nanocomposites are based on a theory developed by Nielsen (1967), which focuses on a tortuous path around the clay plates, forcing the gas permeant to travel a longer path to diffuse through the film. The increase in path length is a function of the high aspect ratio of the clay filler and the volume% of the filler in the composite. Nielsen’s model predicts permeability of systems at clay loading rates of less than 1%, but experimental data deviates significantly from predicted values at higher loading rates and more extensively in certain polymers. According to Bharadwaj (2001), increased length of silicate sheets enhance the barrier properties, because of the increased tortuosity. Many deviations can be explained by factors such as poor clay orientation or less than complete exfoliation. However, even those factors cannot explain many published experimental observations that reported much lower permeabilities than predicted (Adame &
Beall, 2009). Beall (2000) proposed a new model to predict permeability of polymer nanocomposites, focused on the polymer–clay interface as the governing factor in addition to the tortuous path. This model provides a correction factor applicable to Nielsen’s model. It defines three regions around clay plates: the surface modifier region, the constrained polymer region, and the unconstrained polymer region. The surface modifier region (1–2 nm) binds the clay with the polymer; it is assumed to be small enough to have little effect on the permeability of the composite. The unconstrained polymer region is not affected significantly by the clay, its properties being that of the bulk polymer. The constrained polymer region, less well defined and indirectly confirmed, is in direct contact with the surface modifier and may extend 50–100 nm from the clay surface as a function of polymer interaction parameters; it is assumed to have a lower free volume and therefore a lower diffusion coefficient than that of the bulk polymer. Since the main effect of the constrained region is to lower free volume, and this effect is not significant in crystalline regions, the constrained region of semicrystalline polymers does not significantly affect permeability unless the crystallinity is decreased. Indeed, most of the large deviations from the simple tortuous path model involve amorphous polymers (Adame & Beall, 2009).

Clays have been also reported to improve the mechanical strength of biopolymers, making their use feasible (Weiss et al., 2006). Park, Lee, Park, Cho, and Ha (2003) generated thermoplastic starch (TPS)/clay nanocomposites with improved mechanical properties and decreased water vapor permeability by using only 5% (w/w) of clays. Reports by Dean, Yu, and Wu (2007) indicate that optimum levels of both plasticizer and nanoclay exist for each clay to produce a gelatinized starch film with the highest exfoliation and best improvement in mechanical properties, and those optimum levels have some dependency on the CEC of the clay. Petersson and Oksman (2006a) reported that bentonite was able to improve strength and modulus of a polyactic acid (PLA) matrix, but it drastically decreased elongation of the material. Similar results were reported by Lotti et al. (2008) for low-density polyethylene (LDPE) treated with an organoclay, and also by Xu, Ren, and Hanna (2006) for chitosan films with MMT. On the other hand, Marras, Kladi, Tsvintzelis, Zuburtikudis, and Panayiotou (2008) reported that the elongation of poly (ε-caprolactone) (PCL) was not impaired by MMT. Other authors observed improved mechanical properties of several polymers by addition of nanoclay (Avella et al., 2005; Chen & Evans, 2005; Cyras et al., 2008; Jawahar & Balasubramanian, 2006; Russo, Nicolais, Di Maio, Montesano, & Incarnato, 2007; Yu, Lin, Yeh, & Lin, 2003).

Other benefits have been reported on the performance of polymers as resulting from using clay nanoparticles, including increased glass transition (Cabedo et al., 2004; Petersson & Oksman, 2006a; Yu et al., 2003) and thermal degradation temperatures (Bertini, Canetti, Audisio, Costa, & Falqui, 2006; Cabedo et al., 2004; Cyras et al., 2008; Paul et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2003). As minor disadvantages of nanoclay on polymers, Yu et al. (2003) have described decreased transparency.

Some companies in the USA, such as Nanocor Inc. (Arlington Heights, IL) and Southern Clay Products, Inc. (Gonzales, TX) have been working on incorporation of MMT in nanocomposite production, making plastics lighter, stronger, more heat-resistant and with improved barrier against gases, moisture and volatiles (Moraru et al., 2003). Some industries have incorporated clays in nylon-6, which is fluid and easily penetrates small spaces between layers. When extruded, platelets orient themselves parallel to the surface, improving barrier properties (Brody, 2007). Nylon-6 nanocomposites can achieve oxygen transmission rates almost four times lower than that of neat nylon-6 (Brody, 2003). Nanocor and Mitsubishi Gas Chemical (New York, NY) have developed Imperm®, a nanocomposite nylon MXD6 with much improved barrier properties, to be used in films and PET bottles (Brody, 2006, 2007). The nanocomposite material can be used as an oxygen barrier layer in the extrusion manufacturing of bottles for fruit juices, dairy foods, beer and carbonated drinks, or as nanocomposite layers in multilayer films to enhance the shelf life of a variety of foods such as processed meats, cheese, confectionery, cereals, and boil-in-bag foods (Brody, 2007; Moraru et al., 2003).

According to Brody (2006), the US Army Natick Soldier Center (Natick, MA), the core site of military ration research, has been searching for alternatives to laminations (particularly aluminum foil) to enhance shelf life of room-temperature shelf-stable foods, to reduce solid waste from package materials, and to allow fast reheating in microwave ovens. One direction is to incorporate nanoclay into plastic matrices to improve barrier properties, thermal resistance, and mechanical strength. Natick research has focused on formulating PE, PET, and ethylene vinyl alcohol (EVOH) with 1–5% nanoclay platelet weight, the clay platelets being properly dispersed to maximize orientation, a key variable in producing the tortuous pathways. Results have indicated increases of 80% in thermal resistance and 100% in mechanical strength. On the other hand, EVOH was still sensitive to water vapor even after combined with nanoclays.

2.1.4. Layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly involving clays
Layer-by-layer (LbL) self-assembly is a method by which a multilayer coating/film of nanometer-thick layers can be made by sequential adsorption of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes on a solid support (Rudra, Dave, & Haynie, 2006).

Jang, Rawson, and Grunlan (2008) made a multilayer film by depositing intercalating layers of anionic sodium MMT and cationic polyacrylamide on a PET substrate. Oxygen transmission rate (OTR) decreased as a function of number of bilayers deposited, until a negligible value – below 0.005 cm³/(m² day atm) – was achieved with a 30-bilayer film, which was attributed to a brick wall nanostructure comprised of completely exfoliated clay. The resulting thin film, potentially microwaveable and with a good optical transparency (higher than 90%), was presented as a good candidate for aluminum foil replacement in food packaging. The LbL assemblies swelled when exposed to high environmental humidity, which can be explained by decreasing the volume concentration of clay platelets and increasing distance between them (Wong, Rehfeldt, Hanni, Tanaka, & Klitzing, 2004). Despite this effect, which increased permeability, the OTR at 95% RH remained more than an order of magnitude lower than bare PET, thanks to electrostatic bonds that held the layers together, avoiding complete loss of barrier. In order to maintain a negligible OTR in the system, the authors (Jang et al., 2008) suggested combining it with a high moisture barrier.

2.2. Cellulose-based nanoreinforcements

2.2.1. Structure and obtainment
Cellulose, the building material of long fibrous cells, is a highly strong natural polymer. Cellulose nanofibers are inherently a low cost and widely available material. Moreover, they are environmentally friendly and easy of recycling by combustion, and require low energy consumption in manufacturing. All of this makes cellulose nanofibers an attractive class of nanomaterials for elaboration of low cost, lightweight, and high-strength nanocomposites (Helbert, Cavaillé, & Dufresne, 1996; Podsiadlo et al., 2005). Basically two types of nanoreinforcements can be obtained from cellulose – microfibrils and whiskers (Azizi Samir et al., 2005). In plants or animals the cellulose chains are synthesized to form microfibrils (or nanofibbers), which are bundles of molecules that are elongated and stabilized through hydrogen bonding
Fig. 3. Internal structure of a cellulose microfibril: (A) a cellulose chain; (B) an elementary fibril containing bundles of cellulose chains; (C) parallel elementary fibrils; (D) four microfibrils held together by hemicellulose and lignin. (Adapted from Ramos, 2003).
part. Chen, Liu, Chang, Cao, and Anderson (2009) produced composites of a pea starch matrix added with cellulose whiskers extracted from pea hull fibers with different hydrolysis times, which resulted in different aspect ratios. The composite produced by using the whiskers with the highest aspect ratio exhibited the highest transparency and best tensile properties.

On the other hand, Jiang, Liu, Zhang, Wang, and Wang (2007) demonstrated that the mean aspect ratio cannot be considered without proper assumptions; one must also consider the orientation distribution of the fillers. When the fillers do not follow a symmetric distribution, the overall mechanical properties obtained by the average aspect ratio of the fillers may be greatly different from those obtained when considering the aspect ratio distribution.

The orientation of cellulose fibers can greatly improve the tensile properties of a resulting nanocomposite. Kvien and Oksman (2007) applied a magnetic field to a nanocomposite of polyvinyl alcohol (PVOH) with cellulose whiskers to orient the whiskers; the modulus of the resulting nanocomposite was greatly increased by orientation.

Percolation theory predicts a maximum improvement in composite properties when there are just enough fillers to form a continuous structure, since they are properly dispersed within the matrix (Helbert et al., 1996; Ljungberg et al., 2005), which means that modulus and strength are expected to be improved if each fiber is in contact with two more others, on average (Hubbe et al., 2008; Chakraborty, Sain, and Kortschot (2006) reported a 2.5-fold increase in modulus of PVOH when 5% fibers were added, but further increases in fiber contents were not helpful. So, the higher the aspect ratio of the fibers, the better the film performance, even at low fiber contents.

### 2.2.3. Applications and effects on polymers matrices

Cellulose nanoreinforcements have been reported to have a great effect in improving modulus of polymer matrices (Bhatnagar & Sain, 2005; Helbert et al., 1996; Wu et al., 2007). For example, Helbert et al. (1996) reported that a poly(styrene-co-butyl acrylate) latex film containing 30 wt.% of straw cellulose whiskers presented a modulus more than a thousand times higher than that of the bulk matrix. According to those authors (Helbert et al., 1996), such a great effect is ascribed not only to the geometry and stiffness of the whiskers, but also to the formation of a fibril network within the polymer matrix, the cellulose fibers being probably linked through hydrogen bonds. Zimmermann, Pöhlér, and Geiger (2004) observed that fibril contents of up to 5% resulted in no strength or stiffness improvement of PVOH composites, and they suggested that probably a minimum fibril content is required to induce intense interactions between fibrils and thus the formation of networks. Moreover, cellulose fibers have been effective to improve strength and modulus of polymers, especially at temperatures above the glass transition temperature ($T_g$) of the matrix polymer (Dufresne, Dupeyre, & Vignon, 2000; Dufresne & Vignon, 1998); on the other hand, they tend to hinder elongation (Dogan & McHugh, 2007; Freire et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2009; Ljungberg et al., 2005; Lu, Weng, & Cao, 2005; Tang & Liu, 2008; Wang & Sain, 2007). However, other studies reported that even polymer elongation was improved by the fibers (Petersson & Oksman, 2006b; Zimmermann et al., 2004), or at least the elongation was not significantly affected by them (Iwatake, Nogi, & Yano, 2008).

Wu et al. (2007) observed that, while the elongation of polyyurethane was improved by cellulose nanofibrils, it was decreased by a conventional microscale cellulose filler. Such differences are possibly related to different degrees of matrix–cellulose interactions. According to Jordan et al. (2005), the addition of nanoreinforcements with poor interaction with the matrix causes the elongation and the strength of the material to decrease; on the other hand, the modulus seems not to be dependent on such interactions.

Starch-based materials have been extensively investigated as a choice product to improve biodegradability of a variety of plastics. However, the brittleness of starch requires the use of plasticizers such as polyols, which improve starch flexibility but, on the other hand, decreases its thermomechanical properties. The addition of whiskers to starch systems enhances their thermomechanical properties, reduces the water sensitivity, and keeps their biodegradability properties (Lima & Borsall, 2004). Several articles have focused effects of cellulose nanoreinforcements on performance of starch. Some authors (Alemdar & Sain, 2008; Anglès & Dufresne, 2000) reported a $T_g$ increasing effect of cellulose nanofibers on starch. According to Anglès and Dufresne (2001), this reinforcing effect depends strongly on the formation of a cellulose microfibrils network within the matrix, resulting from hydrogel bonds which can be formed during the evaporation step. The water uptake by starch films decreases linearly (Dufresne & Vignon, 1998; Dufresne et al., 2000) or almost linearly (Lu et al., 2005) with increasing cellulose whisker content. A reduction in starch brittleness by cellulose whiskers was also reported by Dufresne and Vignon (1998), which is consistent with the transcrysallization phenomenon, i.e., orientation of crystals of a semicrystalline matrix perpendicularly to the cellulose microfibrils, as described by Helbert and Chanzy (1994) and Hullement, Helbert, and Chanzy (1996).

Moisture barrier of polymer films has been observed to be improved by cellulose nanoreinforcements (Paralikar, Simonsen, & Lombardi, 2008; Sanchez-Garcia, Gimenez, & Lagaron, 2008; Svanberg, Hedenqvist, & Berglund, 2009). The presence of crystalline fibers is thought to increase the tortuosity in the materials leading to slower diffusion processes and, hence, to lower permeability (Sanchez-Garcia et al., 2008). The barrier properties are enhanced if the filler is less permeable, and have good dispersion in the matrix and a high aspect ratio (Lagaron, Catala, & Gavara, 2004).

Nanized cellulose fibrils have been also reported to improve thermal properties of polymers. The thermal stability of polymers in nanocomposites with cellulose whiskers was reported to be improved when compared to those of the corresponding bulk polymers (Helbert et al., 1996; Oksman et al., 2006; Petersson, Kvien & Oksman, 2007). On the other hand, their effects on $T_g$ of polymers have been controversial. Some authors reported $T_g$ increasing effects on polymer films (Alemdar & Sain, 2008; Anglès & Dufresne, 2000). Differently, in other studies the effect of cellulose nanoreinforcements on $T_g$ was not consistent (Azizi Samir et al., 2004; Mathew & Dufresne, 2002; Sanchez-Garcia et al., 2008) or even negligible (Azizi Samir et al., 2004). Mathew and Dufresne (2002) observed that $T_g$ of a sorbitol-plasticized starch nanocomposite with tunicin whiskers first increased up to a whisker content around 10–15 wt.% and then decreased. The increase of $T_g$ up to 15 wt.% whiskers addition was ascribed to the increase in the crystallinity of the starch matrix with tunicin content, the restricted mobility of amorphous amyllopectin chains resulting from the physical cross-links induced by the crystallization. For the decrease of $T_g$ above 15 wt.% whiskers, a possible explanation could be that sorbitol is probably at least partially ejected from the crystalline domains during crystallization, and this ejection increases its concentration in the amorphous domains of the matrix. This phenomenon should compete with the increase of $T_g$ upon whiskers addition and becomes most probably predominant at high loading level inducing a decrease of $T_g$. For the studies reporting negligible variation of $T_g$ values of polymers according to contents of cellulose nanoreinforcements in composites, two studies presented possible explanations. According to Wu et al. (2007), the presence of cellulose nanofibrils results in a smaller portion of the matrix participating in the glass transition rather than a consistent increase in $T_g$. Azizi Samir et al. (2004) proposed another mechanism, accord-
ing to which the presence of whiskers could influence $T_g$ values in two opposite ways. First, the solid surface of cellulose whiskers could restrict mobility of polymer chains in the vicinity of the interfacial area, which would result in a global shift of $T_g$ toward higher temperatures. In an opposite way, those authors (Azizi Samir et al., 2004) mentioned that the cross-linking density of the polymeric matrix was decreased in the presence of whiskers, which would indirectly decrease $T_g$.

2.2.4. Improving compatibility between cellulose nanoﬁbers and hydrophobic matrices

Chemical compatibility between the filler and the matrix plays a critical role in the filler dispersion within the matrix and in the adhesion between both phases (Hubbe et al., 2008). Because of their hydrophilic surface, interactions between cellulose ﬁbrils and hydrophilic matrices are usually satisfactory (Bondeson & Oksman, 2007). “All-cellulose composites” (in which both the reinforcing ﬁber and the matrix are cellulose based) is an especially interesting group of composites, since it has the advantage of the excellent interaction between the fiber and the matrix which is critical for good mixing characteristics and for the mechanical performance of the composites (Nishino, Matsuda, & Hirao, 2004). On the other hand, the use of cellulose whiskers in nanocomposites with hydrophobic matrices results frequently in weak filler–matrix interactions (Hubbe et al., 2008). When added to non-polar matrices, the highly polar surface of cellulose ﬁbers results in some problems: low interfacial compatibility with the matrices, low moisture resistance/bARRIER, and inter-ﬁber aggregation by hydrogen bonding (Freire et al., 2008). Another limitation to the application of cellulose ﬁbrils, also related to its hydrophilic surface, is their high water absorption capacity, which is undesirable in many potential applications (Hubbe et al., 2008).

Bondeson and Oksman (2007) investigated the possibility to use PVOH to improve dispersion of cellulose whiskers in a PLA matrix. However, PLA and PVOH formed two immiscible phases with a continuous PLA phase and a discontinuous PVOH phase, most whiskers having located in the PVOH phase. As a result, the thermal stability and the mechanical properties of the nanocomposites were not improved compared to its unreinforced counterpart.

A variety of surface modiﬁcations on cellulose nanoreinforcement materials can greatly improve their miscibility within hydrophobic matrices. Cellulosic surfaces can be derivatized by several reactions involving the hydroxyl groups, such as esteriﬁcations, so as to improve their compatibility with less polar polymers (Mohanty, Misra, & Drzal, 2001). Freire et al. (2008) modiﬁed cellulose ﬁbers by acylation with fatty acids in order to prepare them for composites with polyethylene (PE). The surface chemical modiﬁcation of the cellulose ﬁbers resulted in improved interfacial adhesion between the ﬁbers and the matrix, which was evidenced by enhanced mechanical properties and thermal stability. Moreover, the water uptake capacity of the material was decreased.

Surfactant addition can also improve the compatibility between cellulose and hydrophobic matrices (Lima & Borsali, 2004; Jüngberg et al., 2005; Petersson, Rivien, & Oksman, 2007). Hubbe et al. (2008) proposed that the hydrophilic head group of the surfactant adsors on the cellulose surface whereas its hydrophobic tail solvates in the matrix, avoiding aggregation of cellulose ﬁllers via steric stabilization; the improved performance of the nanocomposite would be explained not only by a better wettability and adhesion between phases, but also by a more uniform distribution of the ﬁllers within the matrix.

Grafting between a hydrophobic matrix and hydrophilic fibers can also improve their otherwise poor adhesion. Mokiena, Djokovic, and Luyt (2004) reported that composites with 1% dicumyl peroxide (DCP), a cross-linking agent which was used to induce grafting between a PE matrix and cellulose ﬁbers from sisal, presented much improved strength than composite with untreated fibers.

2.3. Carbon nanotubes

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) may consist of a one-atom thick single-wall nanotube (SWNT), or a number of concentric tubes called multiwalled nanotubes (MWNT), having extraordinarily high aspect ratios and elastic modulus (Zhou, Shin, Wang, & Bakis, 2004). Lau and Hui (2002) reported CNTs to have theoretical elastic modulus and tensile strength values as high as 1 TPa and 200 GPa, respectively.

Kim, Han, and Hong (2008) modified CNTs by introducing carboxylic acid groups on their surfaces in order to enhance their intermolecular interactions with the poly(ethylene-2,6-naphthalene) (PEN) matrix. CNTs, even in concentrations as low as 0.1 wt.%, greatly improved thermal stability as well as tensile strength and modulus of PEN. Other polymers have been found to have their tensile strength/modulus improved by addition of CNTs, such as PVOH (Bin, Mine, Koganemaru, Jiang, & Matsu, 2006; Chen, Tao, Xue, & Cheng, 2005), polypropylene (López Manchado, Valenti, Biagotti, & Kenny, 2005; Prashantha et al., in press) and polyamide (Zeng et al., 2006). According to Brody (2006), researches from Natick indicated that a CNT nanocomposite with PLA exhibited a 200% better water vapor transmission rate than pure PLA, plus increased modulus and toughness.

2.4. Silica (SiO$_2$)

Silica nanoparticles (nSiO$_2$) have been reported to improve mechanical and/or barrier properties of several polymer matrices. Wu, Zhang, Rong, and Friedrick (2002) observed that the addition of nSiO$_2$ into a polypropylene (PP) matrix improved tensile properties of the material – not only strength and modulus, but also elongation. Improvements in tensile properties – again including elongation – were also reported for a starch matrix as resulting from nSiO$_2$ addition (Xiong, Tang, Tang, & Zou, 2008). Those authors (Xiong et al., 2008) observed also that nSiO$_2$ addition decreased water absorption by starch. Vladimirov, Betchev, Vassiliou, Papageorgiou, and Bikaris (2006) incorporated nSiO$_2$ to an isotactic polypropylene (iPP) matrix, using maleic anhydride grafted polypropylene (PP-g-MA) as a compatibilizer. nSiO$_2$ increased storage modulus of iPP, making the material stiffer, and improved the oxygen barrier of the matrix. Jia, Li, Cheng, Zhang, and Zhang (2007) prepared nanocomposites of PVOH with nSiO$_2$ by radical copolymerization of vinyl silica nanoparticles and vinyl acetate. The nanocomposites had improved thermal and mechanical properties when compared to the pure PVOH, due to strong interactions between nSiO$_2$ and the polymer matrix via covalent bonding. Tang, Zou, Xiong, and Tang (2008) prepared starch/PVOH/nSiO$_2$ biodegradable films. With the increase in nSiO$_2$ content, the tensile properties and water resistance of the films were improved. There was also an increase in the intermolecular hydrogen bonds, as well as formation of C–O–Si groups, between nSiO$_2$ and starch, or nSiO$_2$ and PVOH, which improved the miscibility and compatibility between film components. Some authors (Wu et al., 2002; Zhang & Rong, 2003) observed that the presence of grafting polymers on the surface of nSiO$_2$ improved the tailorability of the composites, that is to say, different species of grafting monomers result in different interfacial interactions and tensile properties.

2.5. Starch nanocrystals

Native starch granules can be submitted to an extended-time hydrolysis at temperatures below the gelatinization temperature,
when the amorphous regions are hydrolyzed allowing separation of crystalline lamellae, which are more resistant to hydrolysis. The starch crystalline particles show platelet morphology with thicknesses of 6–8 nm (Kristo & Biliaderis, 2007).

Kristo and Biliaderis (2007) reported that the addition of starch nanocrystals (SNC) improved tensile strength and modulus of pullulan films, but decreased their elongation. The TH values shifted to higher temperatures with increasing SNC content, which was attributed to a restricted mobility of pullulan chains due to the formation of strong interactions between SNC as well as between filler and matrix. The water vapor permeability of pullulan films was decreased by addition of 20% or more SNC. Addition of SNC to PVOH resulted in different effects, according to Chen, Cao, Chang, and Huneault (2008), who observed that the tensile strength and elongation of PVOH were only slightly improved by addition of SNC up to 10 wt.%; above this content, such properties became lower than that of pure PVOH. The properties of the PVOH composite with SNC, on the other hand, were better than those obtained when native starch was used instead of SNC, suggesting that SNC dispersed more homogeneously and formed stronger interactions with PVOH than native starch granules.

2.6. Chitin/chitosan nanoparticles

Lu, Weng, and Zhang (2004) and Sriupayo, Supaphol, Blackwell, and Rujiravanit (2005) prepared chitin whiskers by acid hydrolysis of chitin. The average dimensions of the whiskers obtained by Lu et al. (2004) were 500 nm (length) and 50 nm (diameter), and those obtained by Sriupayo et al. (2005) had 417 nm (length) and 33 nm (diameter). Lu et al. (2004) added chitin whiskers to soy protein isolate (SPI) thermoplastics, and reported that the whiskers greatly improved not only the tensile properties (tensile strength and elastic modulus) of the matrix, but also its water resistance. Sriupayo et al. (2005) added chitin whiskers to chitosan films, and observed that the whiskers improving chitosan tensile strength until a whisker content of 2.96%, while higher increases of whiskers contents resulted in decreasing strength. The elongation of the films was impaired by addition of whiskers up to 2.96%, and then it leveled off at higher whiskers contents. The addition of α-chitin whiskers improved water resistance of the films.

Chitosan nanoparticles can be obtained by ionic gelation, where the positively charged amino groups of chitosan form electrostatic interactions with polyanions employed as cross-linkers, such as tripolyphosphate (López-León, Carvalho, Seijo, Ortega-Vinuesa, & Bastos-González, 2005). De Moura et al. (2009) prepared chitosan–tripolyphosphate (CS–TPP) nanoparticles and incorporated them into hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) films. Addition of CS–TPP nanoparticles significantly improved mechanical and barrier properties of the films. The authors attributed such effects to the nanoparticles filling discontinuities in the HPMC matrix.

3. Antimicrobial nanocomposites

The incorporation of antimicrobial compounds into food packaging has received considerable attention. Films with antimicrobial activity could help control the growth of pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms. An antimicrobial nanocomposite film is particularly desirable due to its acceptable structural integrity and barrier properties imparted by the nanocomposite matrix, and the antimicrobial properties contributed by the natural antimicrobial agents impregnated within (Rhim & Ng, 2007). Materials in the nanoscale range have a higher surface-to-volume ratio when compared with their microscale counterparts. This allows nanomaterials to be able to attach more copies of biological molecules, which confers greater efficiency (Luo & Stutzenberger, 2008).

Nanoscale materials have been investigated for antimicrobial activity so that they can be used as growth inhibitors (Cioffi et al., 2005), killing agents (Huang et al., 2005; Kumar & Münstedt, 2005; Lin, Li, Wang, Huang, & Duan, 2005; Qi, Xu, Jiang, Hu, & Zou, 2004; Stoimenov, Klinger, Marchin, & Klabunde, 2002), or antibiotic carriers (Gu, Ho, Tong, Wang, & Xu, 2003).

The most common nanocomposites used as antimicrobial films for food packaging are based on silver, which is well known for its strong toxicity to a wide range of microorganisms (Liu, Read, Pugh, Furr, & Russell, 1997), with high temperature stability and low volatility (Kumar & Münstedt, 2005). Some mechanisms have been proposed for the antimicrobial property of silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs): adhesion to the cell surface, degrading lipopolysaccharides and forming “pits” in the membranes, largely increasing permeability (Sondi & Salopek-Sondi, 2004); penetration inside bacterial cell, damaging DNA (Li et al., 2008); and releasing antimicrobial Ag+ ions by Ag-NPs dissolution (Morones et al., 2005). The latter mechanism is consistent with findings by Kumar and Münstedt (2005), who affirmed that the antimicrobial activity of silver-based polymers depends on releasing of Ag+, which binds to electron donor groups in biological molecules containing sulphur, oxygen or nitrogen.

Chemical reduction is the most common method for preparation of Ag-NPs as stable, colloidal dispersions. The reduction of Ag+ in aqueous solution produces colloidal silver with particle diameters of several nanometers (Wiley, Sun, Mayers, & Xia, 2005). Initially, the reduction leads to the formation of silver atoms (Ag0) and their subsequent aggregation into oligomeric clusters, which leads to the formation of Ag particles (Kapoor, Lawless, Kenepohli, Meisel, & Serpone, 1994). The synthesis is often performed in the presence of stabilizers in order to avoid undesirable agglomeration of colloids (Sharma, Yngard, & Lin, 2009). Kvítek et al. (2008) studied the influence of surfactants and polymers on aggregation stability and antibacterial activity of Ag-NPs, and reported that modified Ag-NPs had improved bactericidal effect. A correlation was found between the aggregation stability and antibacterial activity. Ag-NPs has been successfully tested as an antimicrobial nanocomposite (Aymonier et al., 2002; Son, Youk, & Park, 2006; Sondi & Salopek-Sondi, 2004; Tankhiwale & Bajpai, 2009; Yu et al., 2007). Smaller Ag-NPs, having larger surface area available for interaction with microbial cells, result in better bactericidal effect than larger Ag particles (An, Zhang, Wang, & Tang, 2008; Kvítek et al., 2008).

Silver nanocomposites have been produced by several researchers, and their antimicrobial effectiveness has usually been reported. Damm, Münstedt, and Rösch (2008), comparing efficacy of polyamide 6/silver-nano- and microcomposites, reported that nanocomposites with a low silver content presented a better increased efficacy against *Escherichia coli* than microcomposites with a much higher silver content. Damm, Münstedt, and Rösch (2007) reported that polyamide 6 filled with 2 wt.% Ag-NPs was effective against *E. coli*, even after immersed in water for 100 days. Moreover, Ag-NPs absorbs and decomposes ethylene (Hu & Fu, 2003), which may contribute to its effects on extending shelf life of fruits and vegetables. Indeed, Li et al. (2009) reported that a nanocomposite PE film with Ag-NPs retarded the senescence of jujube, a Chinese fruit. An et al. (2008) reported that a coating containing Ag-NPs was effective in decreasing microbial growth and increasing shelf life of asparagus. Ag-NPs were also reported by Mbhele et al. (2003) to increase modulus and strength of a PVOH matrix, and to improve its thermal properties, enhancing its stability and increasing its Tg.

Nanostructured calcium silicate (NCS) was used by Johnston et al. (2008) to adsorb Ag+ from solution down to the 1 mg kg⁻¹ level. The resulting NCS–Ag composite exhibited effective antimicrobial activity at desirably low levels of silver down to 10 mg kg⁻¹.
and could be incorporated into food packaging as an antimicrobial agent.

Titanium dioxide (TiO2) is widely used as a photocatalytic disinfecting material for surface coatings (Fujishima, Rao, & Tryk, 2000). TiO2 photocatalysis, which promotes peroxidation of the polyunsaturated phospholipids of microbial cell membranes (Maness et al., 1999), has been used to inactivate several food-related pathogenic bacteria (Kim, Kim, Cho, & Cho, 2003; Kim, Lee, Park, Kim, & Cho, 2005; Robertson, Robertson, & Lawton, 2005). Chawengkijwani and Hayata (2008) developed a TiO2 powder-coated packaging film and verified its ability to reduce E. coli contamination on food surfaces, suggesting that the film could be used for fresh-cut produce. Gelover, Gómez, Reyes, and Leal (2006) demonstrated the efficacy of TiO2-coated films exposed to sunlight to inactivate fecal coliforms in water. Metal doping improves visible light absorbance of TiO2 (Anpo et al., 2001) and increases its photocatalytic activity under UV irradiation (Choi, Termin, & Hoffmann, 1994). It has been demonstrated that doping TiO2 with silver greatly improved photocatalytic bacterial inactivation (Page et al., 2007; Reddy, Venugopal, & Subrahmanyam, 2007). This combination was used by Cheng, Li, Pavlinek, Saha, and Wang (2006), who obtained good antibacterial properties from TiO2/Ag nanoparticles in a nanocomposite with PVC.

Qi et al. (2004) have reported antibacterial activity of nanoscale chitosan. One possible antimicrobial mechanism proposed by these authors involves interactions between positively charged chitosan and negatively charged cell membranes, increasing membrane permeability and eventually causing rupture and leakage of intracellular material. This is consistent with the observation that both raw chitosan and engineered nanoparticles are ineffective at pH values above 6, which would be due to the absence of protonated amino groups (Qi et al., 2004). Another two antimicrobial mechanisms were proposed by Rabea, Badawy, Stevens, Smagghie, and Steurbaut (2003): chelation of trace metals by chitosan, inhibiting enzyme activities; and, in fungal cells, penetration through the cell wall and membranes to bind DNA and inhibit RNA synthesis.

CNTs have been also reported to have antibacterial properties. Direct contact with aggregates of CNTs was demonstrated to be fatal for E. coli, possibly because the long and thin CNTs puncture microbial cells, causing irreversible damages (Kang, Pinault, Pfefferle, & Elimelech, 2007). On the other hand, there are studies suggesting that CNTs are cytotoxic to human cells, at least when in contact to skin (Monteiro-Riviere, Nemanich, Inman, Wang, & Riviere, 2005; Shvedova et al., 2003) and lungs (Warheit et al., 2004), which would affect people working directly with CNTs in processing stages rather than consumers. Nevertheless, it is mandatory to know eventual health effects of CNTs when ingested, since the risk of ingestion of particles incorporated to a food packaging material must be taken into account because of the possibility of migration to food.

Haynie, Zhang, Zhao, and Rudra (2006) suggested that antimicrobial peptides, such as nisin, could be integrated with LbL structures to develop antimicrobial films. Nisin acts as a depolarization agent on bacterial membranes (Ruh & Sahl, 1985), and creates pores in lipid bilayers (Sahl, Kordel, & Benz, 1987). Multilayer peptide nanofilms were produced by Li, Rozas, and Haynie (2006), who intercalated different peptides designed to be oppositely charged at neutral pH. Disulfide (S–S) cross-linking resulted in formation of a three-dimensional network which was much more stable than when the peptide film was stabilized only by electrostatic interactions.

4. Oxygen scavenging films

Oxygen (O2) is responsible for the deterioration of many foods either directly or indirectly. Direct oxidation reactions result in browning of fruits and rancidity of vegetable oils, to name a few examples. Food deterioration by indirect action of O2 includes food spoilage by aerobic microorganisms. The incorporation of O2 scavengers into food package can maintain very low O2 levels, which is useful for several applications. Attention has particularly focused on the photocatalytic activity of nanocrystalline titania (TiO2) under ultraviolet radiation (Xiao-e, Green, Haque, Mills, & Durrant, 2004).

Oxygen scavenger films were successfully developed by Xiao-e et al. (2004), by adding titania nanoparticles to different polymers. The authors suggested their use for packaging a wide variety of oxygen-sensitive products. Since TiO2 act by a photocatalytic mechanism, its major drawback is the requirement of UVA light (Mills, Doyle, Peiro, & Durrant, 2006).

4.1. Nano-based sensors

Nanostructures can be applied as reactive particles in packaging materials. The so-called nanosensors are able to respond to environmental changes (e.g., temperature or humidity in storage rooms, levels of oxygen exposure), degradation products or microbial contamination (Bouwmeester et al., 2009).

The food expiration date is estimated by industries by considering distribution and storage conditions (especially temperature) to which the food product is predicted to be exposed. However, it is not unknown that such conditions are not always the real ones, and foods are frequently exposed to temperature abuse; this is especially worrying for products which require a cold chain. Moreover, micropores or sealing defects in packaging systems can lead food products to an unexpected high exposure to oxygen, which can result in undesirable changes. When integrated into food packaging, nanosensors can detect certain chemical compounds, pathogens, and toxins in food, being then useful to eliminate the need for inaccurate expiration dates, providing real-time status of food freshness (Liao, Chen, & Subramanian, 2005).

According to Hongda Chen (USDA, Washington, DC), nano-based sensors to detect pathogens, spoilage, chemical contaminants, or product tampering, or to track ingredients or products through the processing chain are already under development or have been commercialized (Nachay, 2007). Mahadevan Iyer (Georgia Tech Packaging Research Center, Atlanta, GA) pointed out several advantages of these sensors, based on CNT, over the expensive and time-consuming conventional detection methods such as HPLC. He mentioned the rapid and high-throughput detection; the simplicity and cost-effectiveness; the reduced power requirements and easier recycling; and the unnecessary of exogenous molecules or labels. Iyers have been working on development of a multiwalled CNT-based biosensor which can detect microorganisms, toxic proteins, and degraded products in food and beverages (Nachay, 2007).

4.2. Detection of gases produced by food spoilage

Based on applied studies of the surface properties of materials, several types of gas sensors have been developed, which translates chemical interactions between particles on the surfaces into a response signal. Metal oxide gas sensors are one of the most popular type of sensors because of their high sensitivity and stability (Setkus, 2002).

Conducting polymers (CPs) or electro active conjugated polymers, which can be synthesized either by chemical or electrochemical oxidation, are very important because of their electrical, electronic, magnetic and optical properties, which are related to their conjugated π electron backbones (Ahuja, Mir, Kumar, & Rajesh, 2007; Retama, 2005). Polyene and polyaromatic CPs such as polyaniline (PANI), polyanine, polypropylene (PPy) have been widely studied (Ahuja et al., 2007)
CPs have a remarkable ability to switch between conducting oxidized (doped) and insulating reduced (undoped) state, which is the basis of many applications (Rajesh, Takashima, & Kaneto, 2004).

Food spoilage is caused by microorganisms, whose metabolism produces gases which can be detected by conducting polymer nanocomposites (CPC) or metal oxides, which can be used for quantification and/or identification of microorganisms based on their gas emissions. Sensors based on CPC consist on conducting particles embedded into an insulating polymer matrix. The resistance changes of the sensors produce a pattern that corresponds to the gas under investigation (Arshak et al., 2007).

Arshak et al. (2007) developed CPC sensors containing carbon black and polyaniline to detect and identify food borne pathogens by producing a specific response pattern for each microorganism. Three bacteria (Bacillus cereus, Vibrio parahemolyticus and Salmonella spp.) could be identified from the response pattern produced by the sensors. Chicken meat freshness was evaluated by Galldíkas, Mironas, Senuliene, Šetkus, and Zelenin (2000), basing on the smell when the output data of metal (tin and indium) oxide gas sensors were processed with a neural network.

Kraft Foods, along with researchers at Rutgers University (NJ), have been developing an “electronic tongue” to be incorporated in food packaging. The device consists of an array of nanosensors extremely sensitive to gases released by spoiling microorganisms, producing a color change which indicates whether the food is deteriorated (Joseph & Morrison, 2006).

4.3. O2 indicators

Oxygen allows aerobic microorganism to grow during food storage. There has been an increasing interest to develop non-toxic and irreversible oxygen sensors to assure oxygen absence in oxygen-free food packaging systems, such as packaging under vacuum or nitrogen.

Lee, Sheridan, and Mills (2005) developed an UV-activated colorimetric oxygen indicator, which uses nanoparticles of titania (TiO2) to photosensitize the reduction of methylene blue (MB) by triethanolamine in a polymer encapsulation medium, using UVA light. Upon UV irradiation, the sensor bleaches and remains colorless, until it is exposed by oxygen, when its original blue color is restored. The rate of color recovery is proportional to the level of oxygen exposure. Gutiérrez-Tauste, Domènech, Casañ-Pastor, and Aylón (2007) deposited MB/TiO2 nanocomposite thin films on glass by liquid phase deposition (LPD), a soft chemical technique which has been applied to deposition of oxides to several substrates. This technique could be used to develop oxygen indicator packaging systems for a variety of oxygen-sensitive foods.

Mills and Hazafy (2009) used nanocrystalline SnO2 as a photosensitizer in a colorimetric Oxygen indicator comprising a sacrificial electron donor (glycerol), a redox dye (methylene blue – MB), and an encapsulating polymer (hydroxyethyl cellulose). Exposure to UVI light led to activation (photobleaching) of the indicator and photoreduction of MB by the SnO2 nanoparticles. The color of the films varied according to O2 exposure – bleached when not exposed, and blue upon exposed.

5. Nanoscale enzyme immobilization systems

Enzymes are widely used by food industry for several applications. In some cases, the direct use of enzymes can be restricted by their sensitivity to processing conditions and/or to compounds which can inhibit their action, resulting in short operational life or inactivation. When immobilized in different tailored carriers, the enzymes can have improved stability to pH and temperature, resistance to proteases and other denaturing compounds, as well as an adequate environment for their repeated use or controlled release (Kandimalla, Tripathi, & Ju, 2006; Lopez-Rubio, Gavara, & Lagaron, 2006).

In the last decades, enzyme immobilization has been also considered for packaging applications (Appendini & Hotchkiss, 1997; Soares & Hotchkiss, 1998). The incorporation in the package of enzymes like lactase or cholesterol reductase could increase the value of the food product and answer the needs of consumers with enzyme-related health problems (Fernández, Cava, Ocio, & Lagaron, 2008).

Nanoscale immobilization systems would have strongly enhanced performance, since they would increase the available surface contact area and modify the mass transfer, probably the most important factors affecting the effectiveness of such systems (Fernández et al., 2008). Numerous materials have been developed as support for biomolecules. Inorganic supports such as clays have a high affinity for protein adsorption, and been reported to be efficient enzyme carriers (Gopinath & Sugunan, 2007; Sinegani, Emzitai, & Shariadamari, 2005), so new approaches might be expected in the next years dealing with enzyme adsorption into clays incorporated to polymers, in order to control release of enzyme molecules (Rhim & Ng, 2007). CPs can be used as immobilizing matrices for biomolecules (Ahuja et al., 2007), as reported by Sharma et al. (2004), who immobilized glucose oxidase onto films of poly(aniline-co-fluoroaniline). Silica nanoparticles have been modified to immobilize glutamate dehydrogenase and lactate dehydrogenase (Qbosheane, Santra, Zhang, & Tan, 2001). The immobilized enzymes have shown excellent activity, allowing the modified silica nanoparticles to be used for biosensing applications.

Several techniques can be used to produce films for enzyme immobilization. Lbl assembly was used by Rudra et al. (2006) to obtain a polypeptide multilayer antimicrobial nanofilm constituted by negatively charged layers of poly(γ-glutamic acid) and positively charged layers of egg white lysozyme, a chicken enzyme widely employed as a food preservative. The nanofilms were effective to inhibit growth of Micrococcus luteus. The authors demonstrated a simple control of the releasing rate of lysozyme by adjusting the amount of film layers. Caseli, Santos, Fochsini, Goncalves, and Oliveira (2007) successfully immobilized glucose oxidase (GO) in Lbl films with chitosan. The enzyme activity was almost the same as in a homogeneous solution, confirming the suitability of the Lbl technique for immobilization of GO, which could be used in several systems involving catalysis by this enzyme, such as biosensors.

Electrospinning is a simple and quick technique for producing nanofibers from a wide range of materials. It uses a strong electric field to eject a jet of a viscous polymer solution from a capillary. The jet solidifies via solvent evaporation or cooling, resulting in a fiber-based structure which allows the entrapment of bioactive molecules (Fernández et al., 2008; Huang, Zhang, Kotaki, & Ramakrishna, 2003). The large specific surface area and the fine porous structure of electrospun nanofibers make them an excellent enzyme support, greatly increasing the catalyzing ability of immobilized enzymes (Wu, Yuan, & Sheng, 2005), as demonstrated with α-chymotrypsin (Jia et al., 2002), glucose oxidase (Ren et al., 2006), and cellulase (Wu et al., 2005).

6. Final considerations

The use of biopolymers by the food industry has faced feasibility problems related mainly to their relatively high cost and poor overall performance when compared to those of synthetic polymers. However, since industries are concerned with sustainable development, the production cost of biopolymers has decreased,
allowing biopolymer-based materials to be increasingly developed. More important, nanocomposites promise to expand the use of edible and biodegradable films, since the addition of nanoreinforcements has been related to improvements in overall performance of biopolymers, enhancing their mechanical, thermal and barrier properties, usually even at very low contents. Thus, nanocomposites have an important role to improve feasibility of use of biopolymers for several application, including food packaging. Moreover, several nanoparticles can provide active and/or “smart” properties to food packaging materials, such as antimicrobial properties, oxygen scavenging ability, enzyme immobilization, or indication of the degree of exposure to some degradation related factor. So, nanocomposites can not only passively protect the food against environmental factors, but also incorporate properties to the packaging material so it may actually enhance stability of foods, or at least to indicate their eventual inadequation to be consumed. However, there are many safety concerns about nanomaterials, as their size may allow them to penetrate into cells and eventually remain in the system. There is no consensus about categorizing nanomaterials as new (or unnatural) materials. On one hand, the properties and safety of the materials in its bulk form are usually well known, but the nano-sized counterparts frequently exhibit different properties from those found at the macro-scale. There is limited scientific data about migration of most types of nanoparticle (NPs) from the packaging material into food, as well as their eventual toxicological effects. It is reasonable to assume that migration may occur, hence the need for accurate information on the effects of NPs to human health following chronic exposure is imperative.
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